

# Loch Lomond Byelaws Review - Public Consultation

## Response 1

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It would provide separation for both types of user and provide for a higher level of assured safety

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> No strong view but happy to be guided the experience of the ranger staff

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Would prefer to see people encouraged to assess the level of risk regardless of age

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Should provide a higher level of safety through clear accountability

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Concern around cost of registration - should be free

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agreed but the definition of "nuisance" would need clarification to avoid doubt and provide clarity

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Can't see a change happening but would be keen to know how effective the existing byelaws around camping have been in reducing anti social behavior and some metrics around enforcement

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Good to see a review being undertaken and appreciate all the work that goes into managing the park for the benefit of the majority - much appreciated

## Response 2

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Not required

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Compulsory boat and jet ski insurance to be shown at time of registration.

## Response 3

## Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Should be compulsory for all users. Risk is not just to the individual, but anyone that would attempt to rescue in the event they fall in the water

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 4

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Fishing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It's unfair to restrict anglers to only certain parts of the loch when in the main anglers are not an issue, or causing issues between different water users.

Anglers by their very nature occupy, and use only a very small percentage of the water, usually away from everyone else around the shoreline as it is and therefore to restrict them further would be totally unjust.

As for creating areas that would be shared by anglers, swimmers, paddle boarders and kayakers is frankly ludicrous and will cause more issues and animosity between differing water users.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 5

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It would be nice to keep noisy activities to the south end of the loch and keep the north end low impact only

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I think pfd's for anyone on the water including inflatables would be a good idea. Plus tow floats for swimmers. People who don't use them and need rescue should be encouraged to donate to the LLRB team. Some signs to this effect would encourage people to use safety gear. It would be great to give space to a company who hires out safety gear at busy times at spots like duck bay and millarochy. Most people drown because they have just not considered the risk of open cold water and would rent/buy gear if it was convenient

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It would be lovely to hold the jet skis making waves with loud speakers near shore accountable!

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I'm not aware of businesses making a nuisance of themselves. We should be careful not to hamper growth of small businesses run by local people

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Keep the north of the loch low impact eg no speed boats past balmaha. We should have a balance between tourism and leisure and keeping part of the loch a sanctuary for nature, wildlife and low impact users.

The poo bins at suie field last year were a good idea. I've visited parks with composting toilets in the woods. Maybe there are some spots where this would be an option. People are going to come and they are going to poo! I'd like to see the end of my dog fetching me dried human turds. Dogs will eat anything!

Fire pits at permit sites with beaches would also be a good idea. The forestry commission sites use fire pits and make a little money selling wood to campers. People will make fires. We should have areas like the stoney beaches where fires are permitted in safe areas while continuing to educate the public on risk and damage of fires in the woods.

More permit areas would be great including permit areas for campervans with a water tap and dump for toilet waste. Most vans are happy to pay a few pounds to the park and again. The vans will come!

Please please speak to the council about building overtaking lanes on the road between dumbarton and luss. On busy days it can take me an extra hour to get to work!

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Thanks for looking after the loch :)



## Response 6

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Windsurfing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Introduce a loch wide speed limit for powered vessels, but define areas where high speeds are allowed i.e. rather than define a low speed area have a small high speed area

Seize vessels of unregistered users or users who breach bylaws

Make alcohol prohibited when on any vessel i.e. you can't have had a drink to be on a boat

## Response 7

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This would be great as paddleboarding at luss next to powered boats etc is not ideal

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Some great changes especially mandatory wearing of life jackets

## Response 8

## Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There has been conflict between powered and other activities e.g. swimming. We should make sure that

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

that even low powered activities can clash e.g. anglers vs others. We have to make sure that there is sufficient low powered zones for all activity types

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Just good practise. Even open water swimmers abide by this.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The owner should always be in the know that the user of the craft is aware of the rules.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would allow for follow up when the rangers aren't around and no one can then claim they weren't aware of the bye laws.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Businesses similarly to the owners of powered craft should make users aware of their responsibilities.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Create lower powered zones close to Loch Lomond Shores where these activities are each to monitor

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Would be great to drive more engagement into a fantastic natural asset which is great for the local economy.

## Response 9

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should also extend to paddle boards, kayaks etc

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 10

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The loch is to be enjoyed by everyone and safety is paramount. I have been paddle boarding on several occasions only to find myself in the deliberate wake of some jet skiers. This is quite intimidating and makes what should be a nice, calm activity to one of stress. I too have a jet ski and would not dream of deliberately upsetting other loch users.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should be a given, akin to wearing a seatbelt in a car.



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Perhaps this would make parents and young people think twice about their behaviours.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Only good things can come of this, I see no negatives.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We should be making life easier for business owners not harder.

## Response 11

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Business has an impact in many ways. I agree nuisance business has to have some sort of responsibility but I don't think the bylaw should be as narrow as just "nuisance" type categories and there should be room within wording etc to address all business and varying impact.

# Response 12

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Would feel safer knowing I was in a low powered activity zone

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Unless there's a universally accepted definition of nuisance this is going to be hard to enforce

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Compulsory personal flotation devices for people in the water (although appreciate this is hard to enforce)

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Please no Flamingoland. The local area already cannot cope with the amount of traffic and visitors to the area during busy periods, and the habitats being destroyed to accommodate doesn't seem right

## Response 13

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The Loch must be one of the most underused large areas of fresh water in the UK. This leads to a very few hotspots. Increase the number of managed access spots to spread the load

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> See above. Decompress the activity zones.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Common sense.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Common sense.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
So why are you not using it to manage the hulks scattered around Balloch?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
This gives you carte blanche to decide what is a nuisance. Catch all clauses are not good enough. You are the experts. Be precise.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Rather than the negative attitude to the users of The Park. Surely your job is to encourage the use of the park to the benefit of all. I am expecting someone to complain about nuisance caused by The Maid of the Loch. I also think that you should formally discount complaints from owners of the burgeoning number of large private houses appearing all over the Park. If these people want to live in The Park they must be bound by accepted community opinion.  
BTW. Why are there so many of these large houses being given Planning Approval?

# Response 14

## Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an owner of land on or around the Loch

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Water skiing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Agree with the boys being updated, they need to have lights on them at night as half the ones on the loch cannot be seen at night and are a hazard. Especially the shallow bank boys.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not everyone who owns a boat is going to have everyone driving there boat registered. We can go skiing with 6 different people each week and there's no way there all going to go through a registration process for a night skiing when they may or may not drive the boat.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

There should be a whole review of the marker boys on the loch to have lights on them that actually work at night to prevent future accidents



# Response 15

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 16

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The whole idea of the “go slow” area is just wrong! The whole loch should be slow with a couple of areas south of inchmurrin and near Luss that are the only parts that you can go faster than the loch limit. That changes the whole psychology of it. Even if there is realignment of the current boundary south of inchmoan there needs to be enforcement as it is being totally ignored already particularly by jet skis.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Ensure that all users actually know the bye laws. Not just a signature that says they have read it (because they haven't!)

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Use this to stop flamingo land too please!

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As outlined as a response to questions:

1- slow is normal on the loch with only a couple of areas that you go fast ( so people know they can't speed near shore and in the narrows).

2- ensure people using the loch actually know the bye laws and rules for channels

3- more actual enforcement, the loch is starting to feel like the Wild West and not safe for families

4- noise limits to keep the wildlife and locals safe and happy ( yes I do mean jet skis)

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

These should have been reviewed before now! Issues on the loch have only escalated since the last review.

## Response 17

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 18

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There are no clear concise signage for those in charge of watercraft powered or otherwise. The placement of clear signage should be of high importance along with the need for powered watercraft users to be certified to make sure all signage is clear and understood.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Highly busy traffic area. I have personally witnessed power craft mainly jet ski users smoking marijuana prior to proceeding into open water. The worst i witnessed was an infant without life vest sat along on a high powered jet ski whilst the parent was in the water smoking marijuana.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Every single water craft operator, regardless of age, should have to wear a buoyancy vest on Loch Lomond. I am a diver and highly aware of currents, thermoclines and subsea shore drops. A map of depths at every launch site should be clearly visible for all water users. There is nowhere near enough education on waterways hence the vast rise in drownings.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree as there is no way of holding young persons to account.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would like to see drug and alcohol testing added to motorised craft registered users.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There is not enough scope with the guidelines on nuisance trading. Does developer destruction of all National Park land come under the nuisance heading.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Drug and alcohol testing for motorised craft users.  
Flotation devices for all craft users regardless of age.  
Sea charts of depths of loch at all entry sites to help the public understand the water mass they are entering.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

What is classed as nuisance business. This should include any destruction of any part of the park by any business or developer.

## Response 19

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This limits where open water swimmers can swim.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Maybe a specific area for jet skiing and high powered water sports

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

By creating swimming areas it restricts where people can swim.



## Response 20

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> A long overdue byelaw. The areas initially selected make sense. The success will however depend on enforcement and a clear statement of the penalties that will be applied to offenders. If the penalties are small then byelaws are likely to be ignored. In some of the areas where I've been involved in pleasure boating in the USA penalties for repeat offenders include confiscation of the boat involved and compliance with the byelaws are correspondingly good!

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Makes sense although I've never personally had a problem with identifying the 11kph zones in the loch before.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Why only compulsory for young people? There are many adults who can't swim too, and many scenarios

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

where an adult who can swim can accidentally fall into the water from a boat, possibly incapacitated in the process, where a PFD would be a life saver.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Makes sense.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Good idea in principle but I reckon it will be hard to implement. Making it mandatory that operators of all types of boats on the loch carry photo ID, driving license or similar might be simpler?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
No really sure how this would work, what specific activities it is designed to prohibit and why existing 'nuisance' byelaws are inadequate.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The definitions of the different type of 'vessels' covered by the byelaws seem incomplete, confusing and not related to the vessel's vulnerability or, conversely, its potential for causing harm or damage. As an example, an 'unpowered' sailing dinghy or sailboard especially if of the planing or foiling type is perfectly capable of speeds in excess of 20 mph and causing substantial damage if it hit anything.

There seems a remarkable level of ignorance about basic seamanship, navigation and the maritime avoidance of collision regulations on the loch. What about phasing in the requirement for some form of operator competence certificate (e.g. RYA PWC... ) as part of the user registration? Offer training courses to those who don't have them.

## Response 21

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I often feel unsafe swimming as even using a colorful floating bhoy and swimming cap i have to regularly stop when you hear boats / jetski's due to safety fears.  We are often breathing / swallowing in immediately contaminated water from boats which is unpleasant / harmful to health.  Both these issues mean i use the loch less than i would if this action was implemented.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This makes sense. We see people breaking loch rules at times making it unsafe and a clear system of accountability is required.

## Response 22

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Really good idea to improve safety for kayakers, swimmers and paddle boarders. I realise that this is outside the remit of this consultation but I would however like to see this rolled out to other stretches of water used for leisure within the National park, otherwise you may be just moving inconsiderate behaviour to locations which can't be as easily policed, as we found when camping restrictions were brought in. As it is, some people don't comply with the speed limits within loch Goil, even when there are low power activities going on, including groups of young people from the outdoor education centres.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Can't understand why parents wouldn't ensure this happens. Adults should be wearing them too!

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This might make adults ensure that young people are educated to use the draft properly and understand the risks to themselves, other water users and wildlife. This would hopefully reduce incidents and encourage more considerate behaviour.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree that it would make it easier to trace people in the event of incidents. However the people who are likely to break the rules are unlikely to register so I wonder how this problem would be managed with presumably no increase in resources.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Seems reasonable if it makes the management process easier.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I notice that you aren't proposing a ban on PWCs. I can understand why but and it would just move the issue elsewhere but they seem to be used by people who have no thought for other water users. I wish there was a solution!

## Response 23

### Your details

Q3. Age:
16 – 24

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I would like the whole of the Loch to be a low powered zone as it's disruptive to the atmosphere of the nature and I think that motorised vehicles such as jet skis should be banned

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 24

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Most loch users (motor boats) are very considerate. However its more speed from jetski's and coming to close is the issue. I think there is an element of it being deliberate.

There should be safe zones. However, as a kayaker who's kayaked up the Great Glen Way and Loch Ness. I hope that we are not limited to the safe zone.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Absolutely. Everyone out on the loch should have a PFD not just limited to younger people.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Absolutely! There needs to be some way to make people responsible for their vessels and you need to know who owns the vessel etc.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



## Response 25

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Canoeing and Kayaking
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Jetskis are a nightmare

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 26

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Sailing
Fishing
Windsurfing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The proposed restrictions are unclear e.g. no map provided. Also no comment as to if or when these will be extended in the future?

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Currently enforced inconsistently still see jet skiers doing what they want but yet see other vessels being stopped

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> 100%

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not sure that is legal?

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

However may be an admin burden?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't know enough about this to comment

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Yes leave things as they are with consistent enforcement

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think without constant enforcement they will not be effective

## Response 27

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I swim regularly at Salloch bay. Jetskiers have made this area unsafe by driving at speed near to the shore and causing wakes that make swimming unsafe along that shoreline. They also ignore the no wake zone and drive at speed up to the shore and beach on the shore, to the distress of families with young children who are paddling in the water. JETSKIS should be BANNED from Loch Lomond as they are UNSAFE.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> All proposals to limit the danger posed by jetskis on Loch Lomond are welcomed.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Cold water shock is a high risk to water users. The requirement to PFDs will help to highlight the need for greater personal safety on the loch

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Jetskis are a scourge to other water users. Currently, simply receiving a letter from LLTNP when the jetski owner has contravened a byelaw has no impact upon their behaviour. The damage has already been done to other water users during the incident. Retrospective letters are pointless.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Water users must take greater responsibility for their actions

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The issue is with irresponsible jetski users and companies who permit them to take a powered craft on the loch with no training.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Jetskis should be banned from Loch Lomond completely. They create pollution, both noise and chemical pollution. The users have no regard for the safety of other water users. Speed limits are unenforceable and the jetskis create nothing but danger to other water users. They have no place in a beautiful, natural environment and should be banned completely from Loch Lomond.

## Response 28

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q5. Organisation (if appropriate):
NA

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Jetskiers are dangerous and don't respect loch users in the shallows. This may help keep all loch users safe.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Clearer boundaries are safer for everyone.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. It'll make owners think twice about who they are letting on the Loch.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. This will once again help improve responsible loch use and may even limit congestion on there... if rangers actually have enough staff to check who is using the boats.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Promote local businesses. They put up with enough, so they may as well make a profit from the noise/hassle.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Have a "no jetski" area somewhere so that open water swimmers can actually get a decent swim in without worrying about being ran over. Jetskis are way more hazardous and fear evoking than boats!

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Nope, just hope they are successful!



## Response 29

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 30

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No one completes, so an extended slow zone should be clearly marked and adhered to

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All should have some form of floatation device at any point on the water, a swimmer should have a float for instance as well as one on a kayak.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There should be a free permit that all need to apply for to visit the islands and campsites to ensure that all rubbish is taken away

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I just have concerns really on the waste that people visiting leave, be it on the islands or and areas in the national park. Maybe have a toll that non locals have to pay to visit, to ensure that if they are visiting more can be done to police the waste left behind and maybe prevent it

# Response 31

## Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I do not agree with this. The appeal of paddle boarding for me is the adventure it brings. I fell in love with loch lomond because of the adventures, and visiting each of the islands responsibly and watching wildlife. Do this would make me consider not coming to the Loch. I tavel over 50 miles at least once a month if not more because of the adventure.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think this should also include non motorised crafts such as paddle boards and kayaks. Knowing who's on the loch and routes taken

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 32

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think the proposal could go further, with larger low-power activity areas. Particularly around the Loch Lomond Shores Lagoon where jetski wake causes problems for the amateurs on the water renting equipment like SUPs, pedalos and kayaks.  
Enforcement at Balloch park will need to be rigorous as jetskis and the occasional small craft beach there and have bbqs etc.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

More and larger 11kph zones would be preferable.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Entirely sensible and likely to be well supported with recent deaths of children and young people being well publicised locally.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Referring to it as an application is a concern. Registration is fine but this must not be a screening/vetting process.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Some of the additional options should be explored further that would not necessarily be part of a byelaw review, such as charging points for electric craft.  
The options not progressed should also be developed further and evidence gathered as many of us would like to see those included. I'd hate to wait 10 more years though.

## Response 33

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This would be very helpful. Especially when taking out children on kayak. However the zone at Luss seems quite small. Could this be made larger?

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Changing the scheme in this way will make it much harder for park authorities to identify both when a business is being operated (even informally) and which businesses are creating a nuisance. I would suggest registration continues but perhaps in a simplified format. Monitoring of businesses could also be scaled back to only those identified as problematic.

## Response 34

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The only one I support is around Luss. However, this should be amended as the proposed area seems to



Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

encompass access to Lodge on Loch pier and also eliminates the possibility of anchoring a vessel in the bay (the pier and pontoons are often full and anchoring is the only way to get into luss to re-provision)

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Very good idea. The existing limit is confusing

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Legally you could not garner sufficient evidence to make prosecutions on this so it is pointless

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As above the people who currently flaunt the rules will continue to do so and enforcement will not be possible

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good Idea, needs to be streamlined but needs careful monitoring as could be open to foul play and false reporting.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Yes.

The mandatory wearing of floatation devices for adults on inflatables should be compulsory too. It simply beggars belief that it isn't included [REDACTED] one of which was in March when the water was freezing. I simply can't fathom why this isn't included

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

As above This is a targeted review aimed squarely at power driven vessels. From my own experiences I can say I have seen littering and many safety related problems from users of stand up paddle boards and kayaks, they are not all the nature loving type the park authority constantly portray them as.

The National Park agenda against these is utterly ridiculous. A review is due every 10 years, and by your own admission you continuously quote the increased numbers in power driven vessels, which we all know can be attributed to the pandemic and people staying at home, yet you continue to say action needs to be taken now despite your own statement that claims you will be review the figures for 2022 and beyond. This is absolutely draconian and a complete abuse of power and manipulation of statistics that will not be tolerated by the boating community. Your further comments about the net zero and climate change lay bare your aims to attempt to push through bans on fossil fuel powered vessels, again this will not be tolerated.

The policing of the current byelaws is utterly inadequate. As a responsible Loch user, I am just as fed up of antisocial behaviour as everybody else, however these changes will do absolutely nothing to address that. You have more than adequate powers currently at your disposal but enforcement of the byelaws is completely selective. You on purpose do not address the antisocial behaviour until it reaches the point it's a problem to further widen your power grab by means of further byelaws.

Rangers Choose easy targets and approachable people to get their quota of violations in such as failure to display annual marks, meanwhile a group of jetskis race by and the rangers will do absolutely nothing as they are afraid of confrontation.

Your use of resources (Which we pay for) is nothing short of pathetic. You have several large expensive boats that are constantly broken down, leaving you with an inability to monitor the area. The issue with jetskis (PWC's) can be likened to that of motorcycles on the road. The Police deal with motorcycles by using motorcycles to catch them....The same approach should be used by the park as it is in countless other locations worldwide. For the cost of a few jetskis (Considerably cheaper than the current ribs) for park authority use, you could easily cover a far greater area and actually catch offenders and be on site to report issues with far greater speed)

In short. The majority of these changes are pointless as once again, persistent troublemakers will take no notice. 99.9% of offences would be inadmissible in court therefore this warrants nothing more than a further power grab of an already bloated power hungry organisation that is not fit for purpose. The Loch is in a WORSE state now than it was before the park authority took over

## Response 35

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It's so dangerous just now with mainly jet skiers ignoring all bylaws. That being said if the existing laws were enforced most issues could be resolved.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> should be all persons regardless of age.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

how could this be enforced? Good businesses? who decides. Each should be monitored and controlled, duplication is down to poor administration.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

It does nothing to address or improve policing of existing or new bylaws. If existing bylaws were policed effectively many issued would be resolved.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Please ensure that you have considered every legal aspect of these proposals, at best they appear rather haphazard, great in principle but probably impossible to enforce.  
Staffing levels are the main issues around the Loch - it's plainly obvious when it's sunny and a bank holiday things are going to be crazy that's when staffing levels require to be at least trebled.

## Response 36

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 37

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I had stopped swimming at Loch Lomond due to concerns over irresponsible behaviour and excessive numbers of jet ski users. I did not feel safe in the loch, even with a large and brightly coloured tow float and swimming with a friend. This proposal is a step on the right direction towards protecting those in the water rather than just on the water.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 38

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

These areas need to be policed though otherwise there is no point, you need to introduce some form of acceptance of reading the byelaws as people will always say they didnt know.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again this needs policing urgently when we have good weather, a shore based ranger working between north / south beach may be the best option. On the recent fair Monday we were sat on the beach for a few hours and noticed multiple infractions of byelaws mainly associated with speed in low speed areas but not once did a ranger boat happen by. I appreciate resources are tight but you need a bigger presence of patrolling rangers when we have nice weather and in general.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I feel this is a waste of time and a burden for your rangers but agree in principal.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

You already have this, doesnt mention how data integrity would be maintained and what kind of safe guards would be in place to prevent data loss or how the data would be protected etc.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions



Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Set the Loch to have a 11kph speed limit every where and as a norm and have a 'go fast' area much like your swimming areas that you have proposed. Why not ask about a ban for jet skis or the seaplane?

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I feel as a registered Loch user it would of been better to of been consulted on the options and asked to put forward options before we are asked to vote. Are we voting here also or has it already been decided and the NP will go ahead with the options that best suits its agenda. Will be details be published once collated?

## Response 39

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> How will boaters and "low power" users identify these limits? If boaters are to give up areas of the loch to low power users why has the proposal not required more from these users in relation to safety..... high vis markings ect.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I see no safety benefits

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This doesn't happen in other areas of law

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

How would you enforce this? Will park authority staff start boarding boats to take names of those onboard? Do they have the legal right to ask someone to identify themselves?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Your doc states you want to only licence business which cause a nuisance. All the lower power businesses and users are a nuisance to boaters. Their customers have zero water safety

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Force low power users to have minimum safety gear. Fine those that don't

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Boats and boaters can not be blamed for all the perceived issues on Loch Lomond. The biggest danger on the loch comes from teenagers getting drunk and swimming. I see nothing in your proposals to tackle this. Your tightening of byelaws are a smoke screen for a green agenda and very very little to do with safety. While teenagers drown on the beaches you guys obsess about wakes.

## Response 40

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 41

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There are already many byelaws on Loch Lomond that are not being enforced due to lack of resources, therefore introducing more will have little or no effect on the current issues on Loch Lomond, most of which is linked to Jet Bikes.

The proposed Luss Zone, does not take into account the need to anchor off when the pier is busy, will the park enforce a max stay time for vessels using the pier if these changes go ahead ?

How will you vessels who have auxiliary small engines using these zones ?

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I would support this but have concerns the current restrictions are not enforced or policed, specifically the

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

jet bike use on the Inchmoan South Beach.. it appears to me that this change is being brought in to manage this issue.. but without enforcement its pointless.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Fully support this.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Full Support this.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
All Loch users should registered.. thsi will give the authority better stats on use, I also believe all users of any vessel should have compulasary third party insurance.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Fully support this.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

More enforcement of current byelaws, no introduction of more...

Instant fines for those blatant abusers.. with confiscation of vessels / jet bikes for multi infringements.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Low power zones although a good idea are not enforceable, the Luss low power zone seem ill thought through and needs more consideration.

Given that all public accessible slipways are currently in Balloch this has caused a build up of motorised activities in the south.

Limiting the use of the public slipways on busy days would reduced the impact and safety concerns.

Power for rangers to find those breaching the byelaws.

More cooperation with Police on busy days.

## Response 42

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Use of personal floatation devices for under 16 for all watersports including SUPs and kayaks etc.  
To reduce the risk to young people.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Measures to monitor wild camping and reduce the impact of the minority of people who do abuse this privilege with irresponsible behaviour and littering



## Response 43

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The loch is very dangerous currently with a lot of totally irresponsible jet-ski users

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> All speed restrictions are welcome. Why does anyone need to go fast on a loch?

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Great safety measure.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Totally agree. The responsibility lies with adults not children.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

People breaking the law need to be traceable and held accountable.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Seems reasonable.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Make the whole of the loch from south of Luss a 10 mph speed limit.

## Response 44

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Jet skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I agree with these areas however the Luss one I don't... the area allows vessels to manoeuvre around Luss pier however this hasn't taken into account of a PWC being able to beach next to the pier to be able to access Luss? The bounty can remain the same just allow PWCs to beach in that area only.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Please see my answer to question 1

## Response 45

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The park authority is unable to enforce restriction already in place, around Luss for example. This is just another restriction that is unable to be enforced and penalises considerate loch users.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is causing some confusion and taking time away from rangers who could put efforts into hot spots such as the islands and Luss.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I do think consideration should be given to large cruisers where it is far safer to have children on deck but I agree in principle.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I am in total agreement. This is becoming more of an issue.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Anything that helps policing the bylaws is a good thing.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This allows resources to be focused in areas more in need.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Either more policing or special officers on the park boats especially in hot spots.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

This appears to be a more sensible approach than we have seen in the past, which is welcomed. However, creating bylaws to distract from the fact that there is not enough resource to address anti social behaviour is not beneficial to anyone.

## Response 46

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I feel that the proposed changes are a step in the right direction in regards commons sense and improved safety and protection of users of the loch.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again, implementation would result in a safer environment to non motorised vessel loch users.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Obvious.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This would ensure greater scrutiny by the vessel owner on whom and how they allow usage to.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Greater clarity for all.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



## Response 47

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Totally agree any user on the loch is aware of the speed of motor boats etc in the areas of swimmers and paddle boarders. Need separation zones

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is just plain common sense and it will save lives

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have seen teenagers on jet skies who would not be of age to drive what is the difference

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All power boat users should be registered. However I do not believe you have gone far enough. Insurance training should be checked and no one allowed in charge of a motor driven boat unless they can fulfill minimum requirements

I was on the loch today and had to give directions to a motor boat user who was lost. What idiot takes a power boat on the loch and cannot navigate

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No real comment here

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I do not believe you have gone far enough. The rise in jet skis is just too much and they need to be rigorously controlled. This should be a total ban or putting in place minimum standards of use experience and insurance. At present it is mayhem on a busy day. It is ok to say rangers patrol but as soon as they leave the cowboys come back.

## Response 48

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 49

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Won't make a difference if it doesn't get policed which I find is the problem now.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Won't make a difference if it doesn't get policed which I find is the problem now.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I think the main issues with problem loch users is that the chance of the getting caught or punished is very low. More need to be invested in patrols and punishments need to be heavier in order to deter the bad behaviour.

## Response 50

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Water skiing

Canoeing and Kayaking

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The low power area needs to have significant markings for all Loch users - the park needs to encourage swimmers and low/ no power users to stick to these zones

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This doesn't resolve any issues and makes no sense

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Too administrative and difficult to enforce

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

# Response 51

## Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It is unfair to restrict certain areas of the loch depending on activity. People will miss out on certain locations.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Speedlight to protect embankments and wildlife

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

---

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety should be considered on the water. Visitors cost money when they get into difficulties and children's lives should be protected

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

---

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Young people should not be unsupervised and able to commit offences

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

---

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Should be patrolled by officers and not allowed on the water without registration

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

---

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All should be governed

## Response 52

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Jet skies are like floating asbos and they seem to attract a certain type of individual. All aspects of by laws need to be enforced very strongly. There is a duty of care that requires to be met for non motorised loch users.  For instance ,Only last month my dog was struck by a drunk cyclist in balloch park beer in hand. The police would not even attend. On a sunny day the loch is a dangerous place to be in respect of being a victim of anti social behaviour.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should go without saying for everyone. No pfd.... No registration and a fine, it would also generate income.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This would be entertaining to see how this actually worked in practice, ie it would not be possible to take action on this basis for most issues.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

What ever you choose to do just please address wider issue of anti social behaviour, personal responsibility and policed safe areas with actual repercussions.

The loch has become a toilet ( some times literally) to a horrible contingent of society when the weather is fair. When it rains Loch Lomond is great!

People have to respect the area and other people, if they do not then there has to appropriate repercussions by authority and police.

