

PLANNING AND ACCESS COMMITTEE

MEETING: Monday 30th April 2018

Director of Rural Development and Planning
Planning Performance Framework 6 2016/2017: Feedback from Scottish Government

LEAD OFFICER:	Name:	Stuart Mearns
	Tel: E-mail:	01389 727760 stuart.mearns@lochlomond-trossachs.org

1 SUMMARY AND REASON FOR PRESENTATION

1.1 This paper provides Members with feedback from the Scottish Government on our 6th annual Planning Performance Framework (PPF) Report, which was submitted in June 2017 and reported to this committee on 28th August 2017.

2 **RECOMMENDATION**

That Members:

1. Note the content of this report.

3 PLANNING PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK 6 FEEDBACK

3.1 The Scottish Government provides feedback to each local planning authority on their PPF report each year. They provide a table marking performance against 'Key Markers'. The highlights for last year's report (PPF 6 2016/17), are summarised in the table below:

	Marker	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
1	Decision making timescales					
2	Processing agreements					
3	Early collaboration					
4	Legal agreements					
5	Enforcement charter					
6	Continuous improvement					
7	Local development plan					
8	Development plan scheme					
9	Elected members engaged early	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
10	Stakeholders engaged early	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A
11	Regular and proportionate advice to support applications					
12	Corporate working across services					
13	Sharing good practice, skills and knowledge					
14	Stalled sites/legacy cases					
15	Developer contributions					

- 3.2 Overall we have secured an increase in the number of green ratings and, for the first time, no red ratings. The background to the 'amber ratings' is as follows:
 - <u>Decision making timescales</u>: Whilst the determination of one major application was faster than the Scottish average there was a fall in performance in householder development (10.5 weeks during 2016-17 compared with 9.2 weeks in 2015-16), however the improvement in Local (Non-householder) development timescales was noted (13.1 weeks in 2016-17 compared with 14.4 weeks in 2015-16).
 - 2) <u>Legal agreements</u>: The average timescale for dealing with 4 local applications with legal agreements was 52 weeks. This was considered a significant improvement on last year's figure, but still slower than the national average.
 - 3) <u>Continuous improvement</u>: Both non-householder and householder applications are slower than the Scottish average.
 - 4) <u>Developer contributions</u>: Further information was needed as to how developer contributions are raised at the pre-application stage.
- 3.3 Measures have already been put in place to improve decision making timescales (points 1 & 2 above) through restructuring of Development Management into two sub-teams: the Performance and Support team focus to provide targeted resource for key focus areas including householder and local (non-householder) applications; and the Implementation team focussing on larger more complex applications, monitoring and enforcement.
- 3.4 A new approach to Section 75 agreements (point 3 above), to seek to reduce the time for completion, is one of the service improvements for the coming year and is set out within the PPF. This has involved revising and updating at our procedures and improving our communications with the Legal Team.

4 CONCLUSION

4.1 The PPF report continues to be a key tool for measuring, reporting and publicising our ongoing commitment to progress the quality of our planning service and customer experience together with our target improvement areas for the year ahead. The feedback on the PPF report is generally positive and only four areas have been identified for improvement. For the first time no 'red' ratings were received. The next

PPF document shall be prepared for submission in July 2018.

List of Appendix 1 Planning Performance Framework 6 (2016 – 2017) Appendices: <u>http://www.lochlomond-trossachs.org/rr-</u> <u>content/uploads/2017/08/Planning-Performance-Framework-2017.pdf</u>