## Response 53

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I have often felt at risk on the loch from high speed boats

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

## Response 54

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Sensible idea. Clear marking of the areas should I would hope make this fairly easy to police.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Again a sensible idea, good thinking.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I always hate to see the word compulsory, however, it seems to me that you can't teach common sense so I have no objections to this one.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The owner should be aware of the actions of anybody in charge of their vessel and therefor they must be at least jointly responsible for those in control.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Smacks a little bit of Big Brother but given the reasoning behind it I would not object.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Logical.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The six proposed changes in my opinion have been well thought out and are all perfectly reasonable. For once I have no argument with any of them.

## Response 55

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.  I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.  I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.

I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.

I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.

I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.

I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

## Consultation questions



Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.

I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I don't see why legislation should be introduced in the LLTNP if it is not being introduced across all lochs in Scotland.

I don't see why residents or users of LLTNP should be subjected to legislation that is not applied across all of Scotland. This is unfair.

## Response 56

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Other (please specify):  
Small intimate beach parties with friends (responsible)

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Liabilities surely can't be transferred to someone who isn't actually there at the time, the person who committed the alleged offence should be the only person liable.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think the national park should be open to all for many different things, I feel the ranger service is a good service and it should be done with engagement first and foremost. I feel the rangers should be viewing things based on merit and have discretion to make decisions. I have in many many occasions had small intimate beach parties with friends we are always always very responsible I know it may not be everyone's cup of tea but we really need to live and let live. I honestly welcome the rangers service and feel the resources should be targeted to individuals who destroy the habitat and are violent at times. I myself and friends are very approachable and friendly and would always greet the rangers in a welcoming manner. So in essence we love bringing food, music, good friends and nature together.

This park is everyone's playground for lots of different things, I firmly accept a balance needs to be struck at times, but please remember that Scotland is a free country and all people should be free to do as they like within reason.

Thanks for taking the time to engage with the wider public.

## Response 57

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a business owner who operates on the loch/shore

  

Q5. Organisation (if appropriate):
Lodge on Loch Lomond

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I agree with them, but they will not be enforced anymore than present bye laws.  I have been buzzed by jet skis every time I am out paddle boarding.  Every time I am out the majority of motorised boats travel faster than the speed limit in restricted zone.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Atleast at the moment the southern boundary matches up with natural markers.  In my experience motorised boats ignore the present markets and jet skis don't car about any lower speed limit.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Safety

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
All liabilities for the powered vessel should be the responsibility of the owner whatever the age.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Again will not be policed or enforced!

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Too much red tape

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Ban all jet skis.

Strongly enforce bye laws with fines & bans

Ban powered vessels using narrows

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Does not go far enough.

We are past educate and now should be expulsion or exclusion if the byelaws are broken.

## Response 58

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Low power and no power activities should be encouraged and be the higher priority. Powered activity should be reduced or restricted in speed like on inland water ways. Jet skis and power boats should be banned.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The whole Loch should be restricted to this speed.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> H&S over kill. Should be individual responsibility. Make it clear!

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Only for anyone using a powered vessel.

All powered vessel owners should sign to say they have read and understood the bylaws and all transportable vessels should only be allowed to access the water at the public slipway at the bottom of the Loch.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As above, put those Loch users doing non powered or low powered activities ahead of powered activity users. These activities are better for the environment and for the health of individuals and should be encouraged. Speed restrictions should be applied right across the Loch just as on inland water ways. It's not a race track unless used for an organised event. Powered users should always lookout and give way to non powered water activities in every part of the Loch. Swimmers should all use tow bouys to increase visibility.

An alternative could be to have day's or times when speed limits are increased in some areas like bus lanes?

Bylaw pamphlets should be handed to powered users at the time that they enter the water and signed for / made clearly aware of the new rules.

Swimmers, SUPs, canoes and kayaks should all have priority over powered vessels at all times. Set an example that it's safe to do these more popular activities now.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Why not include as many suggestions as you can and do a web survey of them all?

## Response 59

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
On behalf of a local recreation group or loch user group

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 60

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Including small boats with outbound motors which can initially be rowed out before starting the engine.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Small registered boats which have an outboard motor should be allowed to access the loch from the shoreline at any point if rowed out including from the proposed low-powered activity zones, in particular Millarochy Bay.

# Response 61

## Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 62

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As long as these aren't the only places we can go

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

More users requires more caution

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Why only under 16?

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
But why would such young persons be in sole charge of powered vessels? Is that appropriate?

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Fantastic idea, I hope you can actually police it though? Whose job will that be and will they have appropriate powers?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
That's a bizarre statement, who gets to decide who and what is a nuisance? Surely that's subjective? Anything could be a nuisance to someone.....

## Response 63

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Lots of the changes are things I already wished for.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Don't know enough to comment on this.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety is essential.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is sensible.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would save the problem of abandonment.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Don't know enough to comment.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

# Response 64

## Your details

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Reduce car usage. Too many private cars.

## Response 65

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Wake boarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No, existing bylaws and speed from shore rules are sufficient. Additional rules will make little difference in increasing safety if this is the expected outcome.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again, see no benefit in this proposal



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

absolutely, yes when boat is underway. However when a vessel is not in motion, and, for example, kids are jumping in the water for fun, the use of lifejackets should be at the discretion of the master of the vessel based on the Childs ability to swim, wind, weather etc.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

agree.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again, see no benefit in this and the downside will be an administrative burden which will likely be costly to develop the registration scheme, manage data, GDPR considerations etc. Preference would be mandatory powerboat level 2 (or similar for other craft types) to improve the baseline knowledge of navigating and using the water.

Having grown up sailing, a respect for the wind, weather and water is instilled from a young age and you are in charge of a boat that is within your capability, the problem in the loch is that anybody can purchase and operate a boat with no understanding of the maritime rules.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal has not been defined clearly. Until it is properly defined, then I cannot agree with additional legislation.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As noted, previously, mandatory powerboat level 2. Mandatory insurance cover for all vessels with the exclusion of windsurf, canoe, paddleboarding etc.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

What is the purpose of this review? The existing rules are not being managed correctly, hence new rules will have no impact on the safety on this body of water.

Why have the number of launching facilities been reduced and also why has the time the DM slip is open for launching been reduced - these actions have one single affect, which is to increase congestion and potential for injury.

## Response 66

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Windsurfing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I do partially agree with creating low-powered activity zones only if they are well marked/buoyed, but I do not agree with all proposed zones. More specifically Millarochy bay should not become a low-powered zone. On the contrary, due to increased demand of power boat users requiring launch facilities, Millarochy bay should be opened up again as a launching facility to relieve demand/congestion in summer months on Duncan Mills slipway.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

What ever the outcome, users struggle to identify speed zones due to the lack of buoys/markers so it is open to interpretation; additional markers/buoys are needed.

A straight line is easier to identify than a varying line being a specific distance from shore which is also open to user interpretation unless well marked. I think the current speed zone in this area is too large and should be reduced including a speed passage between Inchcruin and Inchfad.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal hasn't been substantiated with historical data to support the change; 94% of young users wearing lifejackets is a satisfactory figure.

If you want to address young users, target the young shore side users entering the water without lifejackets and not the young users on powered vessels who will be with an adult and already have access to lifejackets.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The current vessel registration scheme is inefficient and fails regularly when launching from Duncan Mills (the rangers regularly resort to pen and paper when taking details therefore haven't actually checked owner details and launch pass are correct and valid). Therefore, I have no faith that a larger user database would be successful.

Instead, the existing vessel registration scheme should be improved. If a contravention occurs then the registered owner is liable unless user details are provided (similar to a speeding fine in a car, the registered owner is notified and fined unless the driver at the time of the offence is offered).

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't fully understand this proposal.

If I understand correct, the LLNPA are taking full control to deal with businesses causing nuisance:

1. I don't know of any businesses causing nuisance on the Loch,
2. The LLNPA don't seem to govern current byelaws effectively so giving them sole responsibility may not be beneficial moving forward.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As detailed in Proposal 1, Millarochy bay needs to be opened up as a launch facility. My understanding is that it was closed due to cost or lack of demand. As detailed in the LLNPA data, vessel registrations have gone up and once launch facility cannot cope with demand in the summer months. Millarochy bay should be opened as a launch facility, even just for the summer months, to relieve the demand and congestion on Duncan Mills.

I do not agree with minimum training to use a powered vessel on the Loch. A certificate doesn't equal experience.

I agree that all powered vessels should have valid insurance when used on the Loch.

## Response 67

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Water skiing

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Whilst I wholeheartedly agree that Low-Powered Activity Zones should be created, the proposal has effectively marked these at locations that are considered publicly accessible and reasonably safe. As such, they are also locations where numerous loch users will arrange to meet with friends and family, meanwhile introducing them to the loch in a safe manner, which I am sure you will agree, historically this has been the case.

Therefore to completely prohibit craft access with greater horsepower than 15hp, will indeed have the potential to create risk and hazard at other locations on the loch.

I think it is reasonable to suggest that safe areas should be used by all loch users where it is done in the interest of safety, i.e., getting on and off watercraft, introducing new users to the loch, learning watersport activities.

Otherwise, it is likely that those who currently use the highlighted locations for this purpose, will be forced elsewhere on the loch and exposed to more hazardous waters.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agreed, current markings can cause confusion for users and in doing so create unnecessary work for the rangers trying ensure compliance.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Actively encourage the use of PFD's by persons on all type of watercraft.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe this would promote and encourage safe behaviors

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agreed

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Please add a comment to explain your answer  
agreed

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 68

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

Other (please specify):  
Camping

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety is paramount however if powered restricted areas are implemented. Then more ramp public access for registered vessels must be available to allow for example boat users to be able to launch from south (Balmaha), east Balloch, west, either duck bay/Luss and north, talbert etc.. This will allow fairer distribution of access to water and only then the creation of restricted area should be implemented.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree with this course of action as long as it applies to Loch users, business operating in Loch and Loch residents. One rule for all.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree but byelaw must be clear who shall be responsible for non compliance, the boat master or the adult in charge of the child. Or both being charged with sec 12 children young person act in event of fatality.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again.. Agree but byelaw must be clear who shall be responsible for non compliance, the boat master or the adult in charge of the child. Or both being charged with sec 12 children young person act in event of fatality. Penalty must be proportionate, ie: 1st offence =1 year band from boat registration, 2nd offence = 5 year ban, 3rd offence life ban of boat registration.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Only part agree with this one, as no doubt a few will be added to this for "administration work", KEEP FEES LOW Please.

£50-60 annual permit for corse fishing is excessive. Responsible fisherman are the extra eyes and ears for park rangers.

Also you are excluding access to poorer families. On same subject. Let's review parking charges.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Don't agree.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Access to all at South, West, East, and North on the Loch. Excessive car park fees, lack of appropriate parking around Loch, re instate better distributed water access around Loch, one monitored ramp at Duncan Mills is not good enough. Better management of moorings at river Leven, it's a sore eye with level of abandon, unkempt and sunk boat that affect tourism perception and present hazard to boat users.

If adding restricted low powered areas for example Millarochy Bay, and Luss, then an alternative access point needs to be created for powered vessels like Firkin Point, Cashel, Tarbert.

Better partnership with police Scotland. For example during busiest periods, summer etc, to help protect wildlife, prevent antisocial behaviour, vandalism and litter.

Designated camp sites on Loch islands as at moment it looks like free for all, with litter left behind. This could be an income opportunity, as long as there are facilities for car park overnight, with on spot fines for non compliance.

If perpetrators have a vessel registered then bans should also be imposed. Warning letters are waste of paper. Folk only learn with enforcement unfortunately.

Once a year vessel basic refresh safety input. 1 hr chat at time of registration. With vessel inspection. No attendance, no registration renewal.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

As above.



## Response 69

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> All areas of the Loch should be accesible. Introduction of zoning may reduce this accessibility, and does not address the issue of lack of knowledge or education that is the route cause of most disorder - which I understand is an uphill battle.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 70

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If I need to escort a swimmer, core of my business, within the zone I cannot take my craft inside it because it has an engine. This is not safe or practical.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Should not be dependent on age safevus safe

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Isn't there already one?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All businesses should be liable for their behaviour and praised for good practice

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

You never talk to people directly who these changes will effect eg being proactive in actually one to one face to face conversation.

Also having green involved for 50 years in open water swimming I have volunteered my services to help with the discussion of safe swimming. Never taken up by you. Therefore I have made my own links with jet skiers and boat companies very successfully on the Loch without you. Your loss.

# Response 71

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As a very experience out door instructor and powerboat instructor . I feel that The anti social behaviour of some boat users on the loch is getting to the point of life threatening . Jet skis taking over area Duck Bay beach and racing out before marker buoy. I also open water swim and have had issues with boats owned by loch Lomond leisure at luss pier [REDACTED] [REDACTED] He seemed un aware of his responsibilities as a commercial operator on the loch and it is both our responsibilities . I use bright orange tow float and other swimmers in water mostly children .  I am not against jet skis/ Boats but i think the park authority has to clamp down on the anti social behaviour of some including the drinking culture on all boats including the Gin Palaces coming out of Cameron house .

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I think more markers might help

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Cant argue with that

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I would go further and look at registered owners is deemed to have committed the offence Would need very strong id checks put in place when issuing Registration certificate and who is launching Camera taking registration plates at main access points might help

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree with this as you then have a record of what types of craft are on loch

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Looking at safety on loch I think some commercial operators (Loch Lomond leisure) aren't bothered about safety as when i hired a sup and asked where could i go was told anywhere . When i asked what if i get into difficulty (Wasnt likely ) was then told just phone us but not given any contact number and what if i dont have phone on water . I think that attitude could put life's at risk and put more pressure on rescue services

## Response 72

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> To make the Loch safer for all users

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> A simple regulation that could save lives

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should lead to more responsible adults taking liability

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Why don't the byelaws limit the use of small slip accessed at the moss of Balloch I have recently seen several jet skis launched here

## Response 73

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This would ensure safety is prioritised for activities such as swimming and paddle boarding. Jet skis in particular are often driven at high speed in all areas and current restrictions ignored.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 74

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

That is areas where all motorised mechanism's in the Loch can only be driven at a low speed at points of most interaction with other non motorised traffic including swimmers. However, I am in favour of this for boats but I want to see jet skis banned from the Loch and restricted to open sea water at a fixed distance from shore.

My experience is that the Loch is over ridden with jet skis going at high speed with no regard for teh current regulations. Jet skiers are often drunk and unruly and dangerous. The last 3 years have been particularly terrible and the Loch waters are a treacherous place to be. I am on the Loch often and saw witness this fiorst hand. They are incrediby noisy and spoil the point of the Loch. Peace, quiet, being at one with and enjpying nature. It shouldn't be another A82 race track!

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As above.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It should be compulsory to wear a flotation device to use the Loch at all. I lost my dad by drowning in the Loch and he was a medal winning swimmer and stone cold sober. Open water swimmers should carry a float too. There should be severe penalties for adults who are responsible for children who do not make them wear flotation devices.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is the best preventative action possible and makes the owner take account of who they are allowing to use their powered vessels.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It only works if enforced and as the LLNP and local Police cannot enforce the current laws of the Loch on humans and vessels then it won't work to impose more bylaws. They are a toothless tiger if not enforced so a total ban on jet skis is the only way forward to protect the safety of wildlife and human users of the Loch,.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It's a no brainer. Every user should be held accountable

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

no. Just ban jet skis as they are dangerous, noisy, unmonitored and not in compliance with the green ethos of the park boards stated aims and objectives. There are other open water places I.E - off the west coast of Scotland just about anywhere that they can go to and get a bit further out and away from non motorised traffic and people swimming.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Please ban jet skis as the sensible and caring authorities in other parts of the UK already have. There is so much open water for them elsewhere than on enclosed Lochs to race around polluting the waters making a terrible noise. Keep something back for those who can't afford a jet ski to enjoy. There is a trainline direct to Balloch allowing the ordinary person without their own transport to access the Loch. Jet ski owners by definition have a car, 4x4 or van and bring that to Balloch or elsewhere along the Lochside to put their nuisance vehicle into the Loch. Please stop this whilst you can.

## Response 75

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have had several times jet ski users coming too close to my kayak at high speed, causing substantial waves .

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Even using a tow float and swimming in a group I feel vulnerable with jet ski users close by

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 76

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Water skiing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

In part . I have no issue re lifejackets nor youth supervisory liabilities being conveyed to the adult owner that makes sense.

I have concerns re further registrations being required at no doubt further cost . We have seen the fees already rise by some 45% and if you witnessed some of the shambles at Balloch Slipway this year you are wondering where the money is going .

The specialist use of certain areas for swimmers etc is fine as long as it does not again impede those that pay these fees eg The boat users . Paddleboarders and swimmers enter the loch all over the waterline thus any enforcement of such areas will be meaningless.

Don't just consider enforcement , making byelaws is easy , its the practical enforcement and working of these on the loch that is key . The yellow marker boys in some areas are far too far from shore to be practical with young children on board on a choppy day , feel free to try it .

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The boundary around inchmoan is quite evident however far to far from the shore hence the problems you have with enforcement and your increase in contraventions. You cannot travel at that speed in choppy water with particularly children on board for that length of time . You have in effect created the issue which if you oved it in would enable boat owners still to slow in plenty of time for the shore line and its users whilst having no temptation to avoid the chop by still being on the wake travelling into it .

I fully expect the above comments will be ignored , why , because its not the flavour of the time . Concntrate on the jets skis that sit 50 yds off shore regularly showing off how fats they can go , thats your danger .

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We already have one this is just duplication and bureaucracy and no doubt more cost wholly and utterly unnecessary . You have my boat number , my mobile ,my address, my name and my dob .....what more do you want .

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

See my comments .

## Response 77

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Shocking. You only had on average 37 frontline complaints per year over a six year period and of them only half again for PWC. Considering how many people use the loch this is not excessive at all. Also the biggest area for breaches of the bye laws are in the Balloch end of the loch where the park ranger office is. The Loch is for everyone equally not just individual groups. I also feel it should be a compulsory for all wild swimmers to have a drag buoy for safety.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think the proposed 11kph line is excessive.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Sensible

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Sensible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Totally unnecessary. The boat already has registration marks so you know who owns the craft. So if have someone joining me for a day on my boat they won't be able to drive the craft if they haven't registered and sen a photo. Absolutely nonsense..  
Park authority trying to make work for themselves.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Stop trying to put restrictions on people using and doing business around the loch. You are wasting what Loch Lomond was "a free and open space for All".

## Response 78

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Equalities

## Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have to answer no because there isn't an option for "yes but with amendments" which there really should be in a full consultation.

While I agree that all loch users need to be accommodated, are the low powered users going to be restricted to using the zones or are they free to roam the rest of the loch while boat users who have been touring the loch for 30 years can no longer approach and beach at Luss when the pier is busy? I have seen several paddleboarders out in the middle of the loch with little or no protection should the water/weather change, which it can do within minutes. They are as much a danger as the powered craft.

There are better places for some of the zones that would have less of an impact on other users but it seems they have been catered to the newest users and easy access to the water, even though since the closure of Milarrochy to boat users it has never been more difficult for them to access the loch.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This seems like common sense. Several of the markers for speed limits seem to have drifted from their original position on a few occasions, so lines from fixed land marks are much easier to work with. It should also be noted that few power boats have speedometers that can register as low as 11kph.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Always worn one, from childhood onwards. In a loch with such low water temperatures everyone should be wearing them.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think it is more important to stress that young people should be under supervision of an experienced adult though rather than owners believing they can still allow young people out in higher powered vessels as long as they pay the price if/when it goes wrong. Need to prevent here rather than punish after. In a very broad and sweeping statement, in my opinion and I stress that, there is no doubt that young people are more confident on the loch and less responsible than my generation were. Especially the ones that are only out on the loch once a year. Accidents can happen to even the most experienced but a lot of it is down to how we learnt in the first place. In some ways there needs to be repercussions for the young people if they can be shown to be deliberately reckless, which I have witnessed on occasions.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

You already have registration for vessels - this should be enough to track violations. I am not in favour of any more tracking of people than we already have to put up with in other aspects of life.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No preference really, makes sense.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Yes, change the low powered zone away from Luss. There is plenty other shoreline to be used - boat owners should still have the right to visit places on the loch that they have visited for decades.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Just a reminder that when the park authority was originally created, there was dialogue that stated they would represent ALL users of the loch and the loch side. That means there should be no bans on any one group of users.

It used to be that common sense was applied on the loch and everyone got along fine in most instances, before speed limits or any other kind of zoning. If anything, adding such rules makes the loch more dangerous through complication. There unfortunately will always be people who don't apply common sense, but please avoid a sledge hammer to crack a nut!

The loch is not as busy with watercraft on a bank holiday now as it was back in the 1980's or 1990's even if more craft have been registered.

The comments recently about banning personal watercraft should be resisted, even although I admit I have witnessed some violations by people using such craft, those are the people who should be removed from the loch, not everyone else!

## Response 80

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The constant drone of jet skis and power boats is infuriating

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Although I have answered yes, I would like to say that some common sense needs to be used here. It needs to be recognised that some people know the different areas of the loch well, and children who are being closely supervised and stay well within their depth in shallow water, should not be made to use flotation devices.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 81

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I swim regularly at Duck Bay and jet ski users often ride at reckless speeds very close to the edge of the loch, including next to the few small beaches where swimmers and other loch users can get access to the loch.

On more than one occasion jet ski users have made a point of riding as close to the shore or even other loch users (including myself) as possible, again at high speeds.

I would also suggest expanding the proposed low-powered activity zone even further out from the shore, to ensure plenty of space for low-powered users given how much space there is for powered vehicles in the much deeper water.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Boundaries and usage zones are of little use if they are not clearly visible.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Wearing a PFD is a very minor inconvenience given the potential benefits.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think it should be the responsibility of whoever the young person's caregiver is to ensure offences aren't committed, and in this case the owner of the vehicle, whoever that may be, takes on that responsibility when allowing a young person to use the vehicle.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It is very common to see multiple people take it in turns to use a single jet ski / powered vehicle, and of course some use them more responsibly than others. This would help create accountability for everyone involved, and encourage better behaviour.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If the duplication is between different organisations then it seems that better communication should be in place before this bylaw is proposed (I see doing so is part of the proposal, but if better communication isn't in place promptly the new bylaw could create blind spots).

Streamlining the process for new business/trade applications is less important to me than ensuring the loch is well used and looked after, therefore I would prefer some duplication/inefficiency/delay over an increase in business activity, disruptive or not.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The booking process that stems from the Camping Management Byelaws works very well to minimise impact + create accountability. In addition to the proposals set out in this consultation - and possibly as an alternative to Proposal 5 - 'Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme' - I would propose a similar scheme for powered vehicles on the loch.

Each loch access point - such as those around the proposed low-powered zones - could be allocated a number of daily permits. Anyone wanting to access the loch on a powered vehicle would be required to book a permit in advance (this could even be done on the day, assuming there are permits left) which is then valid for the duration of that day.

Registration would require full contact info + vehicle registration for the 'lead person' making the booking, as well as a list of anyone else who might be using the vehicle during the day. This would resolve the accountability problems mentioned in the consultation document.

This proposal would have the added effect of helping to limit the maximum number of vehicles on the loch on any given day, which creates benefits such as reducing safety risks (fewer collisions, etc.) as well as minimising environmental impact and disruption for other loch users.

Businesses who loan out vehicles to daily users would be exempt from the booking system, but separately required to only rent out a certain number of vehicles at any one time. So, rather than a daily permit, they effectively have a pool of permits that replenishes any time a customer returns a vehicle. This would be much the same as their current policies / limits based on number of vehicles available.

As with the camping byelaws, these powered vehicle byelaws could be seasonal to make them more proportional to the problems being addressed. A small booking charge could help cover the costs of the scheme, and the online infrastructure for all this could probably be very similar to what is already in place for the camping permit system.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Thank you for working to address the issues raised in the consultation document.



## Response 82

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>Also the tourist ferries on the busiest days in summer just like this Saturday need to divert from using the narrows as I sat in disbelief with the amount of individuals, kids, adults and families all on the water with every kind of motor craft and the ferries trying to go through.</p> <p>It was bad enough with all the craft but then watching a ferry try to manoeuvre I couldn't believe what I was watching !!!</p> <p>Not often this happens but some common sense needed by owners on the day or a by law in place.</p> <p>Common sense lacking by someone and no different than a speeding jet ski or motor boat if not worse !!</p> <p>So many folk out enjoying themselves unaware that the ferry was even there.</p>

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The tourist ferries on the busiest days in summer just like this Saturday need to divert from using the narrows as I sat in disbelief with the amount of individuals, kids, adults and families all on the water with every kind of motor craft and the ferries trying to go through.  
It was bad enough with all the craft but then watching a ferry try to manoeuvre I couldn't believe what I was watching !!!  
Not often this happens but some common sense needed by owners on the day or a by law in place. Common sense lacking by someone and no different than a speeding jet ski or motor boat if not worse !!  
So many folk out enjoying themselves unaware that the ferry was even there.

## Response 83

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Other (please specify):

Occasional use of dinghy with (apx) 1 hp electric outboard used when camping overnight on the islands

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think the young person's parents should be responsible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not sure. Ok if low or no cost, but not sure about having more paperwork when already we register the vessel... I do understand the reasoning though, so although I ticked no, if it's not much cost of paperwork then I'd agree.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Yes, though I'm not sure what it would come under, maybe low powered vessels as you have already mentioned this as a "category"? We often kayak, but if we are doing a wee overnight camp on the islands, we have a 3-man inflatable dinghy with an electric outboard (apx 1hp). It goes slow and can take us about 10 miles before the battery drains. Before we have asked the Oak Tree for permission to launch from their jetty and they have allowed this, but it would be good to know if we can also launch

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

from Millarochy Bay as it's closer to some of the islands. We have been given conflicting information so we're still unsure if this is possible. We had been told if you can carry the dinghy to the beach without taking it in a car trailer (and we can carry it) and that if you put the outboard inside the dinghy and paddle out away from paddle boarders, kayakers etc, and then put the outboard in, that this is ok. We have also been told by another person that this is not ok. It would be good to have more than one option, as there could come a day that the Oak Tree, for whatever reason, may not want us to use their jetty. And Balloch is too far because of the electric outboard. Most people we have spoken to seem to think there's no bye law or access code that means we can't use Millarochy under these circumstances, but it is unclear. It would be good if the bye law covered this one way or another so that others in our situation know what is ok and what is not. And if it's not ok, it would be useful to have something written that gives alternatives, such as if any of the campsites let you launch and leave your car overnight. Anyway, this is a long post, but clarification would be really good. Thanks.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I really hope that you don't start restricting camping on the islands. Even if it becomes permit only, if only £4 like the Three Lochs that's cool, but if £10 each person (like on Inchcailloch) it's fine except that you'd need to book in advance and if the weather was bad (eg strong winds) and therefore unsafe to go on a small dinghy or kayak then you've wasted your money, or you risk going cos you've paid for it and then risk getting into bother cos of the weather. I hope there is another way to do this, maybe a ranger coming round to check on people and make sure they're not causing bother rather than just charging and restricting number. But yep, it's another awkward one to figure out how best to manage it.

## Response 84

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a visitor to the National Park

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I would have liked you to have gone further and banned jet skis from loch lomond, they are a noisy nuisance and have no place in a national park.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I would like you to consider ways to improve vehicle access and parking particularly to the east side of the loch where it can be very difficult to park.

## Response 85

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> Community Councillor

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

There is no provision in the new addition to the bylaws to allow anyone to maintain or look after life-saving equipment such as life belts. The wording needs changing to allow this as it stands now anyone touching these pieces of equipment could be reported for bylaw infringement.

## Response 86

## Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Current byelaws are not enforced, no point in introducing additional byelaws.

Low powered zone are not managable by the National Park

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Inchmoan is currently abused by jey bikes operating from the beach, the current boundary is not enforced.. I see little point of moving this line as it will be ignored in the same way..

Current byelaws are not enforced, no point in introducing additional byelaws.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No brainer.. makes sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No brainer, makes sense

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All Loch users should be registered so that you can obtain better statistics of use, all vessels should have a tag to identify them.. including paddle boards.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Corporate days out on the Loch are becoming all too common, left unchecked this will have a detrimental impact on nature and environment.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Enforce the Current byelaws



Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Instant fines for abusers

Impounding vessels for blatant abusers

Park Rangers to have more powers to stop and detain.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Current byelaws are not enforced, no point in introducing additional byelaws.

Low powered zone are not managable by the National Park

## Response 87

### Your details

Q1. Name: (This information will only be used should we need to contact you about your submission)

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We don't allow our children or adults in or on the water with appropriate buoyancy jackets. Far to often people out on the water with young children with no aid.

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

We are seasonal caravan owners at [REDACTED] and are horrified at the speed of jet skis / speedboats etc even when young children in the water. Some people are drinking alcohol then go out on motorised vehicles on the water

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
As above

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Those drinking alcohol should not be in charge or control of motorised vehicles on the water

## Response 88

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 89

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**  
Holiday home and boat owner

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

**Other (please specify):**  
Wakesurfing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
I agree with the locations proposed.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I strongly believe individual PWC's should be banned. I disagree with the statement in the consultation that insufficient evidence exists to warrant this as the consultation points out the vast majority of complaints are related to these. There are some responsible users but unfortunately they are a small minority. I have had numerous instances of dangerous use of PWC's getting far too close when we are towing wakesurfers. I fear that it's only a matter of time before someone is seriously hurt. If an outright ban is not to be implemented perhaps there should be limited zones where the use of a PWC is permitted.

I strongly believe there should be a compulsory level of training for anyone operating a powered vessel and I also believe there should be a minimum age to operate vessels of a certain power.

Insurance should also be compulsory.

# Response 90

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I'm all for these zones however there needs to more education for users of paddle boards etc. The growth of these through covid on the Loch has been exponential. I've seen many people on the Loch with no idea of what they're doing, where they're going and on a few occasions I've rescued a couple from the islands as they couldn't paddle back against a strong wind.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This would be a great addition.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I welcome the additional bylaws. I'd like to see further bylaws to control the use of jet skis on the Loch. The number of these on the Loch has increased dramatically over the last few years and brought with it increased anti social behaviour. Many completely disregard the existing bylaws and I feel are an accident waiting to happen on the Loch.

I feel the national park need additional resource and power to enforce the existing bylaws. Many busy weekends on the lochs around the islands there is no presence of rangers etc. I suspect this is due to a lack of resource.

# Response 91

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As many people should be encouraged to use the loch as possible bike different zones seems sensible to enhance safety.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Absolutely yes. Can't believe this doesn't already exist. But why limit to under 16s?

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 92

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This will enhance the safety of all loch users

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The effect of erosion from the wake of vessels on any of the islands is something that we must consider and help to protect

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The compulsory use can only enhance the safety of the loch users alongside reducing the call outs of the life boat etc which in turn protects all loch users.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree with this but I'm unsure what the definition on a 'young person' will be.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe that all vessels on the loch should be registered and easily identifiable, with this I personally think irresponsible owners will think twice about breaking the bye laws if there is a possibility of being identified and traced.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe whether it's an individual or a business they should be held accountable if they are deemed to be causing a nuisance and sanctions should be placed against them to prevent for acts of annoyance and or disturbance.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Day launching can cause issues but if the afore mentioned registration scheme goes ahead this may combat those users who have no consideration for other loch users.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

# Response 93

## Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Kph is not a Maine measurement nor one recognised in the UK or Scotland - use KNOTS.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> 1. The deaths we have seen in the loch have been shore based wandering in with no knowledge of the loch depth or being able to swim or with inappropriate clothing not on board vessels. The RYA recommend a voluntary code and this is supported by the RNLI for the use of perSonal floatation devices. This is narioan wide ( UK & Scotland) and works well promote this rather than having another heavy handed law

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 94

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 95

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>No! I do not agree with the proposal. I use the loch for multiple activities and sports. I am a responsible user when it comes to the use of powered craft. I take precautions and treat non motorised loch users with respect and hold their safety of great importance. Rather than implementing this proposal which detrimentally impacts on many sensible and careful loch users it would be better if we actually targeted the loch users who do not take cognisance of current by laws, the safety of other loch users. I realise that this concerns a lot of jet ski users and some motor boat users. I also realise that staff at loch facilities often get a lot of grief from these idiots and don't deserve to be subjected to that for just doing their job. I highly suspect that most of the 'problem' users launch at Duncan mills or the public slip way in Balloch and therefore travel with their craft to Loch Lomond via motor vehicle and utilise the road network.</p> <p>Why not try speaking and working with Police Scotland more often and in a greater capacity to have officers assist rangers on the loch and subsequent islands. I think taking this approach and making it less attractive for these idiots to access the loch would put them off from coming and therefore improve the safety of all loch users without punishing the sensible users.</p>

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have always respected this boundary and understand where it starts.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I wouldn't allow young people on a vessel with an open deck without this at the moment.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If you are in charge of the craft then should take the responsibility for it. Wouldn't expect anything less.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't know enough about this but anything that reduces nuisance on the loch can only be a benefit.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Work closer with police Scotland officers to support the work of the rangers and increase enforcement around the surrounding road network to make it less attractive for problematic users to attend at loch - most of these users will have multiple issues with vehicles etc.

## Response 96

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Safety reasons

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> All speed restrictions around islands welcome to reduce wake and antisocial behaviour

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Should be mandatory for all ages in all circumstances, whether open or enclosed

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Responsible use extends to responsible loaning of craft

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All responsible loch users will have no issue with this

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

A complete ban of jet skis would solve the majority of issues. Not all jet ski users are irresponsible however the vast majority of antisocial behaviour I have witnessed on and around the loch is caused by jet ski users.

A complete speed restriction across the loch would make it a safer and more pleasant place to be.

## Response 97

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an owner of land on or around the Loch

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Would love to see jet skis and similar better controlled and hope these changes will improve enforcement.  
Would also like to see more public toilet/changing facilities particularly in the Duck Bay Area.  
Enforcement of vehicles who park over night and those who park illegally along the road or on the pavement making it difficult to walk safely in this area too.

## Response 98

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Whilst I do support these zones I think you should go further and restrict them to no powered vessels

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think it is important to ensure all business is licensed to trade around the loch in the same way any trader is required to obtain a licence from a local Council. Even businesses such as Ice cream vans snack vans,, scarf sellers at football matches all need a local Authority street trades licence.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

May I suggest you look at compulsory insurance for motorised vessels? Any private marinas insist on this for using their facilities such as slipways and berths.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Can you please look at increasing your water patrols and carrying out more enforcement. The behaviour of most jet skis and an increasing amount of boats is beyond appalling.

## Response 99

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The areas around Luss are often very busy and other than accessing the jetty, no vessels should Be within the beach areas for safety

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Rangers have not checked access to personal flotation devices for some time. Most users of the Loch do not use devices at present and access to the slips should be subject to wearing a device.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I'm unsure how you will logistically manage this as currently policing if the bye laws is limited and poor Behaviour by jetskis and those speeding around jetty and beach areas, drunk and drug driving on the Loch are not challenged. Rangers should either have more rights to police or the police should Be an active presence particularly in the summer months, when it can be an aggressive and dangerous environment.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Drug and alcohol use for those in charge of vessels should be managed. I have come across many young people under the influence.  
Vessel users do not know the rules of the Loch and have no idea of the rules of how to give way and manage their vessels.  
Vessels should have insurance and preferably mandatory training or RYA accreditation.  
Rangers have stopped managing the slip at busy times, it's turned into chaos with inexperience staff allowing assort of  
Poor practice and limiting the turn over of launch and retrieval. Safety is an issue here.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Safety is important and as long as everyone can continue to access the loch the byelaws are fair.

# Response 100

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Other (please specify): Cold water therapy

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I regularly attend duck bay for cold water therapy and paddle boarding which I am a beginner at best. I've noticed an increase in boats and jet skis being used close to shore and parked on the shore during busy peak times when the water is full of people swimming or paddling. Some of the jet skis do not appear to adhere to the speed restrictions in place.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Speed restrictions can only be a good thing and will hopefully keep people safe.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I believe it should be compulsory for all people to wear a flotation device.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Registered owners may be less likely to allow younger people to use their vessels unaccompanied if they know they can face liability for offences committed. Too many people do not face consequences for their actions.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is a brilliant idea and should definitely help make identifying vessels and their users easier if they have been using the Loch in an unsafe manner.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As a regular user of Duck Bay I feel like I haven't seen the byelaws and don't actually know which ones exist. Apart from looking them up on line I feel the pertinent ones to that area should be sign posted and if they already are this signage should be clearer as I have never seen it.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I agree with them all and feel they can only assist in making the Loch safer for those who use it.



# Response 101

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The numbers of powered water vehicles, in particular jet ski's is intolerable, particularly when the behaviour shown by many of them is dangerous!!! Low-powered activity zones would definitely help with this...

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 102

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The cost to police and admin this might not be feasible. Will reduce spontaneity of use of loch lomond and reduce time to use the loch and increase inconvenience for users

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

However this cannot be cost prohibitive for traders  
There should be allocated days when small traders can trade freely

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Adequate refuse provision all year round  
Removal of double yellow lines at beauty spots and ticketing where no real hazard has taken place  
More control for rangers to ensure that people clear up after their use of the loch  
Maintenance of trees and overgrowth so that the beauty of the loch can be enjoyed

## Response 103

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): Informal recreational swimming close to shore, paddling / water play with my young children

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This makes perfect sense. If anything the zones should be more extensive. A programme of awareness raising with stakeholders and loch users would be useful to make sure everyone is aware of the changes.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This seems like a pragmatic and balanced solution. It would be useful to clarify the evidence that loch users find it easier to use a fixed geographical feature to ascertain the low speed area, but on the whole this seems sensible.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As a parent I fully support this. Makes perfect sense if enforceable.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes perfect sense if enforceable.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree with the principle but this does seem quite hard to manage and enforce. How will the NPA ensure that users are putting themselves forward for registration? How will this will be enforced? What fine or other enforcement measures will be used when a user is found to not be registered?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think the big challenge with all of this is enforcement and the need for the NPA (and partners like Police Scotland) to strike a balance between enforcement / stick based measures and education and awareness-raising.

To have any value the bye-law changes must be underpinned by a robust enforcement regime otherwise they will be of very little deterrent value. Who will deliver the enforcement role? The Police, rangers, both? The resource for this needs to be agreed in parallel with any changes to the bye-laws.

My personal feeling is that the balance of loch users is currently tilted too much towards those using powered vessels. It's plain to see that the enjoyment of a relatively small number of users negatively impacts that of a much larger group of non-powered recreational users.

The water safety aspect is of vital importance, and this is rightly the focus of the proposals. But the noise nuisance of jet-skis in particular is chronic and should be addressed.

Water recreation on the loch is changing and bye-laws and advice need to evolve with changing times to support sustainable management and use of this amazing natural asset.

I have no doubt that opinion on these changes will be fairly polarised and I don't for a minute envy the job of the NPA and it's Board in reaching a balanced decision. But I think it's vital to consider the magnitude of the user populations who are impacted in this context - the many 1000s of unpowered recreational users vs the 100s of powered users.

## Response 104

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It can be scary with jet skis sailing close to paddleboarders and creating waves that can knock you off

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

## Response 105

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Generally agree, however feel they are not ambitious enough.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Seems logical

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Reasonable action

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Introduction of noise limits for powered craft used on Loch. Many PWC are unnecessarily loud. This would significantly improve non powered user experience on loch.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Areas defined in proposal 1 appear very small. Larger areas would have made a more significant and meaningful impact.



## Response 106

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Users of jet skis in particular appear to be very aggressive and intimidating at times. They head for the shore where other users are and appear to come as close as possible before turning at speed causing alarm and a substantial wake . This makes it more difficult for swimmers, paddle boarders etc. Indeed, I gave up and left because of them last Friday evening (12thAugust). I try to use the Loch early in the morning as a result.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

To make owners more responsible when deciding to allow use.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 107

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have encountered people on kayaks and paddle boards in open water far away from shore, who appear inexperienced. I agree they should have safe areas to enjoy their activity.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe some of the speed restricted areas are overly severe, and there is more scope for them to be reduced or have a higher speed permitted. This is because craft such as mine actually create more wake at 11 KPH than say 20 KPH. I believe the eastern side of the loch between the shore and the islands should allow some open speed areas to provide better access to Luss, Rowardennan and Northwards from the Southern end of the Loch

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree that the growth of PWC use on the Loch is out of control, and the blatant disregard for the speed limits, particularly around the islands is going to cause a serious accident. I have used the Loch on and off for 30 years, and operate within the bylaws. I don't want my activities restricted by bylaw changes caused by reckless use of Jetskis. At the same time, Jetski users should be allowed to use the water provided they operate within the rules.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I believe the speed restrictions are too severe on the East Coast of the Loch around the islands. The route North via the West coast by Aldochlay is restricted, which is fair enough. It should be an option to go round the East coast route without speed restrictions to access Luss and Rowardennan and further North.

## Response 108

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The Loch is owned by the community and not the park authority given the park authority has failed to enforce any bye laws there is no point in creating additional bye laws

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Same as above Park authority is unable to enforce any byelaws

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> However maybe if you wakened up and funded the rescue boat and implement a in house training program you would get huge buy in rather than your ad hoc model currently in place!!

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again pie in the sky and impossible to enforce by weak and inept park authority

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Clearly original registration scheme is not being managed by park authority

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Yes

The Park Authority requires to be shut down due to gross incompetence of executive

The Loch is owned by the community and they are constantly ignored to appease business owners eg Luss Estates

## Response 109

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I was in luss last Thursday and was shocked at the size of boats coming straight through people swimming and paddleboarding in the water, there didnt seem to be a designated channel for them to go through and a particular large boat went horizontal past the beach with swimmers, paddleboarders and kayakers either side including kids. I love a jet ski but the noise was constant and again coming right through people swimming at luss beach then obvious racing between friends on jet skis at alarming speeds. The amount of people drinking on boats all day then sailing off early evening was shocking. A group of young boys in charge of a boat with no visible adult having beers. Is there no offence for drinkdriving in a boat? Myself and my husband were sure there was dealings out in the middle of loch between a boat and different jet skis. A policing of the loch would be good. I am a qualified open water swim coach and regular swimmer aswell as a paddleboarder.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes completely agree

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Please see answer above for example

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
100% needed

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Please see answer above as we want spend a number of hours watching the view

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Police boat  
Designated official entry points for jet skis ...I got asked to move as someone was reversing their jet ski in from a random point.  
Marked channels for boats to steer then Moor.

## Response 110

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

An individual employed by a charity on east LL

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This seems a sensible measure to allow different user groups to enjoy the loch

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Simplicity will help with compliance

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is a no-brainer - folks wearing PFDs are likely to have better outcomes in emergency situations.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Will encourage owners to make responsible decisions about who is allowed to borrow their craft.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Seems reasonable and useful when things have gone wrong.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't have detail on this so have no opinion yet.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The noise and disturbance from fast powered craft can be a nuisance, but I understand that folks have a right to enjoy themselves. These changes will help to balance user requirements and guard physical safety of loch users.

# Response 111

## Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Jet skiers make swimmers feel vulnerable.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
May be difficult to enforce though

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Suggest powered craft should be electric, for eco-friendliness, quieter environment and lack of fumes

## Response 112

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**  
As a Loch user/national park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Low powered activity zones would be perfect for people in boats or paddle boards which are at risk from wake from high powered boats but also there should be "safe areas" for wake boarding and fast towing sports

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All boat users should have personal flotation devices donned while on deck of boats at all times, this will save life's!

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As a fully qualified power boat handler I completely agree with this, if you own a boat or ski you are responsible for any under age people using it

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes every user of a boat should be registered, things such as drug driving or drink driving a boat should result in a ban,

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As a fully qualified professional who has spent most of my career at sea on yachts, power boats, ferries, motor cruisers etc I was astounded when I arrived at the boat slip and while I'm being given a lecture on life jackets for the kids (which they had on) I completely agree with and encourage the enforcement of life jackets as I have regularly pushed it upon people! The speed boat beside be pulled up with several young guys, getting there carry out from the car and smoking cannabis while launching there boat got absolutely no advise or guidance, personally in my professional career I have turned people who are impaired from drink or drugs away from getting on a boat or using the slipway services at marinas that I've worked in previously with the full backing of my employers, the fact that you allow people who are clearly smoking cannabis to use the slipway and use a powered vessel is completely beyond my comprehension.

# Response 113

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

# Response 114

## Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> As a National Park resident and as an individual loch user (Q should allow for more than one capacity to be expressed?)

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Fishing
Other (please specify): shoreline recreation, regular visits to locations such as Inchcailloch, for example during bluebell season

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I was fortunate this summer to spend 2 weeks visiting Lake Annecy in Eastern France. The lake has an area of 28 km2. It is a major French and international tourist destination. The large town of Annecy (51,000) is located on its shores. As a result of sophisticated, well managed land and water use policies it appears to cope well with the extensive pressures and potential conflicts that arise on the lake. Its waters remain among the purest in Europe. For a large number of reasons, it is obviously unrealistic to make direct comparisons between the two water bodies. However, I have responded to the consultation by referencing what I thought were some of the more relevant arrangements that I saw around Lake Annecy.  Low-powered activity zones are extensively used on Lake Annecy. In some zones and in parts of others, all powered craft are completely excluded. Overall, this was seen as an important health and safety measure, but also as a way of reducing noise pollution and shoreline damage from the swash created by speedboats, water skiing, jet skis, etc.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> A large part of the northern end of the Lake, nearest to the town of Annecy itself, is subject to speed restrictions. There is an obvious need for this as this is the busiest area of the lake and has multiple

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

categories of users. At various other parts of the lake, there are speed restrictions close to the shore and powered craft are not permitted to come within a certain distance of the shore. In at least one area of the lake, reed beds, protected by timber barriers, have been planted. As well as providing habitats for water birds and spawning fish, these help keep powered craft from coming too close to the shore and also protect the shore line from erosion by the swash from passing craft. In my view, the 11 kph boundary should be extended, not reduced. Practical difficulties in enforcement should not be a reason for not dealing with a problem.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It's just common sense to have this protection for users of all ages on any water body , let alone a large and deep loch such as Loch Lomond. The capability to make informed choice is a useful argument here.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I am aware that this consultation document essentially relates to the bylaws that apply to the use of the loch itself. However the management of the shoreline and the areas immediately around the Loch are also vital to protecting the quality and amenity of this hugely important resource.

Some of the other practices that I saw on Lake Annecy that might be worth consideration are as follows:

Bylaws are widely displayed around the lake shore and are downloadable from much of the online information about the lake.

Segregation and exclusion of different categories of use are widely employed. Jet skis and water skiing for example are only allowed in a few and relatively small areas of the lake.

All of the shoreline beaches I saw had good amenities (showers, toilets etc) and were meticulously maintained. Smoking and dogs were generally not allowed on the beaches.

Strict measures to ensure water quality and avoidance of pollution from industrial and domestic sources have been in place since the late 1940s.

There is a climate of care and respect among both residents and visitors with regard to their use of the lake and which makes them supportive of the measures in place to protect it. I accept that this culture is clearly something that cannot be grown overnight. As far as I could tell, there also appeared to be a common strategy and highly integrated and structured joint working arrangements between all the different groups who have a role for managing the use of the lake.

There were numerous measures in place to disperse visitors away from honeypot areas such as the shoreline next to the town of Annecy; for example:

- Free bus travel around the lake and surrounding hills during the summer months.
- Buses equipped to transport bicycles to areas to which cyclists might not otherwise venture
- Bicycle and pedestrian paths separated from routes carrying vehicular traffic



Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I attempted to import / paste some images taken around Lake Annecy but was unable to do so.

# Response 115

## Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

A total ban on PWCs should be considered as most of the problems come from these users high speed within low speed zones and moorings / high speed through the Narrows at night / noise within areas causing panic to wildlife / passing too close to other vessels causing a wake and expecting the other vessels to give way to them / general bad and yobbish behaviour .

## Response 116

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Sailing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I feel this will make it safer for swimmers, etc at key locations. I also feel the Duck bay area should be

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

extended south to include Duck Bay. The Luss area would mean that PWC users wishing to access village facilities would have to use the pontoon, which is already over used.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Looks sensible, would be nice to do the same at Inchmoan North Bay

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't really feel there is evidence for this and should be responsibility of parents/carers. I feel it should be all persons or none

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

agree to the principle, however should it not be the liability of the person in charge of the young person?

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I feel that a young person should be required to register as a user, and that at this point the person responsible can be declared. The definition of Nuisance should be improved would be good to further define annoyance.

# Response 117

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Just makes sense

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Should be mandatory for all persons taking to the water

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Totally

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not sure how this will be enforced

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Any person not registered, and using the Loch should be fined, and /or banned. The Southern part is used quite frequently by "neds". Behaviour is anti social. Personally I avoid the Duck Bay area and Luss for this very reason

## Response 118

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I strongly agree with the introduction of low powered zone but I think the proposal does not go far enough. A full segregation scheme is required near popular beaches and pontoons to ensure the safety of all users. The zone highlighted in the map should be made into an exclusion zone for all powered crafts to provide a safe swimming area and swimmers should be prohibited from using the pontoons for sunbathing, fishing and diving. Approaching a pontoon for docking even with a small craft and at low speed can be very stressful when people are jumping from the pontoon and swimming under and around it. We had difficulty docking at Luss multiple times because of people sunbathing on the pontoon and children almost jumping onto the boat from the pontoon as we approached. We had problems in Balmaha with the same issues and with people fishing from the pontoons. Pontoons should remain clear to allow safe docking activities. On the other hand popular beaches should be reserved to the enjoyment of swimmers; those with boats have access to many quiet beaches where the concentration of swimmer is very low even on busy summer days.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Again agree with the proposal but it does not go far enough. 11 is too fast and creates too icy of a wake for kayakers and paddle boarders. The speed limit should be lowered to 5 in my view.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The obligation of wearing PFDs should extend to all loch users.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

1. Drinking and use of powered vessels on the loch. There should be no tolerance for drink driving of any vessel under power on the loch.
2. Size of engine and noise level. Loch Lomond is within a national park and preserving this resource for users that wish to enjoy nature should be prioritised. The park authority needs to make bold decisions to progressively and gradually reduce the size of engine allowed on the Loch and reduce the noise level from powered vessels darting up and down the loch.
3. In line with climate change and net zero commitments of Scottish government and the educational value of the park, Loch Lomond should make a commitment to phase out powered vessels and go electric only by 2030. The park should prepare for the transition by improving facilities for swimmers, paddle boarders, sailors and kayakers, including changing areas, launch and storage facilities, and encouraging businesses focusing on green activities. Loch Lomond has the potential to host international swimming events, triathlons and similar organised sporting activities.
4. Access to slipways around the loch for non powered vessels like small sailing dinghies should be created. Many of these crafts need to be towed and can be heavy and difficult to lift around obstacles. They are difficult to put in the water from a beach without a small slipway. Dinghies are towed in a road trailer that accommodates a launch trailer that septates for the road trailer and is pushed in the water by hand. They require no vehicular access to the slipway itself, but they require storage of road and launch trailers. Trying to launch a sailing dinghy by hand from Duncan Mills is a daunting task because of the concentration of powered vessels everywhere. Balmaha offers an option but it is again very busy with powered boats. We resort to pay for access to the slipways of the campsites on the east side of the loch where we can park trailers and launch sailing dinghies unhindered. It seems unfair that those creating no noise and no emissions are penalised in access over those with powered vessels. A solution could be to ask Loch Lomond sailing club to do more to support the sailing community by giving access to their slipway. The club facilities are for members only, and membership is reserved only to those racing, which is a minority elite of the sailing community. The club should of course chose their aims and their membership. Hence the park should choose if providing sailors with



Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

separate and additional facilities to Loch Lomond sailing club or engage with them on improving access for all sailors of the existing slipway when not in use by club organised activities.

# Response 119

## Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The Loch should be safe for all type of users Also low powered users and swimming should also respect areas out with this and carry appropriate visible devices

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Totally agree

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The park authority should have full powers to police byelaws

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The byelaws are there for all users and all motor driven vehicles included in any future changes.

## Response 120

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Introduction of zones would cause conflict amongst user groups the park authority already struggles to enforce existing restrictions. The existing 11kph zones are enough to allow quieter zones for these activities

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Zone is too large should be reduced.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Existing registration scheme is sufficient

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
The definition of nuisance can be interpreted in line with individuals or organisations preferences.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Stop the park authority's seemingly dogged determination to restrict enjoyment of the loch for powered craft users

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 121

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Sailing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 122

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I am on the Loch almost every weekend and apart from a very small very few areas one being Luss I don't see a problem it's a very big Loch there's more than enough room for everyone to enjoy it .

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again don't see the need.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There shouldn't be a need to make this compulsory if the adults were acting responsible.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If there old enough to be in sole charge then there old enough to accept the consequences of there action.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is all getting to much .

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I just don't see the need ,it's probably customers around business that are causing the problem

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As far as problem on the Loch especially at luss I do not see very often or hardly ever a patrol boat to deal with the problems I see there all the time ,I would have a patrol based there permanently



Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Yes enough is enough

## Response 123

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an owner of land on or around the Loch

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Sailing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I'd prefer compulsory for any and everyone on the water in pleasure craft

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

I consent

## Response 124

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 125

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 126

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Water skiing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes low powered areas to protect swimmers, sup, canoe but still allow access to public piers for higher powered vehicles

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. Markers are not visible at the moment

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Insurance/public liability. Power boat cert should be mandatory

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 127

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Should be adults too

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should be extremely clamped down on...far too many idiots going mental fast in speed limits creating wash

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 128

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have been using the lock for the last 35 year for kayaking and power boating.

I'm a level 2 coach with the BCU and I would like to carry on exploring the Loch as I always have non restricted.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe there should be speed restrictions on the Loch.

I believe if there was a go slow between DMS and Inchmurrin I think this will give the national park all the people that want to break the law in their front garden.

And of course give protection to the boats that get damaged against pontoons as it's a free for all.

This is a good sized area and probably one of the busiest parts of the Loch.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

100% agree with life jackets on kids.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 129

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I'm happy with low speed zones and adhere to the existing ones. However depending where you plan to ban boats may impact my fishing.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I thought this was the case anyway

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Driving boats/waterskiis should have a minimum age limit anyway. I can't understand why minors would be allowed out on a boat on their own.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again, I thought this was the case.  
If you mean for non motorised craft then no IE kayak then no.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Certain boating companies think they own the place.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Ban jet skiis altogether.  
Leave boats alone  
Police it more  
Allow launching at millarachy again or get another slipway  
Refund pass users for all the days you have been closed for swimmers

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Too many and mainly negative towards the park authorities decision makers. Not the rangers .

## Response 130

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It's not the zones it's the people!

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Electric craft is a joke fossil fuels will be around for years don't push it! It's bad enough as it is  
There is no electric craft being made stop pushing so early!

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Electric craft is a joke fossil fuels will be around for years don't push it! It's bad enough as it is  
There is no electric craft being made stop pushing so early!

## Response 131

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Other (please specify):  
PTSD Therapy

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Having stayed at Luss Campsite for 2 weeks this summer I fully support a No Motorised zone around Luss. There should be a Channel into the Hotel and back out.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This makes sense. There is enough loch for all to use and the preservation of the eroding islands needs to take priority.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

ALL personnel should be wearing a Lifejacket. If you are on a Boat, Ski, SUP or sailing vessel you should be wearing a life preserver or life jacket.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The issue is the Policing of the loch by the National Park. If you are not taking responsibility of the policing then the law changes are pointless.

The Rangers even when violations are reported do not do anything about it and have on multiple occasions in a 2 week period this summer whilst I was in Luss actually turned round and went the other way rather than dealing with the issues presented.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The current Bylaws already have registration. Do not complicate the process that you already cannot maintain.

The biggest issue is actually following through the complaints that are reported rather than ignoring them. Twice this year I have reported Blatant violations with video evidence only to be told that it is not the parks responsibility it is Police Scotland. When contacting Police Scotland they say it is Park authority. Take ownership of your own problems rather than confusing the bylaws to try and hide the issues of Lack of engagement by the LL authority.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All businesses should be registered and also provide insurance details. Calamity Kayaks in Millochery have several times this year told me that they are an enforcement agency of the LLNPAA when requesting their indemnity insurance as they let people out on Kayaks with no life jackets.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

There should be a No motorised/Sail Vehicle in a Cordoned off area of LUSS and Rowerdennan.

This is to prevent the inevitable death of Swimmers swimming across the main boating channel. Swimmers are going out with no thoughts of their safety as they have no swim markers or life jackets but it is then blamed on the Boat,Ski,sailboat if they are struck. Ownership of stupidity needs to be enforced with Swim zones.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

LLNPA Need to take ownership of the issues and stop blaming everyone else. The lack of policing or enforcement of bylaws and blatant ignorance isn't the answer to resolving these issues.

All loch users should be required to show competence or Qualification (PWC/RYA powerboat level 1/2) prior to being issued with documentation.

All loch users should have to prove that they have INSURANCE to be using any craft on the loch. If you were on a Motorbike or Car you would have to prove insurance so why not the loch. This is a minimal legal requirement for Motorised vehicles so should also be the minimum standard on the loch.

Biggest issue in my opinion is the IGNORANCE and blatant disregard for any form or acceptance of responsibility from LLNPA.

When reporting issues these should be logged in an occurrence book for senior management or Head ranger to review and follow up. As **a Retired Army Warrant Officer class 1** having served 25 years in multiple operational war-zones the key to dealing with problems and issues is 1, taking ownership and 2. Documenting them so they can not be ignored.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I would be happy to increase my Annual fee for registration to £25-30 per vessel to ensure issues are addressed and staff can be attained to deal with the problems on the loch.

Loch Lomond is massive for tourism in the region and draws in hundreds of thousands of people annually, one of the reasons is that the loch facilitates the use of vessels of all kinds and shapes. These must be managed if the loch is to prosper moving forward. Banning or limiting specific crafts is not the answer, Dealing with the people committing the offences is.

I do not support and Ban on Waterski's or Boats and fully support the LLNPA policing the issues surrounding users who ignore the Bylaws.

I suffer from Complex PTSD and use the loch as my Safe Place where I go on my Jet-Ski or boat to get away from the demons, death and horrors from military operations in my career spanning War Zones across the globe. If this was taken from me then I do not know how I would continue without this coping mechanism. I am part of a large group of civilians/veterans who use the loch as a coping mechanism for PTSD. These are spread across multiple trades and social groups including people from Ambulance service, Fire Brigade, Police and many more roles who see the most horrifying things in life but still have to function regardless so use the loch as their safe place for their own sanity.

In Summary, Dealing with the bylaw breakers is Key. Just blanket punishing certain groups Jet-Ski's or Boats is not the answer. If the area had issues with a motorcycle group or Teenagers in fast cars then we would not be banning them from the full 26miles surrounding the loch so Why is this even being considered for the loch.

Lack of and NO Acceptance of responsibility is the key issue.



## Response 132

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 133

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I disagree with phasing out all fossil powered craft.

## Response 134

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 135

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 136

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Think it's a safe way for people doing certain activities to keep them safe

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Keep the island safe

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Fully agree my son and any friends he takes always wear life jackets

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

They gave permission so should be held responsible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

That way anyone can be identified if they are being unsafe or doing something silly

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Better policing prosecution of the people not following the bye laws and dealing with them and not tarring all other sensible users with the same brush we all like to be safe and have some fun on the water with a minority ruining it for all

Don't push to ban powered watercraft as we enjoy doing water sports and camping every weekend at the Loch

## Response 137

### Your details

Q3. Age:
16 – 24

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Someone will be killed if jet skis and speed boats aren't controlled around the main island cluster (ie) inchmoan  Hi would take it a stage further and ban them from this area, or ban jet skis from the Loch full stop.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Zone Needs to be marked better

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> No brainer



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Definitely, an adult needs to be ultimately responsible and accountable.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree, providing this is free and not used to rob us for additional revenue .

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Jet skis need to be banned from the Loch .

And a blanket 11 kph speed limit enforced across the whole Loch

## Response 138

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes in principle providing swimmers sup users stick to those areas and don't act irresponsible going into none restricted areas

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 139

### Your details

Q3. Age:

16 – 24

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 140

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The current boundaries are sufficient enough.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree that flotation devices should be worn but sometimes this can be quite hard with smaller children who find them uncomfortable and in warm days can make them sweat.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There is one already. Unless I'm missing something.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Its quite funny that reducing carbon emissions is not a key highlight within this survey. This is the main reason i have came in here! I am against this proposal and others within the loch lomond boats and jetskis group will have the same opinion. People spend a lot of money on this loch using said vehicles and to even think about removing them from the loch is absurd. I have been on this loch for over 20 years and enjoyed various watercraft. Against this proposal from the start.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 141

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Wake boarding

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 142

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Other (please specify):

Tubing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have been a Loch User since my childhood, I have been lucky enough to use the Loch with my family and friends for over 40 years. In this time I have witnessed a lot of change, some good some bad, I have also witnessed a lot of stupid behavior, by boat users, PWC users, swimmers, paddle boarders, fishermen

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

and so on .

In my time on the Loch my family and friends and I have visited many spots and played from many shores. Some days we may be at one side of the loch and a friend or family member will be joining us at a later time, 9 times out of 10 the proposed pick up area is one of these proposed areas. If powered craft users above 15hp are being responsible and abiding by the speed limit on that particular area what harm are they doing by entering it?

Also an example, a very good one, of the benefits of having powered craft in all areas of the loch is beneficial to loch safety.... a few years back a few of us were having a break from waterskiing and having a picnic on the beach at Manse Bay, it was a busy summer's day and the beach was busy, as was the water with kids swimmers inflatable boats etc. My friend and I noticed two young kids on a dinghy with no life-jackets getting a bit far out, their parents were too busy getting stuck in about their case of beer to pay attention. Just as well we were as no sooner did we see the dinghy tip and both kids were in the water in trouble. Like lightning we were on our feet, on our boat and out to rescue the kids who would have MOST CERTAINLY DROWNED if we hadn't been there. When we got the kids safe in the boat and back to shore their parents were none the wiser and barely thanked us for our efforts.

The fact and point here is, if higher powered craft are excluded from these areas such rescues will not take place and lives may be lost. The Loch rescue boat does a tremendous job, but the guys can't be everywhere at once and due to this souls may perish, however vigilance and willingness by other loch users WILL save lives.

Responsible loch users should not be penalized and excluded from areas that they have used and in a way patrolled and in my instance saved lives on for years .

The LLATPA are trying to create a safe zone for non motorized sports, I respect that the loch is for all types of activities and but by creating a safe zone where larger craft are not permitted may actually make these areas more prone to fatality.

Another point worthy of note, Areas of the loch, for example Cashel bay are not restricted by the 150m shoreline rule so are widely used by waterskiers, like myself, seeking calm and sheltered waters to enjoy a good experience. These areas I would class as powered watersports areas, so by creating safe areas for non motorised activities will areas solely for powered activities be created? There has been a few times this season alone when we have been playing on an area like Cashel, for a while, when suddenly paddle boarders or open water swimmers appear, surely they must be able to see that by entering this area they are putting themselves in a dangerous situation .

This year alone the amount of times I have had to stop and advise loch users on paddle boards, and such like non powered inflatables, to go to shore and put a life jacket on is absurd. I have had polite replies and I have had the 'What the F\*\*\* has it got to do with you' replies. Regardless if safe areas are created or not a lot of these loch users will not stay within the safe zones and will find themselves in tricky situations, therefore if caring individuals like myself friends and family don't lookout and try and advise these people lives will be lost. These types of loch users need to be policed, monitored and dealt with also.

In my opinion a much tighter policing and monitoring of higher powered craft within these areas is a much better policy. Anyone caught abusing the limits at any point should be dealt with in a stringent manner, none of this slap on the wrist nonsense, strict and decisive action should be taken, Fines and Loch bans should be imposed.

As a long time loch user I truly believe the loch is for everyone, yes sometime people will bang heads and disagree about things but when push comes to shove NO other human being would stand by and let anyone perish without trying to help. If these areas are exclusion zones folks like myself won't be there to help when these incidents occur and it would be down to a bad decision made by the LLATPA.

I hope you will take my points into your decision.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This area is a nightmare to be sure where and where not speed can be achieved so yes great idea, that way even everyone should be able to understand better .

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

100%

This is something i agree with very strongly. I actually think that wearing of life-jackets for all ages should be compulsory.

I have used the loch for around 40 years and the rule i was taught ,and still abide by and teach my kids and friends, is if your on my boat or toys you are wearing a lifejacket.

NO LIFE-JACKET NO BOAT ! simple as that .

I wont let my kids swim at the shore without wearing one as i am so aware of the potential dangers of the loch

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

You should teach your young people to be a responsible captain from an early age ,this will promote loch safety and courtesy and hopefully saves lives and create a safe loch environment.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If you are sensible person not planning to break and byelaws or cause nuisance on the loch why would you be opposed to this?

Hopefully by introducing this it may help filter out some of the idiots who seem to think its ok to act like muppets on the water.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think this is a good idea as it will hopefully prevent any cowboys trying to start a sideline at the shore and possibly not have the proper equipment, insurances etc if things did go wrong.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

#### DRINK DRIVING!

Consuming Alcohol whilst captaining ,being in charge or supervising a craft should be banned.

You cannot drive a car on the public highways whilst under the influence of alcohol so why is it that so many watercraft users see being on a the loch as an excuse to get intoxicated and jump on their craft of choice and go wild?

This is a very dangerous action ,which i cannot understand hasn't been dealt with long ago.

I once ,very reluctantly, reported two chaps on the beach at Inchmurrin. They arrived with their cuddy type boat unloaded cases of beer and sat for hours getting smashed before going out in the previously mentioned boat to fool around.I saw this and thought, no not on,made a call to LLATPA .

A short while later one of the patrol boats came by,i witnessed them chat to the the boat users and then cruise off,leaving the guys i reported to continue with their beer in hand in their boat!

When i returned to Duncan Mills later that day the captain of the patrol boat approached me and asked if i had made the call,he obviously saw my boat on the island,to which i said yes.He continued to thank me for my report and concern but didnt think the guys were that bad.This isnt good enough, i had witnessed these guys drink a whole load of beers,jump on their boat beer in hand and go for it!

I felt like a fool as i very rarely report anyone for anything unless i feel it is absolutely necessary.

My point is more vigilant policing and banning of alcohol whilst being in charge of a craft is VERY IMPORTANT.

This is a way to prevent lose of life and nuisance on the loch.

## Response 143

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I do agree as makes a safe area for people to swim, paddle board etc. unfortunately there is a minority of loch users that make this a sensible suggestion on the grounds of safety.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I have used the loch my whole life and believe the current boundaries are more than sufficient. I would suggest that the national park make more of an effort to challenge the minority that may not adhere to the current boundaries rather than introducing more!

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I can see the reasons behind this but I believe it should be the responsibility of the person in charge of any vessel to be responsible for passenger safety and this should be reviewed by the individual in charge.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

There should be a pfd for each passenger and they should be worn as and when required depending on vessel setup and circumstances

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I do agree as the owner is and should be responsible for the use of any craft and should instruct any users to obey any of the bylaws.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Further to this I believe any loch user should be trained and competent in the use of any craft they intend to use on the Loch. By means of proof of formal training. I believe this is the only way we can get rid of the incompetent minority that ruin it for all other responsible users. We all know there is a problem with a minority of users so please do something to sort this rather than punishment for all!

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree that if any business is causing a nuisance on the loch they should be held accountable if responsible.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I believe that the only way to solve the problems on the loch is to ensure that every user is competent by the means of formal training and being able to prove that. It is too easy to just show up having never been on the loch and 5 minutes later your out there doing as you please and ruining for all the people like myself who have completed formal training and have insurance etc for the use of my vessel

## Response 144

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 145

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Individuals should be responsible. Existing byelaws need ENFORCED, not further changes which impose restrictions.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No objection, but really don't see the point. Number of loch users has not increased significantly in the past 10years.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree, safety first at all times.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Completely agree.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

What is wrong with the existing registration scheme? I presume this is for paddle boarders to stop them littering?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Byelaws need enforcement, not further restrictions

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

It is ridiculous to propose a full ban on powered craft in the next 10years in the name of being carbon neutral..what about people's mental health. The loch and use of powered craft responsibly is fundamental to family time, relaxation and fun for all.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Yes, enforcement is needed. Not changes!!!

## Response 146

### Your details

Q3. Age:
16 – 24

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This won't fix anything as speed limit zones are already in place and they make sure powers vessels are going at safe speeds that don't disturb the water

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> it's fine as is and would only confuse loch users that know the speed limits

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> it should be on the vessels discretion wether life vests should or should not be worn



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

who ever is driving the vessel is responsible not the owner

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

it will only complicate everything the numbers work fine as is

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

this doesn't effect me

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

speed limit by osprey point should have a channel created through it so powers vessels can cruise from the the bottom of the loch to the top without having to troll creating more pollution

## Response 147

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 148

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Are we going to introduce powered craft only areas. Education would be a better approach in my opinion.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

In honesty I just thought this was plain common sense..

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 149

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I do not believe that this is required, we already have large speed limit zones including 150m from most shorelines. Again, we just need to enforce the current byelaws more effectively.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The current 11kph speed limit zones are appropriate. No ammendments to these are required, only better enforcement of the current limits are needed.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes this should be mandatory, there does not seem to many reported instances of any accidents from powered vessels due to not wearing PFDs but there seems to be an increasingly amount of cases for lack of PFDs for un-powered vessels I.e., paddleboards etc.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes I agree, Registered owners should be liable to answer to any misuse of their vessel.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

On a trial basis though. Obtaining as much info from all users could be a good idea to monitor anti-social behaviour.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Everyone including trade, should answer to the same rules as everyone else, they should be setting an example and they know better as they cannot say they don't know the rules/byelaws. Heavy fines and bans should be given to trades who break the rules.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The current byelaws, although dated are still appropriately effective if only they were enforced to a higher standard. One patrol boat is not enough, multiple patrol boats are required and more presence of them is required. Not just on sunny days and when the conditions are pleasant.

Mandatory basic skill courses and proof of insurance would be a deterrent for just any user to launch a vessel in the Loch with absolutely no experience and compulsory insurance would better protect other users vessels.

## Response 150

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Commonly swim at duck bay and frequently find jet skis etc ignoring the speed limit in place inside of the bouys. A no-go zone would be far safer. Similarly round at the bays on the east side of the loch.  Especially as a swimmer, I feel incredibly vulnerable at duck bay when the jet skis are out.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should be mandatory already and I am surprised it is not. It should include all vessels and recreational craft, including paddle boards, kayaks etc.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 151

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This rule puts others at risk and restricted movement for decent people better policing from the rangers is what's needed not silly rules that serve no point

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Some craft lose steering at they speed



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Should always be the case

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Definitely

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
But it can be forged to many wanna be gangsters on the loch

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
They are a law unto themselves

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Get the rangers to step up there game only seam interested in young families that give them no challenge but the young team run riot everywhere no back bone need stiffer petrols education or points system three points your out

## Response 152

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Wake boarding
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I have to go through a speed zone to launch and retrieve boat therefor If what your proposing goes ahead I will not be able to keep my boat at this location and also would affect the business of this location. Milarrochy bay

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Instead of banning all pwc why don't you try and police the loch properly and target the minority. If you ban pwc they the minority won't just disappear they will return with a boat.....its very unfair and very bold to try and ban them due to the minority.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think on the safety side I cannot agree more. On the side of bans and phasing out fossil fuel craft is ridiculous and the impact to businesses in and around the loch would be catastrophic.

## Response 153

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 154

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes I think it would be wise to have Areas for low powered, non powered and swimming activities. This should not affect access for powered or larger crafts to jetties etc

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. But mainly I think it would help Loch users if the speed zones were more easily spotted for new Loch users who aren't sure of the boundaries.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes safety first for children

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes adult supervising should be responsible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes I think it needs to be easier to identify and prosecute offending individuals. Rather than affecting all users. I find it is only a very small handful of individuals that break the rules. Most Loch users I see are very considerate to rules and other people.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not sure about this to be honest. Most business I think are good

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I do not think all Loch users should be punished or banned from the Loch because of the actions of a small number of individuals who break the rules and cause a nuisance.

Better marking of speed zones. More accountability for offending individuals. More rangers to police the busy areas

## Response 155

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 156

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Water skiing

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This would be illegal, as we all as citizens of Scotland have the right to free navigation of any craft and to put down anchor on Loch Lomond, regardless of size or HP. Byelaws are not really enforceable.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It is not policed properly just now so why change something that won't change anything.



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety and education is a must.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Open to to much interpretation.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Will just incur costs to users. That should be able to use the Loch for free, we all have the legal right to use the Loch for free, but the park authority stop us at every turn.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Byelaws are only important if properly enforced and managed, this does not happen on Loch Lomond currently.

## Response 157

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> In my option unnecessary the current speed limits should suffice but this needs better enforcement and education for example a theory test type arrangement prior to registering your vessel.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> In my option unnecessary the current speed limits should suffice to meet safety requirements.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Absolutely yes but in my option the worst culprits for this are paddleboarders etc. They scare the life out of me more than anyone in a boat. Especially those stupid enough to take out young children without adequate gear on a paddleboard. They are much more likely to end up in trouble.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Absolutely yes.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes, but in my opinion education is key here for all users of loch lomond. There are various hazards to be mindful of regardless of how you use the loch and its big enough for everyone to enjoy it safely and reasonably. I've had numerous conversations with various types of users and my option is that education would be helpful for all concerned.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Everyone should be adhering to the rules of the loch as laid out in the byelaws and should be punished if found to be causing disruption or nuisance.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I think the byelaws are adequate but under enforced. As previously stated education would be helpful and should be a priority to ensure that all users are able to enjoy the loch safely and contribute to the local economy, as many business can only operate with passing trade from boats jetskis and other users of the loch. They would suffer emensly.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The patrol boat could be out more and making their presence felt. I rarely see them.

## Response 158

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As a responsible Loch user I have in the past entered the areas of the proposed new zones. I have a 135hp engine but always reduce my speed to create 'no wake' so close to shore. I believe the existing bylaws are sufficient to protect the park, keep everyone safe and prevent nuisance.....it just needs to be policed properly to enforce the current bylaws. The entire Loch should be available to everyone to enjoy responsibly. I don't want to see areas of exclusion but would like to see, more education on the current bylaws (most of the people using jet skis and smaller craft are not from sailing backgrounds and have no idea what any of the signage on the Loch means) and better policing on the Loch.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Additional marker buoys and reminding users of the boundaries of the 11km/h zone along with robust policing of the area will ensure it is adhered to.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I believe that most of the people using jet skis and smaller craft have no form of basic training and zero understanding of the signage used on water. I think users should be made to provide proof of some kind of basic training before taking any powered vessel onto the Loch. I would also like to see the rangers stopping craft, ensuring the person responsible is aware of the byelaws and are adhering to them and educate them 'on the water' as to where the 11km/h and no wake zones are. After that, if a person in charge of a vessel goes on to contravene the bylaws.....then charge them with the breach.

## Response 159

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
Other (please specify):

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> [REDACTED] supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review.  However, enforcement of these changes will require significant budget, and may make communicating safer critical messages harder.  It may also have an impact on displacement – where users take activities to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of Loch Lomond National Park.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> as above

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

██████ has no further comments to make on the consultation process, other than to thank you for the opportunity to comment. We have no objection to our response being reproduced or attributed.

## Response 160

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

In principle I agree, however it would prevent powered vessels docking at jetty at Rowardenan Hotel and The Lodge at Luss.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q27. Please tick the box below if you would like to receive further email updates about the Loch Lomond Byelaws Review via the email address you have provided.

I would like to receive email updates about the Loch Lomond Byelaws Review



## Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Windsurfing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There are plenty areas already for people of low power activities, what is needed is better patrolling and informing of individuals who don't keep to the rules

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This would end up closing off so many areas for people who use boats and those that obey the rules now have to suffer the consequences due to a lack of patrolling and education to those that are not obeying the rules

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Absolutely you cannot ignore safety when it comes to water

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yup totally agree there needs to be accountability

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is what is needed that way every vessel on the loch will have a number and can be accountable for their actions

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Those people need to be dealt with on a separate issue for their offence don't just bring in a whole new amendment when You can't even deal with those businesses separately.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As a fellow boat user I see plenty idiots on the loch most of the time it's ones that have been drinking too much, there needs to be accountability for drunkenness on the loch this will cause a death one day.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

It's all very well to try and change the byelaws on the Loch but I think the real issue stems from the People managing the loch side of things, All powered vessels should be registered and be accountable when operating on the loch but this can't happen if there are no patrols or hardly any on the loch of days when there should be,  
You can't just bring changes to suite the people that are wanting these to happen because they think it is best for the loch, you need to look at the bigger picture and the Loch is known for its amazing boating areas and being able to use boats and do water sports is a huge tourist and money maker for plenty businesses that operate on the loch or near the loch.

## Response 162

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Wake boarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>I am in general agreement with low powered activity zones, but the proposals for Luss, Salloch and Milarrochy are unreasonable and prevent any form of access to the shore by powered craft in excess of 15hp. This means responsible jet ski and boat owners would be unable to pick up or drop off passengers at these locations and exclude such users from being able to stop responsibly and safely. I understand entirely that Luss is a particular hotspot, but restricting access solely to the pier is unfair on the majority of responsible users. The pier is in part used by commercial craft and there's already limited space for other users.</p> <p>I would suggest that a bigger area around the pier reaching to the beach would be a potential compromise. This would allow craft access to pick up/drop off passengers, as well as access the amenities that Luss has to offer. As one of the few locations on the loch with a public toilet near to shore retaining access is essential.</p> <p>Finally, a Low Powered Activity Zone needs to be introduced and policed at Ardlui to protect the many paddleboarders and holiday makers that now frequent that part of the loch.</p>

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>To me, the existing approach is far easier to understand. I can draw a virtual line between the two yellow markers knowing that if I go North of that I'm in an 11 kph zone, and if I go South it's "unrestricted". This proposed approach would, in my opinion, be harder for the rangers to police.</p>

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>Yes, I agree with this, but how many accidents/drownings on the loch are actually linked to children on</p>

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

boats? 94% of children may wear PFDs at Duncan Mills, but from my many visits to Milarrochy I can say with certainty that the figure is actually much lower than this.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I am in full support of this. I have seen too many instances of extremely young children at the helm of fast craft (PWCs and boats). However, the type of adult that would allow young children to do this now will still continue to do so even if this proposal is introduced.

I would prefer this proposal was taken further such that it would prevent any child under the age of 16 from being in command of any PWC or boat.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I am in full support of this.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Please see other sections for suggestions about changes to the proposed changes. In addition, I'd like to see better policing of the existing byelaws. I am on the loch most weekends when the weather is fair, yet rarely see the rangers on the water (I launch at Balloch passing through the busy areas). I would also like to see better education of loch users. This could include having people wanting to use powered craft on the water having to sit a test to prove their understanding of the byelaws, where the slow and no wake zones are, etc. The real problem we have on the loch are there are people with money (and fast vessels) that know they won't get caught and so behave the way that they do. All it would take is a few weekends each year when the weather is good for their to be a large and visible force on the water for them to soon get the message.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

On page 24 there are a number of "other matters considered", and some text that says "these issues and proposals can still be considered further during, and after, this public consultation". Does this mean that the Park Authority may not consult wider on any of these proposals and that they could be introduced via a back door as part of this byelaw review?

## Response 163

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Will require more vigorous enforcement by the Park and Police authorities.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes but should apply to all Loch users.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Commercial powerboat users and hired jet skis are regularly ignoring speed limits.

## Response 164

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Agree but as I like to go to Luss etc hopefully we still have capabilities to dock or beach, this supports local businesses on the Loch.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Always thought this was compulsory as I have never had my kids on our boat without these.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Implement an age restriction

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As long as this is included in cost of boat registration, no additional charge. Again if you have copy of insurance document you already have details.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It sure if you are talking about supply of alcohol

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

When you submit registration to use Loch you should have no restrictions on where you can go, adhering to all safety restrictions, speed. Again it is usually the few who spoil it for the responsible owners. You do a great job.

## Response 165

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user



Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't think the original bylaws are being enforced enough neverind adding to them.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It's common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We already have one. Never mind jumping through more hoops

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Floats or hiviz wetsuits for swimmers is a must .

## Response 166

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an owner of land on or around the Loch

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

Other (please specify):

Speedboat is not mentioned , which is strange as boating is very popular on the loch

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There are already low speed zones on the loch which we adhere to.

I dont agree with a Low power zone with under 15hp. It makes no sense. For example a 20hp and 15hp engine are much the same item.

I dont agree with zoning off luss as we use to it moor up and swim with kids etc.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The current situation is fine.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't agree with compulsory. I do agree with advisory. All the kids we have use life jackets on board. Sometimes they take them off and jump off boat so no - compulsory isn't good.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

100% agree.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We already have a user registration scheme with numbers on the boats.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

would ban Jet Skis from going fast in the Luss area ( I am a jet skier also )

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Find a way to control that by putting more rangers on at luss. Buy a jet ski for the rangers to use and level with the jet skiers.

The Rangers need to respect the boaters as some of them are quite rude.

## Response 167

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I deem this necessary as recently have experienced some jet ski users , having no common sense around swimmers and SUP.

The way in which they purposely create water rifts to then power through them has a detrimental effect on the safety of other Loch users. It ruins enjoyment for others as they do not wish to risk their safety going into the water when there are irresponsible people allowed within the area that is commonly used for swimming and SUP.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think this necessary to have consequences for those that are not responsible on the water ,it should also discourage owners allowing other people to borrow vehicles .

I would imagine the registration can keep track of owners vehicles and have more influence on banning /barring jet ski ect if continuously being reported for unsafe practises on the Lochs.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 168

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

However, enforcement of these changes will require significant budget, and may make communicating

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

safer critical messages harder.

It may also have an impact on displacement – where users take activities to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of Loch Lomond National Park.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
as above

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No - thank you for the opportunity to respond.

## Response 169

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> In principal the idea is great. A safe way to for people to use the loch in non powered craft. The issue I see is one of access for powered craft to the beach for example. This would completely exclude myself being able to land on the beach in these areas, even in a responsible manner.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Great idea. Much easier to know when to slow down. Currently easy to find the boundary if you know where all three markers are and they line up. Not simple if you are on the West of the Loch as the easterly markers are too far away.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Great idea! All people should be wearing PFD's on open craft.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. Much easier to make Sure the right people are contacted if they are breaking the rules.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

As always the byelaws are great if they are enforced. As a regular Loch user (most weekends through the summer and occasionally over the winter for the past 5 years) it is rare to see the patrol boat or rangers. I have only ever spoken to rangers once, on an island.

The byelaws make sense to me and if people adhere to them then the Loch would be a safe space for everyone. However, if there is no threat of being caught then people will continue to do as they please and think the rules don't apply to them. Most weekends I see people seeing in the 11kph zones, sometimes very close to the shore. This is boats and jet skis.

There is a need to enforce current byelaws before changing or adding to them.



## Response 170

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Wake boarding
Fishing
Motor boat cruising
Other (please specify): Power boating out the speed restricted zones

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Every part of the loch should be assessable for all by all vessels and all users

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Strongly agree

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This should also be applied to all water users. For example paddle boarders, personally I have rescued many over the last years. If someone is in distress or injured reg no could be vital for basic info or details.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would be great if some of the Buisness vessels keep to the by laws

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

More restrictions and bylaws should undertaken for all water users swimmers, paddle boards and kayaks. All people out on the water should be registered craft. Unfortunately every time we are out on the loch on our boat we have a rescue of at least one user who is un prepared for the water

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

15hp bylaw is restrictive and sole target to power vessels. Yet the use of Buisness vessels much greater horsepower than normal are allow to use within for tourism! Decarbonising the loch I agree but should not be taken to the extream electric only. Research should be undertaken to alike in America where only outboards or inboards with low emitions are permitted.

# Response 171

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 172

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 173

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There are too many jet skiers & fast boats on the Loch causing wake. It also creates a lot of noise. It would be great to have some peaceful havens on the Loch.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Common sense approach. Why not create an facility for people to recycle flotation devises. Kids grow out of them very quickly.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 174

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I feel that as a responsible swimmer I should be free to swim in any part of the Loch that I wish.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 175

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
I beleieved such a scheme was already in place.



## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I have seen no proposed measures for Ardlui where jet ski noise is a major problem. It can go on for hours, sometimes all day and is unbearable at times. The jet ski population has rocketed in recent years and because of its position at the head of the loch, joyriders have gravitated believing there is less chance of 'interference' from the authorities. Have someone come and count them, not just those in the water, but those alongside holiday homes and in the marina storage compound. If you'd like to come to our home and get the full effect of the noise you'd be welcome. Perhaps sound recording equipment would be worth considering.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The jet ski problem has become markedly worse and increasingly anti-social in recent times. It's a beautiful sunny day and I am indoors to escape the noise of them whining and droning around in circles, even though they are hundreds of yards from me. I sold my boat because it was no longer enjoyable to have even a short trip on a summer's evening.

Many of the ski users have no interest in Loch Lomond other than as a playground for their personal fun, regardless of the effect on other loch users and residents. In any other activity the noise would be construed as a breach of the peace and while I have not been a victim myself, I have heard of incidents where violence has been threatened when a complaint is made.

On their social media pages some jet ski and boat owners boast of the financial contribution they make to the villages around the loch – Luss for example – when the fact is that these villages existed and prospered long before jet skis became popular. You'll also find on their social media posts about how they say they 'don't give a \*\*\*\*' about the rules or other people. I have a file of social media comments that illustrate their selfishness.

I first came to Loch Lomond as a Glasgow teenager to escape the city and camp or stay in the youth hostels and later in life was fortunate enough to make my home by the lochside. But the loch is a place I no longer recognise – it has been hijacked by people with no interest in its environment or sustainability. I've visited National Parks in America and nowhere did I see them being trashed in the same fashion as has been taking place here. John Muir would be horrified.

Please do something for the people who live in the park and whose right to live in peace and quiet has been ignored.

## Response 176

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 177

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Other (please specify):

Walking, wildlife, flora, fauna, Bird watching and spending time outdoors and relaxing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

On any given day during a visit to Loch Lomond I will see during good weather a number of disturbances to the environment and possible causes of accidents to themselves and others. mainly caused by Jet Skiers and on the very odd occasion speed or powered boats. Jet skiers whilst I am sure there are responsible users seem to be the most likely to cause disturbance or break speed rules and should be removed completely from any area within Loch Lomond. Recent experiences have been witnessing jet skiers doing donuts to splash swimmers, children and users on Balloch park pier. Speeding at full length along the yellow speed restriction markers and acting aggressively at duck bay whilst swimmers are in the water and not slowing down at the area known as the Geggles whilst passing myself and wife on paddle boards. I have also witnessed Jet skiers recently travelling at speed on high powered craft whilst drinking from beer bottles. I have reported some of these instances but from a small distance the registrations are difficult to see as there does not seem to be a uniform position to make them visible on the craft. The noise from these craft are not only a nuisance to tourists and people looking for a relaxing outdoor experience but also have a disturbing effect on the local wildlife. The volume of these vehicles also means that in areas near the Duncan Mills slipway the water is polluted with fumes and is adjacent to where children and tourists are likely to congregate around the water. Jet Skiers seem to have little regard for this and are often seen adjacent to the Ranger station beaching and moving in between the crowds in this area.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

When at a distance from the shore as the skiers pass Duck Bay the noise is a disturbance to the residents and the general environment. Speed boats should be restricted to 11 KPH and Jet Skies banned from Loch Lomond. If you travel a short distance to Loch Ard the difference in the experience is incomparable as there is a 5mph limit. The loch environment for visitors and residents is being destroyed by these craft. One of the major problems is that they are now being banned from other areas and due to the inadequate control on Loch Lomond are being encouraged to come to the Loch and do what they want.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Young persons cannot ride a motor bike or a vehicle without a licence. Why should they be allowed to be in charge of a high powered vehicle on a busy and environmentally vulnerable area like Loch Lomond??

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 178

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I do agree that its usefully to have low powered areas however my only thoughts is that the majority of the areas highlighted do not have parking which makes transport of kayaks/paddle boards and canoes to these areas quite tricky. And will either result in cars stopping at roadside to unload or having to launch at a less safe spot and paddle to it

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Please consider parking at areas you expect people to be using non powered craft

## Response 179

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I like your proposals and think it is good that you are doing this

## Response 180

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We should all be free to share and explore all areas of the loch, issues are rare and normally caused by one party not being mindful of the other. If we're all mindful of each other's presence we can co-exist and use the water together. If there was a specific zone for Paddleboarding I would no longer visit loch lomond.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All should be adequately insured against an event like this.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Registering wouldn't be an issue

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No



Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 181

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Excellent proposal which will make the loch more enjoyable and safer for all

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This will improve safety

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 182

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a local recreation group or loch user group

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

From my observations from my home in Luss and from my experience as a former National Park Patrol Boat crew member in my opinion the issue is one of a lack of resources to adequately enforce the EXISTING speed limit areas.

It does not seem sensible to create additional zones when the behaviour in the existing areas cannot be adequately controlled.

In addition - from the point of view of swimmers, for example, it is likely to create a false sense of security.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good idea.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good idea.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good idea.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good idea.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Over the past five years or so there has been a proliferation of water-based commercial activities on the loch. This has caused some areas to be dangerously overcrowded at certain locations especially north of Loch Lomond Shores, at the entrance to the River Leven and also at Luss. Large passenger boats, large and small motor cruisers, jet skis, speedboats, pedaloes, paddle boarders, canoeists, fishing boats and swimmers all compete for space. Accidents will happen.

The issue is NOT one of "nuisance" but of SAFETY.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Regarding drink and drive legislation - it should be made clear to all loch users that the equivalent legislation that applies on public roads also applies when in charge of a vessel. Very few people are aware that this was introduced under the Railways and Transport Safety Act 2003.

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

In warm weather at Luss I have regularly observed individuals drinking whilst in charge of speedboats and jet skis.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Yes.  
I wish to point out that I have been a resident of Luss village since 1986 and my home faces onto the loch. I have been a water ski instructor on Loch Lomond in my leisure time for 50 years. I was both a crew member and skipper of the National Park Patrol Boat for 15 years from the inception of the first set of Bye-laws. I am a former Chairman of the Loch Lomond Association and I represented the sport of water skiing on the Marine and Coastguard Agency Inland Lochs and Canals Marine Safety Sub-Committee.

## Response 183

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Make it safer

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 184

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe everyone on the water should wear a flotation device

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Unless they take the vessel without permission.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 185

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Given the areas which are highlighted I am assuming this is meant mainly to protect swimmers.  Personally I would view swimmers to be the persons most at risk given how little of them show above the water, therefore having protected areas closer to the shore is a great idea for activities such as this. As a person who uses the Loch for kayaking I have usually found persons in power boats to be pretty responsible. That being said I do tend to launch at Tarbet where it is a little more quiet.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> So long as it is determined that the new reference points for navigation are distinct enough and the new limit is well informed.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Water safety is extremely important and this is definitely a good way of promoting it by enforcing a good safety culture.  It is an encouraging statistic however that over 90% of young persons on the water are wearing them already.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe this would help to encourage supervision by a responsible adult.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

On the grounds of enforcement and improved dialogue this would be a great idea.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This does not affect me but having read the document it seems to make sense.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Nil

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Nil



## Response 186

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a business owner who operates on the loch/shore

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Our Passenger boats are 24 meters long and cannot safely comply with the low power zone around Luss pier and when docking, especially in high wind conditions and keeping a safe distance away from the swimmers and paddle boards.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The new proposal is too difficult to manage & for boaters to know if they are within the speed limit boundary.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Commercial passenger ships carrying more than 12 passengers should be exempt from this be law, where they are covered by existing legislation, MCA Government class V. Passengers are given a safety announcement on departure, where children must remain seated and supervised at all times with an adult. Our crew are vigilant at ensuring all our passengers are kept safe. It is impractical for all children to be assessed and fitted with life jackets for a short cruise, we comply with all MCA government legislation on our passenger safety. Passengers move from inside to outside and vice versa; on nice days they are taking some photos on the open deck, so would prove difficult, impractical and possibly make other passengers feel unsafe

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
If this makes paperwork easier and not duplicate

## Response 187

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 188

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 189

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 190

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Current reduced speed limit zones already do this. It will only be fair if low powered users are restricted to these zones

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Current boundary is in what is already a highly restricted area

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Every individual is responsible for their own actions. In uk law it is already the parent / guardian that is responsible for the child. Why should the owner be responsible? Would a car owner be responsible for a speeding offence if someone else was driving? I think not.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Current registration scheme is fine. It would be difficult to operate & manage

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Anyone causing nuisance should be dealt with

## Response 191

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I don't feel there is any need for this change I, Iv been coming to the loch now for the last -5 years, Iv never seen any form if the people use the loch are educated about the lock correctly, there should never be a problem.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> No, again people should be educated about the loch properly, if so they will know areas to take more care



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Completely agree

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Completely agree.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A good way of keep track people using the loch and people miss using the loch

## Response 192

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

■■■■■ supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review.

Enforcement of these changes may require investment for the required resources and may impact on the public's reception of safety messaging.

It may also discourage people to use the Loch and could result in dangerous practices being displaced to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of the National Park.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

■■■■■ supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review. Enforcement of these changes may require investment for the required resources and may impact on the public's reception of safety messaging. It may also discourage people to use the Loch and could result in dangerous practices being displaced to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of the National Park.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

■■■■■ supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review. Enforcement of these changes may require investment for the required resources and may impact on the public's reception of safety messaging. It may also discourage people to use the Loch and could result in dangerous practices being displaced to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of the National Park.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

■■■■■ supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review. Enforcement of these changes may require investment for the required resources and may impact on the public's reception of safety messaging. It may also discourage people to use the Loch and could result in dangerous practices being displaced to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of the National Park.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

■■■■■ supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review. Enforcement of these changes may require investment for the required resources and may impact on the public's reception of safety messaging. It may also discourage people to use the Loch and could result in dangerous practices being displaced to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of the National Park.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

■■■■■ supports the six key changes being proposed as part of this review. Enforcement of these changes may require investment for the required resources and may impact on the public's reception of safety messaging.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

It may also discourage people to use the Loch and could result in dangerous practices being displaced to other bodies of water nearby in other areas of the National Park.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

██████ have no further comments on the consultation process but would like to thank LLNPA for the opportunity to respond. ██████ remain committed to working in partnership via the established Partnership Approach to Water Safety (PAWS) Groups to improve the safety of persons visiting the National Park and to reducing water emergencies.

## Response 193

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I think this is a really good start. The issue is policing this. There are already speed limits in place which are routinely ignored by personal users and businesses alike. The worst are the majority of jet ski users. Are patrols going to be increased?

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Same comment as above.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I see this in place most of the time but would be good to formalise it.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think there should be shared responsibility. If you are old enough to take charge of a powered vessel, you are old enough to take responsibility for your actions. I agree the owner of the vessel should also be responsible.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree with this but think registered users should have to carry the ID card as well. If you have patrols, surely they have to know the person they are talking to is registered and who any offence should be charged to?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It is difficult to comment when I haven't had to work with the current rules but on the surface, it seems sensible.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

N/A

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

N/A

## Response 194

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> In particular the duck bay zone as have experienced many safe jet skiers, however also many more speeding jet skiers. I am a competent swimmer tho other less experienced visitors/children have been alarmed at inappropriate speeds.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I regularly kayak to Inchmoan then swim so would prefer this to be clearly marked.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Safety

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Have seen younger people on jet skis and have asked them to lower speed however had not a helpful response. This may encourage owners to be more thoughtful.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would be simpler to identify users.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I am unsure of my thoughts on this. I would be concerned that there was an influx of food/ice cream vans around the beaches.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No. I read the consideration of tow floats for all swimming and do not agree with this.

## Response 195

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a visitor to the National Park

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Safety and protection of Loch Lomond is important

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Safety first

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Safety is important

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Owners should be responsible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Don't want to book online if going to the Loch

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. Get rid of nuisance

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 196

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Many users are already registered (myslef included [REDACTED] but have not used the

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

loch this year [REDACTED] as e.g. Millorochy Bay closed in recent years-and this most ideal spot for self launching in past decades-. My boat is a day fishing/rowing and powered with a 4 hp engine but most of the time am rowing/fishing rather than than using the engine - but have not used the loch in around 4 years since Millorochy bay closed??? - Undertsnad at certain peak times can be many e.g. jetskiers/powerboats - and to large extent by 'closure' of Millorochy bay much of this activity has ceased/reduced- but surely mid-week , at least /when quiet the access code to padlock on the bollards on the slipway at Millorochy Bay can be provided (e.g. turn up/phone main office and the give the combination (i.e. this code can be changed every now and then)-as was system I expereined a few years back( asensible /pragmatic solution - and of course always launch wearing lifejacket etc I acquired/used my boat and launched many times since 2003 to around 2018 - but now more- the Loch is effectively closed ??- as southern lauch site often very busy and not preferred launch.

I undertsnad going forwad want a mor ecological approach so- am not avers to e.g. switching/axquiring small 'electric' powered engine (these only cost around £250 or less rather than petrol outboard (most of the time I row anyway and as I am almost at retirement- having access midweek at Millorochy bay to launch again would be idela- and much appreicated by self (and may colleagues I know have also a boat and agree with this viewpoint i.e. really want Milloroch Bay launch site re-instated . I used to work on salmon farms ([REDACTED]) in 1980s and have larger boat handling certificate also -and years of expereince handling boats at sea/freshwater 9and always wear full buoyancy aid(from expereience = saves lives) - you are preaching to the converted here- but the converted cannot attend the church by current policy ..... I thought the object of the National Park was to broaded (not reduce) access... current policy in recent years since closure of Millorochy Bay has reduced access -maybe you need other sites (also-and toilttes that are always open!! -for skiers travelling north and tourists (on west side also) ) but certainly Millorochy bay is ideal/nice /enjoyable site with good ameneties- and could (certainly midweek- be at least made accessible for the occasional boats Please

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Hish speed not a reuirement for day fishing baots/self just for getting to /back from preferred location need an engine -and that engine could be e.g. electirc to prevent fuel spillage into the loch

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Absoluely - my gripe is literally 'anyone' can turn up with a canoe small sail boat on top of roof of a car (not registered) \_ and launch without following basic safety and expect to be rescued somehow...(by Ranger Sevice/other .. when are may already properly Registered users (who always wear lofejackts ) cannot een access the loch as e.g. Muillorochy Bay been shut ??

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes but without enough access -cannot even use the Loch- Millorochy bay need re-opened/at least to self-lauch at own risk -having been given access code -

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

am already Registered ( [REDACTED] ), raguitered. using the loch since 2003 - but recently effectively prevented from douing so by prevention of access at Millorochy Bay

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Maybe are other lochs /reservoirs/warters in the National Park could be opened for use /more specifc use by jet skiers/power boats -and Loch Lomond cater for more sedate forms of transport (fishing boats/rowing boats/yachts

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think you unsestimate how much people 'appreciate' the use /access formerly at Millorochy Bay and its reinstatement would meet with much approval - everyone on best behaviour of course.

## Response 197

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a business owner who operates on the loch/shore

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Should reduce conflict provided that there are no plans to confine kayakers, canoeists and paddleboarders to these zones

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The current boundary is ignored on a daily basis. Moving it north should mean that all craft are aware especially around the south end of the loch. Mind you this will have to be policed?

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Sensible safety precaution. Should be extended to require all users of personal watercraft, jet skis, canoes, kayaks, and paddleboards to wear a pfd.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

adults should be held responsible for the actions of their children

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I thought there was one?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

who defines nuisance?

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

PFD wear should be mandatory for all users of canoes, kayaks and paddleboards. Safety - see British canoeing recommendations.

## Response 198

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 199

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All parts of the loch should be available to everyone. Too difficult to regulate.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As above

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The majority of users are visitors - they won't register or know to register when they visit. Powered vessels should however as they are the most likely craft to cause incidents or contravene current regulations such as speed limits.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 200

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Sailing

Motor boat cruising



## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Regulating on the power of a vessel's engine is not likely to be effective and produce little in increased safety.

A small boat with a 15 HP engine can travel fairly fast with a lot of energy, whereas a larger vessel will travel fairly slowly. Existing rules based on speed - a better measure of risk - are adequate.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The rules do not consider that the owner or registered owner may be under the age of 18, which is perfectly permissible and should not be restricted.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The difficulty here is with young people under the age of 18 being able to produce identification, the scheme must consider how young people can register easily - they will not have driving licences, evidence of address etc and may not have a passport.

The Registration scheme must not effectively ban young people from registering as a user because of identification requirements they cannot reasonably meet.

# Response 201

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing
Fishing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> We spotted a 3 year old boy in a dinghy with two young adults in summer 2022. He had no floatation device on and with the wake from boats he could've fallen in the water. Better safe than sorry.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

## Response 202

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is an absolute must for young peoples safety. Ideally I'd like it to be law for anyone on the water but one step in the rugh5 direction is making it compulsory for under 16s.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Someone should be held accountable for their craft being misused with a minor onboard.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 203

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not as outlined

No issue with the principle.

But as outlined would appear to severely reduce options for powered craft in certain of these areas.  
More equitable access is required.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As outlined is too restrictive.

PFD use is unclear. Blanket requirement does not permit a (more acceptable) dynamic risk assessment

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again the decision to permit a "young person" to operate a powered craft should be influenced by a dynamic risk assessment.

In such a case the risk/liability should be with the registered owner.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It is neither feasible, not desirable, to go down this route.

The vessel, and registered owner, should be known.

Registering individual operators is not helpful and would severely reduce access for no benefit.

Loch Lomond is already much more highly regulated than other areas --- for no discernable benefit. Most regulations introduced over my lifetime have minimal safety implications and have merely resulted in significant access limitations for no practicable reason.

## Response 204

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Canoeing and Kayaking
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It should be compulsory for ALL users of powered/ non powered vehicles on the loch to wear a PFD. The wearing of some type of high vis clothing should also become mandatory even if it is just a hat.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Undecided , what if vehicle has been taken without owner consent?

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 205

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I see it as common sense and a step in the right direction for under 16's to wear them

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The owner of the vessel has to be responsible for who has control /use of their craft.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes identification easier

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Sounds logical if there is a nuisance element trading.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No



## Response 206

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> Teacher of lochside school

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 20

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 208

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There has to be somewhere that people using standup paddle boards and boogie boards (among other things) can do so in complete safety.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It's a no-brainer for me. If you're in/on water that's any depth, you should always wear a buoyancy aid. Even if you're on a boat and don't plan to end up in the water, it's not exactly a hardship to wear something that might save your life.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If you're going to give charge of a powered vessel to someone who isn't old enough to own one for themselves, you must accept the liability that comes with doing so. This change would essentially be the same as seat belts - someone under the age of 16 can't be charged if they're found not to be wearing a belt but the driver of the vehicle can be.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I'd be broadly in favour of this. A registration scheme would cut down on antisocial behaviour and also make it easier to enforce any restrictions on that person (or even an outright ban).

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This make sense to me. If someone is trading in a way that causes nuisance or danger it should be possible to deal with them and restrict/remove their ability to trade.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Loch Lomond is a beautiful resource that should be enjoyable for everyone, from the person with the speedboat to the small child with his/her first boogie board.

## Response 209

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> PWC are a nuisance not just in terms of speed but also in terms of noise. They spoil the enjoyment of the quiet tranquility of the loch for all those in their vicinity in a particularly obnoxious way. Low power zones will go some way to decreasing this.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> PWC are a nuisance not just in terms of speed but also in terms of noise. They spoil the enjoyment of the quiet tranquility of the loch for all those in their vicinity in a particularly obnoxious way. The 11kph zone will go some way to decreasing this. By making it easier to identify it will hopefully be more widely observed.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It is important that antisocial behaviour by those using PWC is robustly tackled. They should not be allowed to get away with their behaviour by being obstructive or lying. The knowledge that giving false details had no comeback will spread quickly through the user base and encourage poor behaviour to continue and increase. This proposal will mitigate that.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

PWC should be completely banned on the loch. When someone is using a PWC then the quiet enjoyment of a beautiful area is ruined for all those within a wide vicinity. They have a particularly obnoxious noise, and the knowledge that a PWC is in the vicinity cannot help but put more vulnerable loch users on high alert. The user base of PWCs are more likely to behave poorly, act dangerously, and be uneducated about byelaws and regulations than any other loch users, thereby increasing the danger to others.

The noise and disruption caused by PWCs is highly likely to negatively affect wildlife.

There is no use case for PWCs on Loch Lomond other than brainless messing about. They are the unregistered dirtbike of watercraft, have a similar user base and should be banned on the loch.

## Response 210

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 211

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It should be compulsory for everyone not just under 16's



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 212

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a visitor to the National Park

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Presence of high speed powered vessels (jetskis) ruins peaceful nature of the environment and disturbs activities of other users

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Greater area covered by 11kph limit can only improve everyone's enjoyment of Loch Lomond

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Cannot understand why this rule isn't already in operation. Life jackets save lives.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Obvious really. More attention and care needs demonstrated by all vessel users on the loch

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Access to Loch Lomond and other wild places should be as unrestricted as possible in the first instance. Users should be encouraged, not disparaged. This should only change when users' actions impact on the enjoyment and access of other users. (E.g. high speed, noisy vessel users)

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All businesses causing damage/nuisance to Loch and surrounding need to be regulated to ensure improved sustainability and accessibility to the Loch for all people and the future

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Some form of legislation to limit the numbers of vehicles accessing more remote areas of the Loch, e.g. Rowardennan. Introduction of shuttle bus or similar running Balmaha > Rowardennan may be of use during busy periods.

## Response 213

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Sailing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I support the proposals , strictly on the condition that a rider is included that allows Luss Bay mooring owners both private and business to use their craft at a dead slow speed solely for the purposes of berthing and retrieval

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Luss Proposed Low-Powered Activity Zone - an amendment should be included , to allow Luss Bay Mooring Owners , both private (residents of Luss ) and business ( Loch Lomond Leisure ) for the purposes of solely berthing and retrieving their boats , to operate their craft at a dead slow speed within the proposed low power activity zone.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Luss Bay has a number of moorings both private ( residents of Luss ) and business ( Loch Lomond Leisure) many of which have been extant for decades. I am unaware of any accidents or incidents involving the se of these moorings. I welcome the introduction of the lower power zones but ask for an exception in the by-laws in respect of mooring owners berthing and retrieving their craft.

## Response 214

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The noise, fumes and waves are really disruptive to other loch users, so I can only imagine the effect on wildlife! Especially with endangered ospreys nesting on one of the islands. Ruins the whole reason most people visit the countryside (peace, quiet and fresh air!)

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I don't use powered vehicles so don't feel qualified to comment.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Too many drownings in the past few years of children unable to swim yet not wearing flotation jackets.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Owners need to take responsibility for how their powered vehicles are used. Or have 21+ rule for their use.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Will improve safety and responsible useage on the loch. Plus easier to track in case of rule breach or accident.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't know much about this byelaw, but responsible useage and operation in line with protecting and enhancing the surrounding natural environment should be the primary useage of all loch side businesses. Plus, 'nuisance' is surely subjective.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

BAN powered vehicles on the loch full stop! The noise and fumes are horrendous when out on a kayak and I'm always nervous they can't see us.

## Response 215

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 216

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I would say 12 years of age would be more reasonable.



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Launching of low powered boats (under 2hp) that can be carried to shore without vehicle should be allowed. I have an electric outboard which only reaches 4mph and I can only launch from Balloch and can no way reach the islands around balmaha/luss as only have max 8 miles.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Again we only have a low powered inflatable boat (less than 2hp) which we feel should not need insurance.

## Response 217

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> These are a good thing however people must use them and not enter areas where craft are moving fast . If these areas are created to keep motorised craft out then same for swimmers etc stay out of fast areas

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Very hard to define the area at the moment

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Again responsible use a priority

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
For everyone using the loch

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

More education of people using the loch .. rangers concentrate on people doing dangerous practice rather than picking on silly things just to get figures up .. deal with the idiots maybe make a power boat level 2 certificate and PWC certificate part of registration .. again educate people

## Response 218

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Banning of certain craft due to engine size in some areas rather than enforcement of existing rules punishes the majority of decent owners that already respect the rules and others that use the Loch. I and my family often visit Luss and always approach and leave in accordance with the rules

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not just under 16s. A life jacket only works if worn so personal floatation for all and regardless of whether it is powered or not

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Byelaw states 16 and over so adult anyone who lets younger drive should be on board and responsible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Already registered craft exists

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Powerboat level 2 for anyone driving a Powerboat or in charge of it. Also think speed cameras at luss pier to provide evidence of speeding craft and authority has the right to confiscation and ban on individual from using any other craft on loch for 12 months

## Response 219

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 220

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I feel it would be more beneficial if Luss and Millarochy Bay had rangers stationed there, especially during busy periods. Weekends and Bank Holidays especially

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Should be made compulsory at all times no matter age.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Young people should not be in charge of a vessel.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Happy to comply with that.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The main one is to have more presence at problem areas.



## Response 221

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> You will always get people that don't follow the rules 🙄 The current rules aren't enforced just now so why more !!!

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The only public slip Duncan mills shutting at certain times !  
Should be open at all times !  
Also there should be more than one public slip

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The census numbers taken of the years when in a pandemic is not a true reflection of the loch  
Also the current rules are not enforced so why more !!!

## Response 222

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is not the solution, to the issues caused by pwc.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> There is no need for this change. This would increase the already substantial 11kph zones.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There is already an existing registration scheme for powered watercraft.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The suggestion of phasing out or banning fossil fuel powered watercraft is not realistic and frankly would be detrimental to the majority of loch users. The majority of vessels would be unable to be converted to electric alternatives. Also the cost of any possible conversions would be extortionate and only the very wealthiest loch users would be able to afford such work. Thus the national park would be discriminating against users that did not have the financial means to convert their watercraft or replace them with an electric alternative. The national park should be concentrating on other ways of lowering the lochs overall carbon footprint whether it be tree planting instead or other green initiatives.

## Response 223

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As a loch user and owner of a caravan on the loch, I don't feel changed to the bylaws or imposing changes would benefit the loch, its current users, local businesses that rely on loch users for business.

I feel if current bylaws were enforced regularly with active enforcement then it shall sort itself. The problem area being luss and its residents have the majority of complaints, at times I have witnessed unregistered jetskis breaking the bylaws. Should there be presence at hotspot areas with more action taken with offenders, this should see complaints reduce.

Current enforcers rarely attend the hospital areas and generally sit within sight of the Leven river and Duncan Mills slip.

## Response 224

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The areas highlighted are sensible and would hopefully enhance the safety for swimmers/paddleboarders.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> It's already fairly clearly marked when you enter the speed restriction zone around Inchmoan from the south side. It doesn't need extended.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Sensible suggestion.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Whoever is driving the vessel is responsible. You wouldn't transfer liability of someone breaking a speed limit while driving to the registered owner of the vehicle. Illogical.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No, this level of policing is unnecessary and a step too far which will undoubtedly lead to further restrictions.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No, businesses within the vicinity/on Loch Lomond have had enough restrictions placed on them over the last few years. Any further restrictions are going to result in businesses disappearing.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Some good suggestions regarding slow zones around troublesome areas however some ill conceived potential bylaws regarding local businesses.

## Response 225

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Agree in principle however location and size at luss is not productive.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Useless slow zone at the moment

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Why not



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Doesn't go far enough

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is a problem as the people who break the rules will continue to break the rules and not be signed up. Would need much stricter enforcement

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think positive engagement by rangers would be a massive benefit

## Response 226

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I think everyone on the water should be wearing a life jacket all the time.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The owner of vessel might care more then

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 227

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Water conditions can change very quickly and water temperature very cold flotation devices can save lives.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It should be the person using the vessel that takes responsibility for their action. My Dad often allows family friends to use his boat, it wouldn't be fair to penalise him if someone else was using his vessel irresponsibly without them being on the vessel with them. Should be same Laws as motor vehicles.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 228

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 229

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 230

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The overall impact needs to be better enforcement and engagement - you cant also know the speed impact on larger, smaller vessels - the main thing is education and having more patrols available to help. Bylaws are nothing without the resources behind them. Responsible boating, swimming and other users needs to be more than a handout when paying fees - only applys to a small amount of visitors

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should be default on the loch for wvery user of any craft that floats

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I thought the owner of vessel was the current person liable rather than other operators

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As long as this is for EVERYONE. In reality this cannot be implemented or enforced unless access is at duncan mills (which should be a free access point as it was originally gifted and intended.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Business should always seek to behave responsibly - they should also not see they have priority over other users or waterways

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Education, online "theory" test before anyone can access the loch which displays their understanding, brings accountability and shows awareness of areas of interest, danger and restrictions.

The introduction of photo id when annual pass that stops people committing offences - reregistering under false details. Photo cards would stop this on visual - heard of this happening particular

Also need more public jetties and places to stop for toilets and refreshments

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

My understanding was the loch had less issues without your intervention in some ways - ticking the green box doesnt always work, ticking the targets box doesnt work

Covid created a staycation issue that will resolve as users who couldnt go away now can sell craft and go abroad

This needs data from 10 years not 2



## Response 231

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 232

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Jet skiing

Wake boarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

why has this any benefit to safety , introduce compulsory training .

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

no comment

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

i agree , this additional level of safety is welcomed

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

yes i agree , puts more responsibility on owners of the vessel ,

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

i though we had a registration scheme already in place . ive been registering for the last 12 years every time i get my mew year number

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

difficult one , who defines a business as a nuisance . a nuisance to one could be a benefit to another

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

as i mainly use the waters around Inverbeg and north of Inverbeg i find them fit for use at present

## Response 233

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 234

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would prevent larger craft from anchoring, eg at Luss

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There is an inability to deal with nuisance Loch users of all types.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Ensure nuisance Loch users are dealt with properly.

## Response 235

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 236

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 237

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 238

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 239

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a local recreation group or loch user group

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Young or old

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 240

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an owner of land on or around the Loch

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Canoeing and Kayaking

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
In certain areas near the shores

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 241

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
Other (please specify):

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Around shore areas

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Around shore areas not in open water

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 242

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 243

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Wake boarding

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 244

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 245

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Areas should not be restricted to craft, particularly any areas close to piers. Wind conditions can make it difficult without the added worry of encroaching into a low zone area. The alternative should be speed restrictions.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I do not think that the added administration would merit such a scheme, and would foresee the offices being filled with occasional visitors on a regular basis registering for their one and only visit of the year.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 246

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

Resident and business owner

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Certain areas are clearly under pressure

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

So long as vehicle under way. Not at standstill. Needs a bit of leeway around this as what I think we are trying to protect are kids being thrown overboard while travelling.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Someone has to take responsibility

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Use it as a starting point to charge users for loch usage. monies re-invested back into loch projects. I.e. lifeboat, litter picks, nature etc.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Charging scheme for loch users. As mentioned

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Maybe be an indication of expectation there is a move to electric powered craft at some forward date ?

## Response 247

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Loch numbers went up during covid. This has since reduced. Starics being used are not valid

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> 100%

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 248

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The park authority cannot police the bylaws in place without adding to them. This would also not stop the minority breaking the rules

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not justified

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not justified

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not justified

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not justified

## Response 249

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an owner of land on or around the Loch

Q5. Organisation (if appropriate):

Luss Estates Company



Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

These bylaw proposals are NOT fit-for-purpose. A significant number of jet-ski users frequently exhibit disruptive behaviour on Loch Lomond, which is both dangerous to themselves and to other visitors. These bylaw amendments would not effectively address this issue, as jet ski users routinely ignore Rangers, and only comply with the byelaws when Rangers and Police are present on the loch itself.

There are currently around 1,000 registered jet skis on Loch Lomond and noise pollution is also a significant issue for our rural communities.

We believe a ban on jet skis should be introduced across the National Park as a whole.

A 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The bylaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

#### LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY OF LLTNP

As stated by LLTNP: "The National Park (Scotland) Act 2000 sets out four aims for Scotland's National Parks, each one designed to safeguard a sustainable future". Below are those four aims with comment on how the bylaw amendments are not in-line with this legal responsibility which LLTNP holds. (NB - Where these aims conflict, the first of these aims must be prioritised.)

1. Conserve and enhance – we conserve and enhance the natural and cultural heritage of the area.

Unless jetski use is seriously addressed LLTNP are NOT conserving or enhancing the natural heritage of the area.

2. Sustainability – we promote the sustainable use of the natural resources of the area.

Unless jetski use is more strictly regulated this is not promoting sustainable use of the natural resource of Loch Lomond.

3. Understanding and enjoyment – we encourage people to enjoy the special qualities of the area.

Without more stringent regulation people, in general, are not able to enjoy the special qualities of Loch Lomond.

4. Social and economic development – we promote sustainable development of the communities in the area.

Sustainable social and economic development in loch-side communities is not possible where communities and locations are blighted by dangerous and anti-social behaviour by unregulated jetski users.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

#### ISSUES FACED BY LLTNP & POLICE SCOTLAND

Due to reach and staffing levels the water based LLTNP Rangers are unable to control all jet ski users. Land based Rangers are currently unable to wear body-cameras or collect other video evidence of any byelaw breaches. The existing byelaws restrict the use of jet skis on Loch Lomond to 16 years and over, and stop anyone “under the influence” of alcohol or drugs using them – but the reality is that this is not consistently policed.

The proposed bylaws do not give sufficient control over water-based activity on Loch Lomond. Although unauthorised motorised access to a loch is contrary to the Right to Roam (Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003) it is not a criminal matter. Neither the Police nor the LLTNP Rangers have sufficient legal power to control access into Loch Lomond.

LLTNP is aware of the problems on Loch Lomond yet they appear not to want to legislate against the jet-ski community and local jet-ski lobby. The legislation which is set by the new byelaws will have an impact across the whole of LLTNP and set a precedent for jet ski use/abuse park-wide. It is worth noting that the Lake District National Park’s strict stance on jet-skis has resulted in a significant number relocating. This spread is park-wide, with growth also noted on Loch Earn and Loch Ard. In fact there is written evidence from the LLTNP that there is now a growing jet ski problem on Loch Earn and as a result they are ask for Third Party insurance for all jet ski users.

## Response 250

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I appreciate your wish for safety etc, but surely RYA saying of "education is better the legislation" and as such possibly the best approach. Responsible boating is a requirement to all and enables good mental health together with outdoor activities for general care and wellbeing.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Possibly more determined enforcement of the person's irresponsible use of any craft. The majority of persons are indeed aware of each other and their own responsibilities. Many are totally ignorant of others, and having myself spent years in various craft, and been employed previously within policing, found often the sight of policing measures made people more aware of regulations.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Totally agree with this fact, for not only own safety but also possibly preventions of accidental incidents. For adults too, and also the detection and enforcement of persons using craft under influence of drink/drugs.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree totally as many responsible craft users allow and educate their young to care for the vessels responsibly and encourages the safe use.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Again outlawing responsible boat users will be a detrimental effect to local businesses.

# Response 251

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising
Other (please specify): General boating

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes but there needs to be exemptions such as larger vessels that exceed the proposed power limit being allowed in to anchor in areas like Luss..

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is common sense...I can't believe it is not being enforced for adult users of inflatables though and is considered "disproportionate"

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Far too many legal grey areas...completely unenforceable and absolutely pointless

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There already is a user scheme for registering a boat...you are asked for photographic ID when registering or re-registering. People that ignore the rules will continue to do so

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

So long as it's not subject to the usual national park corruption.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Once again Loch Lomond and Trossachs park leadership are trying to manipulate statistics from a period of time that are completely unreflective of the 10 year period the byelaws are supposed to be assessed against. Similarly the increase in "non powered" sports are an estimate by a third party and not even recordable, this makes them nothing more than guesswork. It is abundantly clear the loch is considerably quieter this year.

Nothing contained in these proposed changes will make any difference to antisocial behaviour, as the type of person that behaves in this way will continue to take no notice of whatever rules are in place.

The LLTNP need to make better use of the existing byelaws, which are more than adequate. The complete anti motor boat agenda has been well noted. When it is considered "disproportionate" to make adults on stand up paddleboards and canoes wear lifejackets this tells you everything you need to know. This is basic common sense.

The LLTNP are a heavily tax payer funded operation. Our money is being absolutely wasted on ridiculous vanity projects and inadequate use of resources such as the patrol boats which are unreliable, expensive to run and maintain and frequently out of commission leaving the loch with completely insufficient patrols. If cheaper, more suitable craft such as jetskis were purchased the coverage and availability of ranger services would be much improved and they would be able to actually catch jetskis if they had them.

It is also well known that in 99.5% of the alleged violations of byelaws that no prosecution would result as there would be completely insufficient evidence to secure a prosecution. Due to this the effective monitoring of the loch by better patrols enforcing existing byelaws should be the priority.

## Response 252

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



## Response 253

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I thought we did register every year

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Speed limits near any mooring area and islands.  
How this is enforced is another issue

## Response 254

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A common sense addition to enhance safety and reduce nuisance.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All business must be accountable and subject to the same regulation. The consistent and universal application makes regulation easier with no room for excuses. Only businesses that create issues need be concerned, those who are not in breach have nothing to be concerned about

## Response 255

### Your details

Q3. Age:
16 – 24

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 256

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Think this is an excellent idea in view of the growing popularity of paddleboarding and outdoor swimming.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The reasoning seems sensible, it sounds like a good idea.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think compulsory wearing of PFDs for ALL ages would be a good idea. Bit like wearing seatbelts in cars. Anything that will increase safety and prevent unnecessary deaths can only be a good thing overall.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes absolutely. Assume the intention is to make vessel owners more careful about allowing minors to be in charge of powered vessels which can only be a good thing.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As long as the costs did not create access barriers to those on low incomes.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think all business should be included, to prevent them becoming nuisances!

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No.

## Response 257

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As long as they are clearly defined and marked as such. Consideration also must be given for the limitation of low powered activity outside these areas. I have had past experience of suddenly observing swimmers and paddle boarders in areas not suitable, wearing all black. Rules should not only be for powered craft.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Standard practice for responsible boat owners

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Especially PWC

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
100% agree, I would go further and require an ID card, responsible loch users would be happy to pay an annual cost for this.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Definitely

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

On page 24 of the paper, other matters that have been considered are listed, I would wholeheartedly agree with the insurance and training requirements, personally I find it crazy that this is not already written into the byelaws. Introducing this would reduce the number of irresponsible users and allow enforcement officers the powers required to remove them from the loch. I had paid a considerable amount of money to keep and use my boat on the loch, it is fully insured and I have a number of RYA qualifications. This should be a standard requirement. Landowner permission would be very difficult to enforce due to the area concerned. I know I will be unpopular but I would support a ban on PWC, unless it is clearly marked, and is insured and used by a qualified person. Compulsory swim floats should be extended to all non powered users having some form of high visibility clothing/device.

Decarbonising the Loch, no, I'm sorry, removing fossil fuel driven vessels would have a seriously detrimental effect on the economy of the surrounding area. Given the poor development of alternative fuel engines for boats, I cannot see any major change in the near future. I drive a hybrid car because it is available. Perhaps a move toward offsetting the carbon footprint is more practical rather than phasing out.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Its all well and good having the byelaws, but there needs to be enforcement to make them effective. Looking at the figure in the consultation document, take for example the most prolific contravention, exceeding the speed limit, 300 in a 3 year period, that equates to 0.27 incidents a day. I can spend a day on the loch and observe numerous incidents of this, predominantly granted by PWC, but numerous all the same. All going unpunished. Perhaps a web page could be set up to report sightings of any infringements? This perhaps would allow us responsible users assist the Ranger Service, rather than being "Tarred with the same brush" Being a member of the Police Scotland Family, I am well



Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

aware of resourcing issues, consideration should be given to using the responsible, law abiding, invested loch users, to assist in the removal of those who just wish to cause disruption. Thanks for your time

## Response 258

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Right of navigation exists which should entitle all to go ashore or anchor. Not being able to do this at Luss means you are unable to fuel up, do shopping or have a coffee with friends and is totally unacceptable. I have been doing this including attending Luss Parish Church for over 50 years.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I cannot see how anyone could possibly work out this boundary without accurate GPS. A line of buoys is easy.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Because of the complexities of different boat sizes and layouts this should be left to whoever is in charge to decide when life jackets are required.

The consultation document and the actual proposed Byelaws differ in definition and therefore is very misleading.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes sense

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Waste of public money and resources which would be better spent on more patrols

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

- 1) Byelaw to limit speed of large craft with an aim to reduce the excessive wash This would also reduce the environmental impact due to the massive fuel consumption of these boats.
- 2) A Byelaw to restrict noise from Jet bikes
- 3) All swimmers should be required to have a float to make them visible
- 4) Change from KPH to MPH so that we all understand.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

To me the 2 biggest issues on the Loch are the wash from large vessels and the noise & behaviour of jet-skis and yet they have not been mentioned.

## Response 259

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes, I welcome the low power zones as too often jet skis are zomming in and out from the shore in these areas however, there needs to be a caveat that as an individual I can still choose to swim/paddle in other areas of the Loch and not be solely confined to those areas. If I choose to swim in other areas I do so responsibly, risk assessing and ensure my safety and watching for other water users as normal. These areas are already popular and are already busy enough with swimmers without containing all swimmers/paddleboarders to those zones.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As per number 1, welcome the introduction of zones but not to be only contained to those areas due to the bad behaviour of other water users.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Consideration also needs to be given to rules around the rowers who are not looking in the direction they are travelling and rarely have a spotter, who even when there is at the back and possibly not seeing swimmers. Rowers are often difficult to spot as most do not wear high viz tops. High viz for a rower is the equivalent of a towfloat for a swimmer. There are also a number of rowers who insist on rowing on the inside of the yellow buoys at duck bay where many swimmers are, they should be asked to remain on the outside further out from the shore.

## Response 260

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I hired paddleboards with my husband a couple of years ago from Loch Lomond Leisure. We stayed close to the shore - within shouting distance of where we had hired them. A jet skier came at some pace between the two of us only a few meters away from each of us. I was terrified and returned to the hire place immediately. I haven't been out since. [REDACTED] We also have an open canadian canoe and I will only paddle on loch lomond very early in the morning because of the problem with jet skis. I would prefer an outright ban, but anything that helps is most welcome from me, as I live very close by and am too worried to use the loch the majority of the time.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Better than nothing, but I have witness jet skis travelling at speed very close to Luss beach very close to people in the water. Speed limits will not deter all imo

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Absolutely

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I haven't any experience of this but it seems sensible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 261

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

██████████ I usually put an anchor down where the slow zone is and let my kids swim in the water and play. Now because of a small amount of individuals whom let's be honest do not adhere to any bylaws so making amendment I feel won't make much difference.

I do understand something has to be done but putting the whole of luss beach inaccessible to my family because of a few individuals seems like all motorboat users are being punished unfairly and singled out.

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

A camera system in my opinion would be a better option near slow zones and if it's on camera the current bylaws should be sufficient.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 262

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

try enforce the bylaws you have

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

again yours are trying to fix something by enforcing more bylaws and the current ones are not enforced

## Response 263

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I have been using the loch with my boat for the last 12 years and there isn't a problem with people on boats. I know some people speed on jet skis so surely the Ranger Boats or Police Boats should police it better. More patrols out at the hot spots to warn them and issue yellow card, red card etc to remove them from the loch. I don't think everybody should be punished for the minority ruining it.

## Response 264

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 265

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Ridiculous idea, which would be a nightmare to police and would hinder the experience of many using the Loch.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good idea, too many loch users are not wearing or even have suitable lifejackets

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Similar to cause and permit offences in the road traffic act. Good idea

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Good idea, would make it easier to charge users in contravention of byelaws, could also carry a points system similar to driving licence

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Ticked no but have no real opinion on this amendment

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

From page 24 of review doc:

Insurance- good idea, many boat clubs on the loch already have this requirement.

Training- good idea, would be impossible to police.

Permission to launch- I thought this was already the case under Scottish outdoor access code.

Ban PWC- bad idea, unfair to punish all due to the poor behaviour of some.

No wake zones/vessel size limits - poor idea, comes down to common sense.

Swim floats- great idea, but would need to be written in a way people could still "paddle etc" without a float and it only apply to those actually open water swimming.

Safe swimming zones- bad idea leaves NPA open to "blame" should someone be injured or die.

Camping restrictions- bad idea, in my opinion nothing to do with NPA as it would be land owners discretion.

Decarbonisation- probably a good idea in theory but not practical at all.

Waiving launch fees for electric vessels- would be a bit unfair as it is still mechanically propelled so I imagine people would get a bit upset.

Charging points - good idea but in my opinion a pontoon with charging points would be used by other loch users and would cause issues for NPA

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 266

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> There is no requirement for this if the rangers are allowed to do their job properly and given the resources to do so.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Makes sense

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Potential reduction in serious accidents

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Sensible

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Hopefully this would reduce breaking of byelaws

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Sensible

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

no

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

no

## Response 267

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I am in favour of clearly marked and sign posted safe areas for slow water activities. The HP should not be the determining factor as to whether a vessel can enter a low speed zone. It should be open to any loch user but the policing and education of loch users should be increased to make sure all loch users use the area appropriately. This currently works on the loch where many responsible boat and jet ski users will enter these areas to meet friends and family and beach in a safe and controlled slow speed manner, keeping clear of other loch users. Policing is key and warning, educating and removing loch users registration if not adhered to. The issues are also mainly located near Balloch and Luss so there is a clear area to target for monitoring and policing.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This area is rarely used by anything other than powered craft. it can be quite dangerous keeping under 11kph in this area during rough weather as you move into the open section of water from the islands.



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Given that 94% of under 16 years users are already wearing them this feels like an unnecessary targeted change.

The cold water shock deaths over the last couple of years seem to have come mainly from non vessel users, rather it has been those swimming or launching self powered vessels from on shore locations.

This is not clear as to whether this wearing of flotation devices is at all times or only when the vessel is underway.

Does the open deck description aim to include [paddle boards and similar? maybe it should also say including powered and non powered vessels.

Often my family have swum safely without flotation devices from an anchored boat near to shore, this is no different to those swimming from the beach, so why impose a different set of rules?

The current rule of compulsory flotation device for each member on board and a general encouragement to wear for all users is working well.

People have to be allowed to take a personal responsibility and risk assessment for their set of circumstances.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This should be free, at no cost to the loch user.

It should also only require the registered loch user to be present so they can take responsibility not necessarily have to be in or on the vessel. This allows families/friends to use their vessels in safety together. (For example a father and daughter out on a pair of PWC. Or a competent and experienced son driving his mother and sister in a ski boat while father skis.)

The implementation of this for currently registered vessel owners needs to be smooth and preferably electronic and with a reasonable time scale to allow current registered owners to send in a photo and fill in form from home. Perhaps an initial prospective system registering new users and then gradually covering all current registered owners users. PWC craft owners would be the first group to target given the current breach of bylaws is mostly by these craft users. This of course may be easier to implement quickly and if so should just be done for all.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The current bye laws are in general good and as a loch user and registered vessel owner I have spent time reading and following these. I see that most users who are responsible cause no nuisance. Education and removing the ability of irresponsible loch users to use the loch will help the issues. Irresponsible camping and littering should be considered for the national park, which has been a problem across Scotland as more people use the outdoors without any learning, education or knowledge of good practice. This should not be a permit system to allow camping, which restricts the benefits of the great outdoors to those who are less well off but rather some sort of overview (policing, monitoring from rangers), education and perhaps fines for those who are culprits. Also ranger/national parks working with community, loch users, individual users and other group to encourage clear ups, improvements in areas and education and increased relationship and cooperation between all.

## Response 268

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
We have one

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 269

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Many motorised craft users do not realise the impacts of even moderate wakes can have on other users. I have been tipped out of my kayak due to this.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
It helps manage the risks

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
This is an infringement and is not necessary

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 270

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> AS A FREQUENT KAYAKER ON THE LOCH, JET SKI'S ARE THE MOST POLLUTANT MACHINE ON THE LOCH, BOTH IN NOISE, AND THE WAY THEY CHARGE ABOUT IN THE WATER. EXAMPLE. LAST MAY BANK HOLIDAY, MYSELF AND A FELLOW KAYAKER, COUNTED OVER 70 JET SKIES CHARGING UP AND DOWN THE LOCH IN GROUPS UP TO EIGHT AT A TIME, DESTROYING ANY OTHER PEOPLES ENJOYMENT OF THE WATER

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> AGAIN JET SKIES, COME FLYING OUT OF THE LEVEN OR FROM DUCK BAY, WITH TOTAL DISREGARD FOR FELLOW LOCH USERS

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> SIMPLE, THEY SAVE LIFE'S.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

THE PERSON WHO IS DRIVING THE VESSEL SHOULD BE HELD RESPONSIBLE.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

MUST BE THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY, TO GET RID OF THE IDIOTS ON THE LOCH

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

COULD THE TRUST NOT INTRODUCE LAWS THAT IF A VESSEL IS CAUGHT MORE THAN ONCE THE OWNER COULD BE BARRED, HAVE HIS REGISTRATION REVOKED. ALSO, WE NEED MORE PATROL, AND GOVERNING ON THE LOCH.

## Response 271

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 272

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There is a Right of Navigation for boats on the loch which entitles boaters to anchor and take a dinghy ashore.

Luss being included in the zones, in particular, denies boaters the chance to fuel up, shop, access restaurants have a coffee with friends or the right to worship at the parish church plus a host of other activities. The jetty area proposed for boats is wholly unacceptable as it is too small for the demand. How can fishing boats be allowed, who will be trawling hooks, be acceptable in this proposed safe zone for swimmers?

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Clearly no one consulted with boaters before this realignment of boundaries was proposed. This new boundary alignment is far more confusing than the existing alignment of a straight line. If it is felt it could be improved it only needs the addition of 2 or 3 buoys.



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The consultation document and the actual proposed Byelaws differ in definition and therefore is very misleading. Referring to "open deck" in the consultation document then referring to "enclosed spaces with 4 sides and a roof in the bylaws.

One size does not fit all. A young person in the cockpit of a large cruiser is not in the same danger as they would be in a rib. As far as I am aware a young person does not require a life jacket in a small dinghy or if they go wild swimming. Surely the skipper or accompanying adult need to take these decisions. I am sure this must be unworkable and have a detrimental affect to commercial operators.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes sense

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

More patrols to enforce the existing bylaws and education are what is needed. What is being proposed is too bureaucratic and expensive. If the boat or the user aren't registered in the first place it will solve nothing.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

- 1 Open water swimmers should be required to wear a float so they are visible.
- 2 With the increased numbers of powerful jet skis and motorised craft a lower speed limit should be introduced.
- 3 The incessant noise of jet skis should be addressed.
- 4 The wash from the increasingly larger boats is an accident waiting to happen. Restrictions to prevent larger craft travelling at speed and causing excessive wash needs to be introduced.
- 5 Why on earth are the bylaws in KPH and not MPH? This makes the speed bylaws more difficult to understand.

## Response 273

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I have 20hp but I'm no an idiot I use mines for fishing I no the rules

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 274

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Other (please specify):  
Resident

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The changes proposed are not severe enough. A letter should be sent to registered owners of all power assisted vessels on Loch Lomond setting out three chances only on non-compliance of the rules. Should this fail, a complete and immediate 'Ban' should be implemented with no chance of redemption, with the registered vessel placed on a black list. It should count as a non-compliance, the failure to produce valid registration and insurance details when asked - when stopped, whether speeding or not.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Although I do not wish to spoil anyone's wedding firework display - would you place a 'ban' on using quarry detonators (bangers) in their displays. The Lodge On The Loch regularly uses these and the shocking noise reaches Aldochlay late at night. A lot of birds roost in trees at night and once dislodged, cannot fly in the dark. The colourful fireworks will not disturb them. Appeal to them (Lodge On The Loch) to keep the noise level down. I can easily see that the task you have before you is daunting to say the least. Attempts to resolute the byelaws on Loch Lomond depends it seems, on the amount of 'Rangers' and enforcement vessels you have at your disposal. These days it is not advisable to send one person alone to read the 'riot act'.

## Response 275

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> No - access to all shores is important. To ensure right of free navigation. Luss for example is an important area to be able to visit by boat due to the amenities (e.g. shop, bins, food etc)

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Marker bouys should be revised

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Sometimes it is less safe to have a lifejacket on as it impedes movement (and therefore use of a lifejacket should be dependant on the situation). In addition this will be complicated for people going swimming or going for instance from their boat. This would not be appropriate for any vessel at anchor (e.g. sitting having their dinner).

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The loch has become very noisy primarily through jet skis - there is need to restrict and enforce the noise of craft.

In addition the vessels are getting larger and creating wash which is resulting in damage and a safety concern - there is need to prohibit excessive wash.

## Response 276

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> [REDACTED] and don't tend to stray too far from the islands area. Current practice is to meander over to Luss for morning shopping / breakfast but more often than not, the limited berths at the pier are occupied which then means anchoring up and rowing ashore. The zone at Luss would mean you have to anchor too far out, so then I would simply give Luss a miss (which is a shame). I don't see anything wrong in boats coming in slowly to drop an anchor - I do agree though that having jet skis going up and down in these areas is a real problem.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes, and no. In reality, the bouyage in this area is not really clear enough and I think it would simply be easier to put a couple of extra buoys in to make it clear..if it is left to people judge the straight line between land marks then it won't make much difference.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I tend to think that this is down to the responsible person on the boat to decide and enforce, you could

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

argue that an 80 year old person on the deck will be more immobile and less capable in the water should they fall in.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree with this - there should be responsibility with the owner of the boat.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I don't really see the point - isn't my boat already registered on Loch Lomond? they have my reg number and address and contact details, so if something goes wrong, or is transgressed, they can get in touch. This sounds like more systems and bureaucracy.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No additional comments

## Response 277

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 278

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As long as it does not conflict with areas that boaters can dock. ie. Lodge on the loch, Luss pier, inchmurrin jetty, Ardlui jetty etc..

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Speed restrictions highlighting improved

## Response 279

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a local recreation group or loch user group

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Fishing

Other (please specify):

Bailiffing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

While the consideration of restricted activity zones is considered by the park authority to be worthy on the grounds of safety and perhaps a knee jerk reaction to recent deaths and incidents on the Loch. The L.L.A.I.A committee on behalf of our membership members are unanimous in their view that the proposal to restrict access to all powered craft under 15 horsepower (11.2 kilowatts), is totally unnecessary and unacceptable and will do nothing to deal with those who carry out irresponsible actions on power or sailing vessels, nor those members of the public that fail to consider their own safety and enter the Loch totally ill prepared. There will also be members of the public that simply do not want to use these proposed areas, given they feel controlled and directed to these areas, rather than enjoy their legal right to roam and also critically for those in vessels to exercise their legal right of navigation.

The L.L.A.I.A possess the riparian fishing rights across the whole of the Loch and as such any Byelaw that restricts or prevents our members from exercising our rights to fish will be challenged, legally if need be. Some of our members have vessels where the engine size is greater than 15HP and fortunately our fishing activities result in the vessel being static or not likely to be travelling at less than 5MPH. The introduction of these zones would undoubtedly impact or rights to fish in those areas.

We also believe that the rights of navigation of all powered craft would be forfeited, preventing such craft what is considered a given right of navigation in accessing those areas to carry out their own legal activities such as mooring for a break, lunch or even to enjoy the view or allow passengers to go ashore or swim in those locations. In particular the proposed zone at Luss is really restrictive for power craft many of whom moor to go ashore to purchase fuel and provisions or going ashore for a coffee or a sandwich/snack. It is the opinion of the L.L.A.I.A that such a byelaw would be in breach of the public right of navigation throughout the Loch. It is our belief that the single most dangerous factor on the Loch is speed, with powered craft travelling at a speed far too fast close to shore and in the more densely used areas of the Loch , it is our suggestion that a far more sensible and realistic byelaw would be to have a speed limit of Max 4 mph for all powered craft in those areas. While this would respect the right of

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

navigation it would still meet the NPA's desired outcome of making the areas safer for all users.

We would also indicate that the Rescue services and Operated passenger vessels would require to be exempted. Water Bailiffs in their patrol vessel given their statutory powers should also be exempt in those areas. Also, those powered craft in an emergency or breakdown situation in line with previous applied exemptions if zones created.

We would also indicate that the suggestion that the extent of these zones are marked by the buoys on the water, then the location of these buoys should not in any way impact on our fishing activities, particularly when trolling lures, which can be anything up to 40M at the stern of the fishing boat.

The main issue on the Loch is a total lack of enforcement by the L.L.T.N.P.A Rangers in these areas already, without adequate enforcement from dawn to dusk throughout the whole year, any change or new byelaws are worthless.

Reference should be made to:

Scottish Rights of Way and Access Society  
Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003  
Scottish Outdoor Access Code

The book "Loch Lomond" by Harry Lamond published in 1931 pages 304 to 309 on the matter of the rights of public navigation on the River Leven and Loch Lomond.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

The L.L.A.I.A has been in discussion with other water user groups and apart from pursuing through the court system our own legal right to fish on all areas of the Loch if these proposed byelaws were to be implemented as proposed we would also if the NPA's proposal is adopted without amendment contribute to a joint groups/ public funded Judicial Review being raised on the rights of access/navigation.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The L.L.A.I.A has no real comments to make on this matter, however rather than change the existing boundary and markers, all that is really required to be carried out in this area are some additional marker buoys to be placed on location so it is far easier for those in charge of vessels to see a far more visual "Straight Line" of marker buoy's, currently there are insufficient in number and so far apart people do not really relate to what the buoys indicate and the area of Loch where speed restriction is in place.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

The L.L.A.I.A will mention this in later sections of this consultation, but all speed limits, speed notification buoys on the Loch should be marked in MPH or Knots. There are extremely few powered craft that have calibrated speed indicators marked in KPH and no other body of freshwater in the UK uses KPH as the speed units. We believe that using MPH or Knots will be of far better use and meaning to all water users.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The L.L.A.I.A strongly object to this proposed Bye Law on a number of points. Firstly what research or what statistics did the L.L.T.N.P.A carry out or refer to given that persons under the age of 16 are the least likely to drown than other age groups in fact plenty of data can be found that its adult males from 18 to 30 that are more likely to drown and adults over 18 years of age not to wear a personal floatation or life jacket

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

device.

A parent/guardian on the vessel must surely be the person legally responsible for the persons on board, and that the Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act 1995 could apply in any cases where negligence was determined.

The proposed byelaw changes state "Open Deck" in its introduction and summary, but when we refer to the actual wording of the proposed new Bye Law it actually states ".....is in a cabin or other space which is enclosed on all four sides and covered by a roof". We object given this would be difficult if not impossible to enforce given that many of our members fishing boats and indeed other power driven vessels on the Loch have vessels with pulpits and cabin or cuddy areas. Some vessels simply have an opening where you enter below deck and because there is no door the wording would indicate its not enclosed on all four sides!! The L.L.A.I.A believe the responsibility sits with the child's responsible adult and not the Park Authority. How could the Ranger service check and enforce this byelaw without disturbing power craft as they go about their leisure activities. Greater education is required to encourage adults to wear these devices that is all.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

None.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The L.L.A.I.A would support this. However, it is considered that there needs to be uniformity within the Byelaws over the actual age of responsibility in that within the statute laws of Scotland recognition is given to those persons aged 16 and over in aspects of daily life such as marriage, voting and so on. In view of this we believe there is conflict across all the Byelaws as 18 years and older are classed as adults, and the owner of a power craft must be at least 18 years old, so there are a few instances where we believe the age for a young person is below X years and an adult is above X years. Reference 1.3.1, 1.3.9, 2.1 and 2.2.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

None.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal is opposed by the L.L.A.I.A. It is believed to be an ill thought out proposal that will not achieve anything other than create work, large initial set up expenditure and ongoing financial commitment to the Park Authority. Intense GDPR constraints around receiving and holding and using and retaining persons personal data. There is already an existing Bye Law 3.16.3 for the owner of a power craft to provide the users information when so demanded by the park authority or a Police Officer.

At a time when all companies, organisations and public bodies are trying to work more efficiently and with less legislative restrictions and to save money. This proposed byelaw does the opposite and will achieve very little for the human time involvement and certainly the cost involved.

What we at the L.L.A.I.A believe and wish to see and we think the public wish to see is a far greater enforcement presence by the park Ranger service on the Loch, from dawn to dusk every day of the year across all of the Loch. Without a visual and practical enforcement of the current byelaws, introducing another one, especially one with a large cost and GDPR implications will be a waste of time. The finding that would be needed to introduce all this IT equipment and systems now and ongoing would be far better

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

spent on an actual enforcement presence.

Our anglers and members and water bailiffs regularly see power craft with no registration marks displayed on a regular in fact every weekend basis, so even the enforcement of current byelaws is not sufficient.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

None.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The L.L.A.I.A does not offer to make any comment on this proposal other than all traders should be registered with the appropriate department/s of the responsible local authority and be in compliance with all local and National statutory regulations for the services they offer.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

The L.L.A.I.A have over the last ten years in particular seen an increase in those involved in the commercial hiring of watercraft powered and non-powered and some operators that just appear with a large van with paddleboards Kayaks in the back and set up at the roadside or beach on the Loch. These operators genuine or not do not at times appear to have any basic procedures/risk assessments in place as our members and anglers see non powered craft getting blown off shore well away from where they started and having to get towed back in. Some of the craft look very old and not maintained and in poor condition. We believe there is a real risk of a tragedy to unfold and drowning incidents will occur primarily with these businesses.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

- AMENDMENT TO THE WORDING OF ALL APPROPRIATE BYELAWS

It has been noted in numerous byelaws that quite correctly, the rescue services, Police, Fire and Ambulance Services, Park Rangers etc are exempt from certain byelaws. We would request that our warranted water bailiffs also who are directly appointed by the Scottish Ministers and who have the powers of stop, search persons, cars, boats etc, seizure and to detain and arrest persons committing offences under the Salmon and Freshwater Fisheries (Scotland)(Consolidation) Regulations 2003. And also given powers under that legislation to enter upon any land etc are also made exempt from certain byelaws to allow them to carry out their duties. The byelaws in question are:

Old Number (2.7) New Number (2.8(1))

Old Number (3.6) New Number (3.6(4))

- JET-SKI and JET BOATS:

The LLAIA like to see these type of power craft (Jet Ski's and Jet Boats) banned entirely from Loch Lomond

The single and most damming area of complaints from all our anglers and members, but also the other Loch water users and local community groups is the large amount of these power craft on the Loch, so much so on holiday weekends and on Saturdays now our members try and avoid fishing the Loch

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

because of the uncontrolled numbers of these power craft and the failure of the Park Rangers indeed its executive board to manage these machines. It really has become a free for all, with excessive speed, dangerous navigation and control all too common.

We would undoubtedly like to see these type of power craft banned entirely from the Loch as the majority of those using them are not at all considerate to other loch users nor the public and others on the shores. Our member's recreation is a genteel past time with our boats drifting in the wind fly fishing or trolling lures at a leisurely 4mph. Our boats then become a target for these machines the driver of which finds some kind of fun cutting across our fishing vessels bow or stern at high speed often 40MPH+ and what is fun to them is actually a dangerous act and spoiled another loch user and our members day.

The reason we ask for an outright ban, is simply because the existing byelaws are no deterrent and the park authority enforcement of these craft is practically zero, we note the enforcement numbers you published in the consultation, but those numbers could really be for any weekend if there was enough Rangers afloat dealing with these machines and their operators.

We recognise that banning outright these machines is refusing a particular group and unfortunately some who appear to be in the minority sensible jet ski and jet bike operators.

If the park authority will not ban these outright, then we suggest they are strictly limited to a slow speed within 500M of any shoreline and limited to the Loch speed limit only in the centre areas of the Loch. Those that camp overnight or stay in the many Loch side villages as residents or visitors on summer evenings all they hear is the whine of these machines which of course travels far across the Loch. We would suggest that a noise control is put into place so again speed limits after say a certain time before dusk. But there is of course an existing Byelaw 3.5(3) under the terms of "causing a nuisance". And the park authority need to start enforcing this with these machines and operators. If people see there is no enforcement they will do what they like.

- WASH FROM POWERED CRAFT

Wash from larger power craft is now a serious problem, endangering both life and damage to property and also the environment of all the shorelines especially around the islands and causing heavy erosion. Consideration must be given to introducing overall speed restriction, but also to responsible handling of the power craft as often the wash is created by a large boat not setting the trim correctly so poor control by the master of the vessel.

Existing Byelaws are already in place but again without a proactive enforcement team afloat from dawn to dusk every day of the year then nothing will change. Reckless behaviour or acting without due care and attention 3.5(1) and 3.5(3) needs to be enforced. It is believed that listing excessive wash in the wording of the Byelaws would help.

- SPEED LIMITS IN IMPERIAL MEASUREMENT

Based on the opinion of our Committee and members and anglers, it is requested that consideration be given for speed limits to be changed from metric (KPH) to imperial (MPH).

- OPEN WATER SWIMMERS SAFETY

Given the increase in open water swimmers using the Loch we believe many swimmers take no responsibility for their own safety and it's the masters of the power vessels or other water craft that would be deemed to be the problem person in an accident or fatality situation and that may not be the truthful situation. However, Introduction of Byelaw for mandatory use of highviz swim floats by open water swimmers and where boats accompanying/supporting open water swimmers s requirement to display an Alpha Flag with 360 degrees visibility.

- L.L.T.N.P.A. PATROL CRAFT FITTED WITH PORTABLE ELECTRONIC RECORDING DEVICE/S

Our Committee believes that if they are not already installed and used then all park authority patrol craft should be fitted with suitable camera recording equipment and operated strictly within GDPR guidelines. Not only would they be a visual deterrent the images recorded would hopefully allow the

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

appropriate enforcement action to be taken. As with bodycams for your park rangers, they are a very useful form of deterrent and can provide evidence in helping obtain convictions for byelaw transgressions. Our own warranted water bailiffs have used evidential body cameras for a number of years now and have proved invaluable for the safety of our employees and to secure convictions at court.

## Response 280

Q3. Age:

65+

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Above all I want the eastern end of Loch Earn and the village of St Fillans not to endure excessive noise and not have to be hit with jet skis, powerboats, and the like.

Moreover, there is a need to ensure the South Loch Earn Road is passable by traffic at all times.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Very much agree with this.



Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Again, agree.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Not sure on this, and need to look at more.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No.

## Response 281

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a business owner who operates on the loch/shore

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This will create safer spaces for Loch users and should result in a reduction of incidents.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I feel that this bylaw should be redefined to include the compulsory wearing of PFDs for all on craft such as Jetskis, PaddleBoards, Canoe and kayaks.

This will serve to save lives and prevent inexperienced water users from getting into trouble on the water. PFDs save lives.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If you remove the requirement to seek permission to trade there will be an increase in rogue traders. Your current process and policy works extremely well. You know that all authorised users have the appropriate qualifications to be on the water. They operate within remit. They have current outdoor 1st aid qualifications, in date insurance and they have land owners permission.

There are many rogue traders operating on the loch and well out of remit. Please for the sake of the unsuspecting clients, do not amend the current rules

## Response 282

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a visitor to the National Park

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Gives some confidence of safety if this is observed.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

ID in event of an accident or offence.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not sure , will the Park have the authority to deal with all types of case. How is nuisance being defined?

## Response 283

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Why not everyone? Why only under 16s?

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 284

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a local recreation group or loch user group

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Other (please specify):  
Rowing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The proposal is agreed however provision needs to be made to allow a powered boat with an engine above 15HP acting as a coaching and rescue boat to enter the zones at Duck Bay and Balloch Park for the purposes of supervision and rescue if necessary as that is where rowers traditionally train.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As written the proposal is not agreed. An exemption needs to be included for young persons under 16 who are undertaking a supervised rowing training session with an adult supervisor present who can effect a rescue to a launch or by buddy rescue. Rowing, particularly at higher performance levels which many young people do undertake, requires maximum freedom of movement which a PFD constrains. Beginners will still be required to wear PFDs consistent with existing coaching risk assessments. Current rowing safety guidance instructs a capsized rower to float, not swim and to use the hull of the boat as a buoyancy aid and to get as much of their body out of the water as possible pending rescue. This is another reason why at Proposal 1 provision needs to be made to allow a higher powered craft to enter the low power zone for the purposes of effecting a rescue.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 285

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>No to all Zones, there is a right of free navigation which is also a right to anchor &amp; in all zones there has been uninterrupted access to go ashore to join with friends on the beach.</p> <p>Luss in particular:- Pontoons only hold 2 boats, which are in among people jumping off the pier. Access to Luss by anchoring and going ashore in a dinghy or beaching a speedboat etc is required to allow people to:- Buy shopping, fuel, water, go for meals, attend church, visit friends who live in Luss. The right to anchor and the right to go ashore in this area would easily be established by a court action.</p> <p>Environmental &amp; cost impact:_ Many boaters up on holiday or weekending around the Islands would use Luss to pick up shopping, petrol etc. If banned from Luss, they would now need to sail back to Balloch. A return journey from the Island group to Balloch &amp; back typically would use anything between 10-50 Litres depending on speed &amp; size of boats. (All for a pint of milk)!! To put in perspective 50 Litres would allow a typical diesel car to do over 500 miles.</p>

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>Cannot see how this makes it easier for boaters, &amp; would make prosecuting someone very difficult relative to the simple straight line as is now. 2-3 extra buoys would make it easy for boaters to see.</p>



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No evidence to suggest this is required.

Makes no sense that a young person would need to wear a jacket in the cockpit of a large cruiser but then could take it off when getting into a small dinghy to row ashore, or go wild swimming.

Who & when to wear life-jackets should be a decision left to the skipper or accompanying adult.

This would have serious implications for small ferries operating around the Loch where they would need to fit young children/infants with lifejackets. The time taken to take infants out of prams etc & fit lifejackets would be excessive relevant to a journey of only a few minutes.

Would probably also mean the skipper would need full police clearance to handle children.

The consultation document refers to "open deck" however the proposed byelaw refers to "Enclosed spaces with 4 sides & a roof". Very misleading as many may agree with the use of "Open Deck" but not realise this byelaw is actually much more restrictive.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This makes sense rather than trying to prosecute a child.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

To bureaucratic, expensive & won't help if the boat/user aren't registered anyway.

Money would be better spent in additional patrols to enforce/educate on existing byelaws.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes sense to have local authorities do the licencing.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Open water Swimmers

Open water swimmers should be required to have a float so that they can be seen, or have a safety boat nearby which must be flying an Alpha flag in a prominent position that can be observed through 360 Deg.

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

(I'd suggest definition of Open Water swimming as either 25m from the shore or out-with their depth)

Units used in byelaws

This should be MPH as it's the most known unit used.... If not Knots should be used.

If a jet-skier is told the limit is 90, they will assume 90 MPH.

Wash restriction

Vessels over 10M should be restricted to 10MPH to prevent the excessive wash that is coming off the large vessels travelling at speed.

Environmental impact on climate change gases of a many of the largest vessels going from Balloch to Ardlui & back is similar for an average car travelling from John o' Groats to Lands End & back.

Wash should be specifically mentioned in the byelaw relating to causing a nuisance to ensure it is easier to enforce.

Noise pollution

One of the most talked about annoyance/disturbance observed by boaters & communities around the Loch.

Suggestion would be to speed limit the 2-stroke jet bikes to 10MPH or less and also specifically itemise other manoeuvres they may not do.

Eg circling around in the same area for more than a few minutes.

The 4-stroke bikes are generally much quieter bar a few modified ones that should be stopped under the byelaw relating to nuisance.

Overall speed limit

With more & more jet-bikes capable of high speeds, I think the overall speed limit should be reduced to 40MPH. Where people are playing around at speeds of 70-80 MPH, I doubt they are likely to see swimmers or even rowing skiffs etc.

This would also have a beneficial Environmental Impact.

## Response 286

### Your details

Q3. Age:
16 – 24

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a visitor to the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 287

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

However I think it should be compulsory for everyone,

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Also any craft using the Loch should have a qualified RYA in charge and individual craft should have a service cert to lower the risk of breakdown and calling the rescue boat out.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All should still come under the permission to trade

## Response 288

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**  
and loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Should apply to all lochs in the park, not just Loch Lomond.

## Response 289

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Water skiing
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We will simply find numerous irresponsible vendors along the shores. Waiting until nuisance is an issue may be too late and fatal.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Increase the fee to support enhanced admin required to facilitate bylaws

## Response 290

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Personally I would love to see jetskis banned altogether. The noise from them alone simply ruins people's enjoyment of the area (nevermind the selfish dangerous behaviour of many jetski drivers. ) I would love to see more encouragement of non-polluting and health-giving loch activities.

## Response 291

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I feel personal safety should be taken seriously but also think that certain boundaries are being overstepped when personal freedoms that harm no one else are being forced.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere. Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution
- Possible National Park powered craft registration and licence scheme in-line with how the CAA handles Drone usage

## Response 292

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> And in addition Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance, in case of accidents.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Can I propose the following additions?:

- > Extend the byelaws to include all LLTNP lochs. Some lochs which are valued by visitors and residents for their tranquility -such as our Loch Ard- should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use. This consensual aspiration (and the evidence and fear that underpins it) is articulated in our Kinlochard Community Life Plan.
- > There should a curfew on jet skis overnight -from 9pm to 9am- to ensure visitors and residents can enjoy the tranquility of the place and loch during these hours.
- > Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission should be a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable.
- > Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond, using the registration process described, and a daily allocation.
- > Jet skis should not be allowed to use areas which are frequented by swimmers: such as Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant.
- > Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- > LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

While supporting the new byelaw, I feel this has been developed in response to a specific locational issue, when ideally, it would what is needed is a richer context of and comprehensive review for what we need to do to ensure the long-term conservation and enhancement all our precious National Park lochs.

We have seen huge significant increases in the use and abuse of lochs across the National Park in the past few years, and if we are to "conserve and enhance their historical natural and cultural heritage" - the foremost Park aim, to my understanding - then a stronger framework of legislation is needed.

To inform this legislation there is desperate need for research to understand the impacts of usage and causes of pollution on the water environment. There has been evident deterioration iWhile supporting the new byelaw, I feel this has been developed in response to a specific locational issue, when ideally, it would what is needed is a richer context of and comprehensive review for what we need to do to

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

ensure the long-term conservation and enhancement all our precious National Park lochs.

We have seen huge significant increases in the use and abuse of lochs across the National Park in the past few years, and if we are to "conserve and enhance their historical natural and cultural heritage" - the foremost Park aim, to my understanding - then a stronger framework of legislation is needed.

To inform this legislation there is desperate need for research to understand the impacts of usage and causes of pollution on the water environment. There has been evident deterioration in water quality in recent years - notable are the increasing incidence in "Swimmers Itch" and blue green algae, which appear to be worsening as the summers grow hotter.

Promoting leisure and economic development, whilst remaining wilfully blind to the (potentially catastrophic) impacts of pollution on the natural heritage, is not consonant with the LL&TTNP aim, nor with national goals towards net zero. A balance is needed between local environmental and economic Aims. This is impossible if there is a total absence of data related to "conservin water quality in recent years - notable are the increasing incidence in "Swimmers Itch" and blue green algae, which appear to be worsening as the summers grow hotter.

Promoting leisure and economic development, whilst remaining wilfully blind to the (potentially catastrophic) impacts of pollution on the natural heritage, is not consonant with the LL&TTNP aim, nor with national goals towards net zero. A balance is needed between local environmental and economic Aims. This is impossible if there is a total absence of data related to "conserving and enhancing" the water environment, which in turn means we cannot know if natural resources are being used "sustainably". In terms of the Aims, the new byelaws seem only intended to manage different perspectives on "understanding and enjoyment", in the interests of "economic development", which is just one side of the balance.

Kinlochard children and adults have expressed their deep concerns about Loch Ard water quality, and a proposal was put to SEPA to engage in a local citizen science project to evaluate water quality, but they did not pursue this. I myself took one wild swim in Loch Lomond (at Inversnaid) last year, in an otherwise beautiful location, and was disturbed by level of slime covering the underwater rocks (which made it dangerous getting in) and developed "Swimmers Itch" immediately after. I shan't swim there again. Albeit not so bad yet, the same is becoming true in Loch Ard -my local loch- where myself and other residents reported "Swimmers Itch" this year for the first time. The idea that pollution from motorised vehicles are beginning to get added to the unknown mix is horrifying and depressing to me.

In summary, the byelaws should be extended and strengthened to "conserve and protect" all lochs in the National Park, and there is desperate need for research on the water ecology, and the impacts and causes of pollution to inform these, if the Aims of the LL&TTNP are to be met.

## Response 293

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.
<p>The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.</p> <p>Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use</li><li>2. 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours</li><li>3. Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance</li><li>4. Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable</li><li>5. Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond</li><li>6. Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant</li><li>7. Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs</li><li>8. LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution</li></ol>

## Response 294

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> As a resident within the National Park and regular loch user of another loch near to Loch Lomond.

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is better than nothing - but falls so far short of the measures that are needed to address the problems - or for for LL&TNP to be taken seriously as a conservation body.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Although compulsion is best avoided - as was the case with car seat belts - it does look like it may be necessary to combat irresponsibility.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Absurd not to have the means necessary to oversight - how else is the Park to meet its own responsibilities?



## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

·For the Park to meet its primary responsibility of conserving and enhancing natural and cultural heritage a total ban on leisure-use of jet skis and "speedboat" craft across LLTNP, needs to be put in place. Banning - or even restricting - activity on Loch Lomond alone simply displaces such activity elsewhere.

If the Park has not the stomach for that fight - and it fails in its duty every day it doesn't - some smaller lochs will need other protection from increases in dangerous and disturbing motorised craft usage.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

These are not even half-measures. I wish to God those who find themselves administering our Park had the courage to actually believe in its stated aims or in what surely their daily experience tells them. I guess it's a job. I know visitors who've witnessed the absurdity of what goes on on Loch Lomond and cancelled holiday plans to head elsewhere - the problem is that "sacrificing" Loch Lomond doesn't protect other areas at all. It simply encourages - if you can get away with carbon-based trashing of a National Park you have the licence to do it anywhere. Those of us who remember some of the hopes around the setting up of Scotland's first National Park look forward to the comfort of gentle rotation in the grave

## Response 295

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Jet-skis should be completely banned from Loch Lomond.  
The noise pollution is totally unacceptable in terms of noise levels and continuous (12+ hours constant usage) which blights the environment for wildlife, residents and visitors alike for miles around.  
Also unacceptable is the extremely anti-social and dangerous behaviour of what appears to be the majority of jet-ski users, who show complete disregard for all other Loch users, including young children. The showing-off behaviour of jet-skis around Luss Pier in particular encourages more anti-social behaviour in Luss Village.  
Jet-skis are incompatible with the aims of the National Park.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No.

## Response 296

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>My problem with this is that you are confining the restrictions to those parts of Loch Lomond where increasing use of jet skis and the like is/has caused serious safety issues for other loch users. Given that the use of jet skis is unlikely to stop growing, such restrictions ought also to be introduced for the other lochs within the National Park jurisdiction.</p> <p>██████████, I am particularly concerned that we will see the kind of problems evident on Loch Lomond occurring also on Loch Ard and Loch Chon (I presume Loch Earn might also be affected). In my view, the issue with jet skis and other (11+ HP) power boats is not only the risk to other loch users but also the NOISE which these craft make - something which is completely at odds with the peace and tranquility which so many of us rightly seek and expect in the countryside. It seems the desires of two sets of loch users are potentially incompatible. However, I believe that preserving the peace and tranquility of the lochs by restricting (or denying) use by power craft can be justified, on two grounds : first, that the health benefits of spending time in nature are far better achieved (and for far more people) through non-powered options (walking, swimming, paddle boarding ,etc); and second, that allowing/encouraging the greater use of power craft is COMPLETELY at odds with the National Park's objectives concerning the reduction of carbon emissions.</p> <p>For all these reasons, I personally would argue for a ban on all (11+ hp) power boats in the other lochs within the Loch Lomond and Trossachs National Park.</p>

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

My problem with this is that you are confining the restrictions to those parts of Loch Lomond where increasing use of jet skis and the like is/has caused serious safety issues for other loch users. Given that the use of jet skis is unlikely to stop growing, such restrictions ought also to be introduced for the other lochs within the National Park jurisdiction.

██████████ I am particularly concerned that we will see the kind of problems evident on Loch Lomond occurring also on Loch Ard and Loch Chon (I presume Loch Earn might also be affected). In my view, the issue with jet skis and other (11+ HP) power boats is not only the risk to other loch users but also the NOISE which these craft make - something which is completely at odds with the peace and tranquility which so many of us rightly seek and expect in the countryside. It seems the desires of two sets of loch users are potentially incompatible. However, I believe that preserving the peace and tranquility of the lochs by restricting (or denying) use by power craft can be justified, on two grounds : first, that the health benefits of spending time in nature are far better achieved (and for far more people) through non-powered options (walking, swimming, paddle boarding ,etc); and second, that allowing/encouraging the greater use of power craft is COMPLETELY at odds with the National Park's objectives concerning the reduction of carbon emissions.

For all these reasons, I personally would argue for a ban on all (11+ hp) power boats in the other lochs within the Loch Lomond and Trossachs National Park.

## Equalities

## Response 297

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 298

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

As a person with multiple water activity qualifications; RYA, BCU.

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety. How will it be policed?

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety. How will it be policed?

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Safety. How will it be policed?

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes. But, no-one, regardless of age, should be in charge of a powered vessel without appropriate qualifications and liability insurance.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

For identification purposes. Need to have capability for short term reg for visitors.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Who determines the phrase 'causing nuisance'? All organisations should be subject to permission to trade within the NP boundaries. To start labelling some a nuisance will likely keep NP lawyers busy. Blanket permissions for all.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

NP should adopt regulations used in French canals where craft are prohibited from discharging 'grey' water and bilge. Powered craft require to have drip collector trays under their transmission sets to catch drips et al. Grey water needs to be collected in separate tanks to be emptied at shore-side facilities.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Not going far enough. Learn from the disaster that is Windermere, suffering a major catastrophe in ecosystem collapse driven in part by over use of water craft and partly discharge into the Lake. Loch Lomond is already seeing algae blooms. Don't consider this to be purely the result of a warmer, milder climate.  
Hard choices, but a limit on powered craft needs to be imposed. Work with LLAIA to help drive fishing craft toward electric propulsion. Outboard petrol engines, esp those with 'top tanks' are the most polluting as they are usually refuelled in situ on the transom; drips, spillage.  
Regarding those ugly gin palaces; no discharges rule. Speed limitation anywhere near shore inc island shores. Rules like the new highway code rules; The bigger you are, the more responsibility.



## Response 299

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> The survey does not allow me to select multiple options above. I am responding as bullet points 1, 4, 6, & 8

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Motor boat cruising
Other (please specify): Transport to our neighbours on Inchmurrin for business and pleasure. Mooring provider.

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The existing byelaws provide for adequate control of loch users and protection of the environment if properly enforced. The majority of issues relate to excessive speed and registration issues. Education is the key to resolving the current issues. Responsible users currently register their vessels. There will always be some irresponsible users who can not be educated. Part of the problem appears to be lack of respect for the NP rangers. Introducing additional byelaws is likely to make this situation worse.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I have no problem with this proposal. The speed restricted zone was unnecessarily large. Using the 150m from the shore to define the speed restricted zone is the same principle as for the majority of the Loch.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Education is the key. The majority of people I have personally known who have drowned on the Loch in association with the use of a boat have been adults. I am not convinced there is evidence to justify an age limit. It is a sensible approach for Kayakers in particular due to the difficulty of getting back into an upturned kayak. I have known this happen on the loch. The proposed byelaw would restrict the ability of young people to use swim platforms on boats. There are too many grey areas such as the fly bridge on larger vessels. Water borne activities carry an inherent risk. I see the National Parks role as principally educational and advisory with enforcement as a last resort. If this proposal were to be taken forward then it should only be applicable when the boat is in motion.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes but only if the owner has consented to the use of the vessel.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is an unnecessary burden to deal with a minority of loch users. It will carry a significant administrative cost. The proposal does not require the user to carry ID. There is no proposal to empower the National Park rangers to require users to remove items of clothing such as hoodies, caps etc. so identification may still be a problem. The monetary saving from not implementing this proposal would be better spent on more patrols to deal with antisocial behaviour such as the use of chain saws to collect firewood.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Yes. The status quo with the exception of the questions I have said yes to. They are adequate if enforced. Additional patrols particularly in the evenings would be beneficial.

## Response 300

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an owner of land on or around the Loch

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Fishing
Other (please specify): access to east shore across the north end of the Loch

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> We do not believe these low powered activity zones are sufficiently extensive and we are concerned that the creation of small zones will, first, lead to displacement to other areas with an increase in high speed activity there. Secondly, users of high speed craft will get the impression that if they are not in a specific low speed zone they are entitled to high speed activity regardless of the actual conditions in that area.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The existing line seems perfectly clear as a straight line between Ross Dhu and Ellenderroch. We cannot see it being significantly more difficult to navigate at present than it would be to have to estimate the distance from the shore. In any event it is clearly difficult to be precise on positioning relative to the shore and will no doubt lead to as much confusion as exists at present.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Given the already high uptake on PFDs, enforcement efforts would be better directed to the many other

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

areas in more need thereof. Have there been a significant number of incidents when young people have been in danger on an open deck?

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This seems a sensible proposal

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It might be appropriate to exempt small craft from this provision, given they are unlikely to be in contravention of speeding by laws, but overall this is a sensible proposal

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Concentrating on the key issues is a much needed approach given the level of resources available and anything such as this that can be done to streamline processes to enable staff to concentrate on enforcement in problem areas is to be welcomed.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

As land managers towards the north end of the loch, like others we have experienced a significant growth in the number of jet-skis using these parts of the loch and the river Falloch, particularly in the last two years. Whilst we accept the need to balance the interests of various categories of user, we are firmly of the opinion that the rights and interests of the vast majority of users (including walkers) of this part of the loch are being prejudiced by the actions of the very few, using craft with little regard for the interests and quiet enjoyment of others.

The main issues which, in our view, have not been captured or sufficiently addressed in the review are:

- Noise – this is particularly noticeable in this predominantly quiet part of the loch and all along the WHW.
- Fishing- with the increase in movements we have seen an increase in disturbance (noise and water from the wakes) to boat and shore-based fishing activities.
- Swimming – a significant number of swimmers use the water around the islands and along the shore, particularly between Ardleigh and the county boundary. With speeding craft around roaming

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

completely uncontrolled, there must be a risk to swimmers' safety.

- There is no right of navigation for powered craft up the river Falloch, but at the same time we do not have any means effectively to stop these craft ourselves and the NPA clearly does not possess the resources, to enforce this.
- Impact on designated sites, beavers and biodiversity generally- the wakes and noise caused by these craft inevitably has negative impacts on these.
- Environmental – The use of fossil fuels to power these craft can only add to the risk of air and water pollution and is in contradiction to the Park's own net zero goals for all users. We are all doing what we can to reduce our carbon footprint and we do not believe there is any place for such craft in Loch Lomond, or indeed elsewhere in the Park. We note that climate related issues will be considered in the forthcoming Partnership Plan consultation next year, but we regret that the opportunity is not being taken to address this specific point in the by law review.
- Enforcement – Given the existing and well-rehearsed difficulties of managing land-based activities and behaviour, of which we are all too well aware, the prospects of effective enforcement on fast moving, difficult to identify jet-skis must be minimal.
- Purpose of bylaws – the introduction to the “Loch Lomond Byelaws Review” states that “the many uses and opportunities that Loch Lomond provides need to be carefully balanced to ensure that the loch can continue to be enjoyed safely and responsibly and to prevent its special qualities from being lost”. We would suggest that jet-skis, by their very nature, are becoming instrumental in those special qualities actually being lost.
- Main aims of the review- none of the four outcomes aimed for are likely to be helped by the insufficiently regulated use of jet-skis:
  - o Safer enjoyment.....especially by younger people – jet-skis are intrinsically dangerous especially around swimmers and other water users. Whilst we don't know the details of the incident at Luss at the end of August when a 21 year old jet skier was seriously injured in a collision with a motor boat, we remain concerned about the dangers.
  - o Increased participation in healthy recreation.....- there is nothing healthy about jet-skis either for the user or for the non-user
  - o Minimising .....anti-social behaviour and disturbance to communities and loch users – the more jet-skis are in use the more this aim will be unachievable
  - o Appropriate management and enforcement- as stated above, the existing challenges of enforcement of land-based activities indicate the practical impossibility of achieving such management in regard to jet-skis.

For all the above reasons we feel that the National Park Authority should investigate more thoroughly amendments to the bylaws to address these issues and consider more carefully the questions on both sides around the use of jet-skis.

We are particularly concerned that without this examination, albeit unintentionally, any changes that are made will just displace users to other parts of the Loch or other lochs in the National Park.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No - thank you for all the hard work in putting together the review and we look forward to discussing this further with you in due course.

## Response 301

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an owner of land on or around the Loch

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Sailing
Fishing
Other (please specify): Stem boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.</p> <p>Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use</li><li>• 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours</li><li>• Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance</li><li>• Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable</li><li>• Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond</li><li>• Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant</li><li>• Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs</li><li>• LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution</li></ul>

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

NB

Loch Ard is a quiet Loch and should remain so, rescue boats should be allowed. People come here for the tranquillity, to swim, sail, canoe and paddle board and other quiet activities.

## Response 302

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 303

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> If you have this people like us with boats won't be able to go to shore to stop and have lunch or go near the campsites where we launch from. I think enforcing the existing bye laws first should be a priority before adding more things

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> For peoples safety we've seen lots of people without them

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

That way you know who's miss treating things on the Loch

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I think better policing of the current bye laws should be a priority as we see many people not knowing or abusing the low speed zones that should be more of a priority than adding more low powered zones.

Better policing of paddle boarders and inflatable kayaks that aren't wearing life jackets etc and being to far out or causing havoc on the Loch

## Response 304

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
On behalf of a community organisation in the National Park

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>While the principle of restricted activity zones is considered to be worthy of adoption on the grounds of safety, the sub-committee members are unanimous in their view that the proposal to restrict access to all powered craft under 15 horsepower (11.2 kilowatts), was both unnecessary and unacceptable. The exceptionally strong view taken is that the rights of navigation of all powered craft would be forfeited, preventing such craft from what is considered a given right of navigation in accessing temporary moorings, dropping off or picking up passengers, and particularly at Luss, purchasing fuel and provisions or going ashore for a coffee or a meal. It is the firmly held opinion that such a byelaw would be in breach of the public right of navigation throughout the Loch. It is further held that the proposal is not proportionate given that the desired effect could equally be achieved by the regulation of speed within such designated areas, for example 4 mph for all powered craft. While this would respect the right of navigation it would still meet the NPA's desired outcome of making the areas safer for all users.</p> <p>Rescue and Operated passenger vessels would require to be exempted in line with previous applied exemptions if zones were created.</p> <p>Reference is made to:</p> <p>Scottish Rights of Way and Access Society Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003 Scottish Outdoor Access Code The book "Loch Lomond" by Harry Lamond published in 1931 pages 304 to 309 on the matter of the rights of public navigation on the River Leven and Loch Lomond.</p> <p><b>ADDITIONAL COMMENT:</b> From feedback and general comments received, it appears highly likely that if the NPA's proposal is adopted without amendment then it would lead to a joint groups/publicly funded Judicial Review being raised on the rights of access/navigation.</p>

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>The LLA's considered view is that this would be best served by keeping the existing boundary markers</p>

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

while adding additional buoys to make it more visible in one continuous straight line. This finding is based on the unanimous comments from the LLA's regular long-time boat users on the Loch.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal was unanimously opposed by the LLA on the grounds (a) difficulty in enforcement, particularly on vessels with pulpits and cabin or cuddy areas (b) that the responsibility rests with the adult/guardian or person in charge of the vessel in relation to a child or children under 16 years on board that vessel. (c) making it compulsory for children and not for adults may lead to the wrong impression that adults are exempt particularly given that the statistics relating to boating deaths almost exclusively involve adults and not children. While not considered in detail, it is believed that negligence by a parent or guardian leading to the injury or death of a child is contained in legislation (Children and Young Persons (Scotland) Act 1995). It is also contended that such an addition to the Byelaws would have significant impacts on small publicly operated ferries such as Balmaha to Inchcailloch" and Burnfoot to Inchmurrin" if they have to ensure the wearing of PFD's by all children under 16 years while on board, including infants, babes in arms or in prams/carrycots or other such transportation devices. Arising from this, a further possible additional burden that may be placed on ferry operators could be a requirement to have someone onboard who has PVG (Protecting Vulnerable Groups) clearance in the event of having to fit, or assist in the fitting, children with PVD's

**ADDITIONAL COMMENT:**

It is noted that the detailed proposal creates an anomaly in that it differs from that detailed in the proposed Byelaws at 3.5(6) a (ii) – Page 62.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Fully supported. However, it is considered that there needs to be uniformity within the Byelaws over the age of responsibility in that within the laws of Scotland recognition is given to 16's and over in aspects of daily life such as marriage, voting and so on. In view of this, it is the LLA's unanimous recommendation that this should form part of the review of the Byelaws. Reference 1.3.1, 1.3.9, 2.1 and 2.2.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal was unanimously opposed. It was considered to be an expensive exercise that would waste resources as well as being impracticable to enforce as well as invoking issues around GDPR. Powers are already available for a vessels owner or registered owner to provide details of the Master of the Vessel (Byelaw 3(16)(3) Page 49)

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The LLA has no opinion on this proposal on the understanding that licensing of traders should be a matter

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

for the areas Local Authorities which have the experience and staffing infrastructure. The LLA has serious concerns over the commercial hiring of watercraft not only in relation to the maintenance and condition of such craft, powered or unpowered but also with the ability of users.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

### ADDITIONAL MATTERS/COMMENTS

#### • THIRD-PARTY INSURANCE:

The LLA is aware that currently, Loch Lomond's commercially operated Marinas have rigorous requirements for their Marina Members to have a minimum active third-party liability insurance cover, with most if not all members carrying comprehensive craft and content insurance.

It is our belief that there should be a requirement for all powered craft using the Duncan Mills Memorial Slipway and any other LLTNPA launching facility on the Loch to have at least basic current third-party liability insurance cover to safeguard other Loch users in the event of accidental damage to property and/or personal injury. In this regard, it is contended that the LLTNPA have a public and owners' responsibility and should alter the terms and conditions of using their launch facilities to at least make it a mandatory requirement for all powered craft to have in force public liability insurance. Where such cover is not produced, for an additional fee a basic level third-party insurance cover for the duration that the craft is on the Loch could be provided.

#### • WASH FROM POWERED CRAFT:

Wash from larger boats is a serious problem, endangering both life and damage to property. While consideration could be given to introducing overall speed restriction, especially directed at larger powered craft it is felt that this could well result in anomalies making it hard to enforce. The current Byelaws already have provisions that could be enforced on the grounds of reckless behaviour or acting without due care and attention. (see Byelaw 3.5(1) and 3.5(3)) – (Page 61). It is believed that recording excessive wash in the wording of the Byelaws would be beneficial in helping to deal with this serious and growing problem.

#### • SPEED LIMITS IN IMPERIAL MEASUREMENT:

Based on the opinion of regular Loch users, it is requested that consideration be given for speed limits to be changed from metric to imperial or conversely being shown in both.

#### • OPEN WATER SWIMMERS' SAFETY:

Introduction of Byelaw for mandatory use of high-viz swim floats by open water swimmers and where boats accompanying/supporting open water swimmers, a requirement to display an Alpha Flag with 360 degrees visibility. This is not only a growing problem on Loch Lomond but on the other open waters within the National Park.

#### • SAFETY AROUND PIERS:

A Byelaw prohibiting people (adults and children) from using piers as swimming platforms, particularly at Luss Pier where the problem is a particularly serious one.

#### • AUTHORITY TO ISSUE FIXED PENALTY TICKETS:

It is felt that the authority to issue fixed penalty tickets would add greatly to the enforcement of the Byelaws and would act as a deterrent making the Loch a safer place for visitors while enhancing their

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

experiences. The difficulties in introducing such a process for dealings with Byelaw contravention are readily accepted but ultimately would prove highly beneficial in Byelaws compliance and reduce time-consuming and expensive alternatives.

- RANGERS ISSUED WITH BODYCAMs:

There are many beneficial reasons why NPA's Rangers should be issued with Bodycams, not least of which is personnel safety when dealing with non-compliant visitors. While the cost of set-up is expensive it is considered to be a highly worthwhile aspiration.

- NPA PATROL CRAFT FITTED WITH PORTABLE ELECTRONIC RECORDING DEVICE:

The view taken is that all NPA patrol craft should be fitted with PORTABLE ELECTRONIC RECORDING DEVICES if these are not already in use. As with personal Bodycams, they are a very useful form of backup for patrolling Rangers.

- JET-SKI NOISE:

The single and most significant area of complaints from a large number of diverse users of Loch Lomond and its shores, as well as communities around the Loch, is the noise level from jet skis. This is particularly upsetting when it involves several jet skis operating incessantly for hours within relatively small areas. In the absence of any specific byelaw being introduced to resolve this harrowing and growing problem, where appropriate the NPA should make every effort to enforce Byelaw 3.5(3) under the terms of "causing a nuisance".

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

SEE ABOVE

## Response 305

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>No to all zones, I believe there is a right of free navigation, which is a right to anchor in all zones which gives uninterrupted access to get ashore with my family and meet friends.</p> <p>Luss at the moment only has pontoons that only hold 2 boats, which are beside where most folk are most likely to be jumping off the pier.</p> <p>Access to Luss either anchoring dinghy or speedboat or other craft to go ashore so this allows people to get fuel, shop, go for meals or visit friends/family.</p> <p>Having the right to anchor and to go ashore around this area would be easily established by a court action.</p> <p>With many boaters using the loch for weekends and holidays around the Islands Luss is very easy to get to for fuel and shopping, if Luss became a no-go area boaters would need to sail back down to Balloch for essentials and back again to the Islands which depending on your boat could use anywhere between 10-50 litres of fuel no good on the environment especially when a car could travel 500 on 50 ltrs.</p>
-



Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I personally cant see how this would make it easier for boaters, and prosecuting anyone would be very difficult with the straight line it is now, a suggestion of maybe 2-3 extra buoys for boaters to see.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No evidence to suggest this is required.

It makes no sense that a young person would need to wear a life jacket in the cockpit of a large cabin cruiser but then would could then take it off to jump into a dinghy to go ashore. The responsibility should lie with parents or the captain of the boat.

The implications of this for small ferry operators around the Loch would be serious, the operator of the vessel would need to trained to fit the correct life jackets to young children, and there for would probably need a Disclosure done.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This makes complete sense rather than prosecute a child.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Too bureaucratic and expensive and also wouldn't help if the boat user wasn't registered.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If the local authorities do the licencing.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Units used in byelaws ; this should be in MPH as its most commonly used unit.  
Open water swimmers should be required to have a float or a safety boat displaying the an Alpha flag also to define open water as either 25m from the shore or out with their depth.

## Response 306

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Have seen far too many near misses from speeding jet skis

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
They may take responsibility of who uses their vessel

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
This is a necessity to track the person responsible

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 307

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 308

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

Wake boarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We believe there is a lack of resources to adequately enforce the existing speed limit areas. It does not seem sensible to create additional zones when the behaviour in the existing speed-limited areas cannot be adequately controlled. In addition – from the point of view of swimmers, for example, it is likely to create a false sense of security in the new zones.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There has been a proliferation of water-based commercial activities on the loch. This has caused some areas to be dangerously overcrowded at certain locations especially north of Loch Lomond Shores, at the entrance to the River Leven and also at Luss. Large passenger boats, large and small motor cruisers, jet skis, speedboats, fishing boats, pedaloes, paddle boarders, canoeists, and swimmers all compete for space.

Permission to Trade should be required by all those trading on the loch.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No further.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

None.

## Response 309

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution



## Response 310

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The Loch is extremely cold & everyone should be wearing a floatation device

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> If young persons are using a vehicle they are responsible- same as a motorcycle or car

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
We already have a registration scheme that isn't policed

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Unfortunately the existing byelaws aren't adhered to & when contacting the park authority nothing is done to enforce them so not sure changes will help

## Response 311

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The best idea would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

1. The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
2. 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
3. Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
4. Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
5. Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
6. Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
7. Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
8. LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 312

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I agree with this proposal in principle, but in my view it doesn't not go far enough, especially with regards to jet skis.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is the minimum action that should be taken.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I agree 100% with this. It is vital to protect young people on the Loch.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Such a transfer of liability would ensure more responsible behaviour on the part of owners.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree. This would make the Scheme far more effective.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agreed. Business practices causing nuisance on the Loch must be discouraged as effectively as possible.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere (I live close to Loch Ard, where we have already seen Jet ski activity this summer for the first time).

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to ALL LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use.
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours.
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance.
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable.
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond.
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant.
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs.
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

NO.

## Response 313

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>Over the past two summers – 2022 and 2021 – a group of friends and I stayed for a week on Inchmurrin. As keen open-water swimmers, our decision to visit Loch Lomond was entirely due to the opportunity it afforded us to swim long distances in wonderful surroundings every day.</p> <p>We are all experienced open-water swimmers, having travelled widely in the UK and abroad (Germany, Slovenia, Greece, Turkey, Croatia, Finland, Mexico, Malta, Spain, the USA, Canada and other countries) to swim.</p> <p>As well as the lake district, Scotland – and Loch Lomond – offers open-water swimmers extraordinary swimming opportunities in the UK. And as the success of SwimTrek and SwimQuest demonstrates, there is a healthy market in swimming holidays.</p> <p>It is doubtful, however, that we will return to Loch Lomond to swim, or recommend it to the swimming community as a holiday destination. This is entirely down to the frankly terrifying behaviour of jetskis and other fast boats on the Loch.</p> <p>During both our trips, more than one of our party had what's best described as a near miss with a jetski. Both were reported to our hosts on Inchmurrin. I had two such experiences: one while swimming along the shore of the island from the hotel jetty to the northern tip of the island; the other crossing between Inchmurrin and Craobh-Innis. As a swimmer in the water – even with a bright swim cap and high-vis tow-float – all one can do in the face of a jetski approaching at speed is to wave one's arms, shout and hope the rider spots you. There is no way of swimming to safety.</p> <p>Given the increasing popularity of both open-water swimming and jetskis, the longer you allow the status quo to remain, the more likely it is that a swimmer will be killed or maimed by a jetski on Loch Lomond. Any risk assessment will tell you as much. Any such death or injury is entirely preventable.</p> <p>Having read your consultation document in full, I believe that you need to act now to mitigate this risk – a risk you clearly know is present, given the content of the consultation document.</p>

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

You must require jetskis to be clearly marked or numbered, you must require them to be insured, you must cap numbers on the Loch but most importantly you must work to segregate swimmers and jetskis. On roads, we have legislation and enforcement designed to segregate motor traffic, cyclists and walkers. I'm not sure why the same is not true on water.

Almost everywhere else we swim – in the sea or lakes, fast motor craft are separated from swimmers. This does not mean relegating swimmers to small, pool-sized areas of water. We have as much right to swim across the Loch as a jetski does to make the same journey. They are noisy craft that contribute to climate change and increasingly threaten the natural beauty enjoyed by walkers, canoeists, kayakers, paddle boarders, fishermen and swimmers. They impact biodiversity. And it's your duty to do something to control them and protect the rest of us.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Re: Loch Lomond Byelaws Review 2022

Dear sir,

Over the past two summers – 2022 and 2021 – a group of friends and I stayed for a week on Inchmurrin. As keen open-water swimmers, our decision to visit Loch Lomond was entirely due to the

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

opportunity it afforded us to swim long distances in wonderful surroundings every day.

We are all experienced open-water swimmers, having travelled widely in the UK and abroad (Germany, Slovenia, Greece, Turkey, Croatia, Finland, Mexico, Malta, Spain, the USA, Canada and other countries) to swim.

As well as the lake district, Scotland – and Loch Lomond – offers open-water swimmers extraordinary swimming opportunities in the UK. And as the success of SwimTrek and SwimQuest demonstrates, there is a healthy market in swimming holidays.

It is doubtful, however, that we will return to Loch Lomond to swim, or recommend it to the swimming community as a holiday destination. This is entirely down to the frankly terrifying behaviour of jetskis and other fast boats on the Loch.

During both our trips, more than one of our party had what's best described as a near miss with a jetski. Both were reported to our hosts on Inchmurrin. I had two such experiences: one while swimming along the shore of the island from the hotel jetty to the northern tip of the island; the other crossing between Inchmurrin and Craobh-Innis. As a swimmer in the water – even with a bright swim cap and high-vis tow-float – all one can do in the face of a jetski approaching at speed is to wave one's arms, shout and hope the rider spots you. There is no way of swimming to safety.

Given the increasing popularity of both open-water swimming and jetskis, the longer you allow the status quo to remain, the more likely it is that a swimmer will be killed or maimed by a jetski on Loch Lomond. Any risk assessment will tell you as much. Any such death or injury is entirely preventable.

Having read your consultation document in full, I believe that you need to act now to mitigate this risk – a risk you clearly know is present, given the content of the consultation document.

You must require jetskis to be clearly marked or numbered, you must require them to be insured, you must cap numbers on the Loch but most importantly you must work to segregate swimmers and jetskis. On roads, we have legislation and enforcement designed to segregate motor traffic, cyclists and walkers. I'm not sure why the same is not true on water.

Almost everywhere else we swim – in the sea or lakes, fast motor craft are separated from swimmers. This does not mean relegating swimmers to small, pool-sized areas of water. We have as much right to swim across the Loch as a jetski does to make the same journey. They are noisy craft that contribute to climate change and increasingly threaten the natural beauty enjoyed by walkers, canoeists, kayakers, paddle boarders, fishermen and swimmers. They impact biodiversity. And it's your duty to do something to control them and protect the rest of us.

Yours,

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.



## Response 314

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I strongly disagree with this proposal based on the fact it is grossly disproportionate. I understand the reason behind the proposal but I do not believe this proposal to be acceptable based on the consequences to responsible loch users. This proposal will affect all loch users with powered vessel greater than 15HP when statistics point at irresponsible PWC users causing the problem.  Accessing these restricted areas on powered vessels can be required for anchoring to join friends ashore, make purchases from local businesses or attend church. I also understand that this proposal would be in contravention to the right to navigation.  Introducing a dead slow speed limit may be more appropriate.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Surely one continuous straight line would be more sensible.  Adding a few more buoys would make it more visible and would be easier to enforce than the proposal.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The consultation document refers to an 'open deck' but the proposed byelaw refers to 'Enclosed spaces with 4 sides & a roof'. These two descriptions are not the same and I have serious concerns about the validity of consultation comments returned for this question as an 'open deck' is significantly less restrictive description. I am concerned that the public could be misled in this consultation.

There are many reasons I do not agree with this proposal regardless of the inconsistent wording. For example, In the situation of being in a large cruiser, a young person could then take their PFD off when swimming or entering a dinghy. Based on the proposal, there are likely to be significant impacts for ferries too that would appear very impractical - Particularly the smaller ferries operating on the Loch.

In my opinion there should be general encouragement of all persons wearing PFDs when on the water but the level of risk will be completely different in every different situation. For this reason it should be the decision of the skipper - As it is when I sail in the much more exposed waters of the Firth of Clyde for example.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

In general I agree with this proposal. However, I have a concern if a young person is involved in the theft of a motor vessel that the owner of the vessel could technically be held to account despite having no ability to control that situation. I would suggest that a clause is added to absolve the owner or registered owner in the event the event of theft.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal looks like it will be a significant administrative burden and it would be very difficult to make this effective. Powered vessels need to be registered anyway. I think the costs for this proposal could be better spent elsewhere actually tackling problem Loch users.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal appears sensible.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Wash / Wake - Wash/wake from large power vessels travelling at speed is a significant issue that I would have expected to have been addressed. Technically it should be enforceable already in byelaw 3.5(1) but may be clearer if it specifically referred to wash/wake.

Simply limiting the speed of large vessels (say over 10m) may make this more enforceable.

Noise Pollution - Again this should already be covered in byelaw 3.5(1) but it could be made clearer by specifically stating creating nuisance noise.

Additional consideration should also be made to PWCs. These vessels often introduce a significant noise problem and limit the enjoyment of other Loch users, particularly older PWCs with 2 stroke engines. The behaviour specifically relating to PWC users circling round and round in limited areas should also have specific examples within the byelaws.

Open Water Swimming Visibility - There should be a requirement for open water swimming to require measures to ensure sufficient visibility. A requirement for open water swimmers to wear a brightly coloured float or travel with an accompanying safety boat (displaying the Alpha flag for someone in the water) would significantly improve their safety.

Imperial Units/Metric Units - The most common units of measurement for distance and speed are still in imperial in the UK. Knots/nm or miles/mph would be better suited. If using km and km/h, it is more likely people will inadvertently travel too fast by mixing up their measurement units.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The inability of the survey tool to save responses and requiring everything to be entered in one session was inconvenient. For such a consultation to be meaningful, respondents require time to think through the implications of each proposal and draft their inputs.

The early survey question "In what capacity you are responding to this survey?" only allows one answer. Many people use the Loch in multiple capacities and should have the ability to respond with multiple inputs for this question (apart from filling in on behalf of a community organisation etc).

## Response 315

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
On behalf of a community organisation in the National Park

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): all of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Whilst an incremental improvement only, the bylaw proposals do not go far enough and are NOT fit-for-purpose to control the significant number of jet-ski users frequently exhibiting disruptive behaviour on Loch Lomond, which is both dangerous to themselves and to other visitors. These byelaw amendments proposed would not effectively address this issue. There are currently around 1,000 registered jet skis on Loch Lomond and noise pollution is also a significant issue for our rural communities.  1. Unless jetski use is seriously addressed LLTNP are NOT conserving or enhancing the natural heritage of the area.  2. Unless jetski use is more strictly regulated this is not promoting sustainable use of the natural resource of Loch Lomond.  3. Without more stringent regulation people, in general, are not able to enjoy the special qualities of Loch Lomond.  4. Sustainable social and economic development in loch-side communities is not possible where communities and locations are blighted by dangerous and anti-social behaviour by unregulated jetski users.  5. The proposed low power zone at Luss would NOT prohibit jetskis buzzing Luss Pier!

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Easier to understand and navigate by users.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A reasonable risk reduction

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Supported ONLY as part of a wider set of measures as suggested below.

Whilst seeking to achieve an incremental improvement only, the bylaw proposal does not go far enough and is NOT fit-for-purpose to control the significant number of jet-ski users frequently exhibiting disruptive behaviour on Loch Lomond, which is both dangerous to themselves and to other visitors. The byelaw amendment proposed would not effectively address this issue. There are currently around 1,000 registered jet skis on Loch Lomond and noise pollution is also a significant issue for our rural communities.

To be effective , the additional measures are needed :

Hi-powered personal watercraft have no place within our National Park and that there is a strong case for considering a full prohibition of their use on a Park-wide basis (which would be necessary to prevent displacement of the problem to other lochs within the Park area).

It is simply unsafe and unsustainable, that these high-powered devices can currently be driven on Loch Lomond by anyone of any age, without demonstration of competence, ownership or identity, without even third-party insurance and quite frequently under the influence of drugs or blatantly consuming alcohol.

We also emphasise that any legislation, old or new, without the Agencies (principally the Park and Police Scotland) being invested with both the resources and a strong 'corporate will' to invigilate and actually enforce that legislation to achieve the outcome intended, will fail to deliver - thus both these aspects require to be front-and-centre whilst any new legislation is being developed.

From what we have already seen during consultation of the Park's intended byelaw changes regarding powered watercraft use on Loch Lomond, we believe that the current proposals are quite inadequate for the task at hand, compounded with resources and the will to enforce being apparently unchanged and are thus cannot be made fit-for-purpose without substantial reconsideration.

We believe that any improvement in the jetski abuse situation, (other than a simple broad ban), must incorporate, at least, the following elements:

- Licensing of jetski owners and drivers
- Demonstration of basic powered watercraft competence
- Demonstrable familiarity with Loch Lomond's topography, zoning and the legislation governing jetski use
- Minimum third-party insurance for all jetskis and drivers , demonstrated to the Park prior to renewal of registration numbers for each year
- Resources and funding (perhaps at least in part recoverable by registration fees) to operate, invigilate and enforce
- Use of webcams and bodycams to record evidence to support invigilation and enforcement of abuses

Additionally :

Rangers MUST be allowed to collect evidence via use of bodycams!

Ands offences documented MUST then be prosecuted to achieve a deterrent.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Much of the suggested new requirements above may be dealt with using remote, web-based applications prior to users applying for any annual registration.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes - but supported ONLY as part of a wider set of measures - but this does not go far enough!.....

This is only one element of changes in regulation required!

Hi-powered personal watercraft have no place within our National Park and that there is a strong case for considering a full prohibition of their use on a Park-wide basis (which would be necessary to prevent displacement of the problem to other lochs within the Park area).

It is simply unsafe and unsustainable, that these high-powered devices can currently be driven on Loch Lomond by anyone of any age, without demonstration of competence, ownership or identity, without even third-party insurance and quite frequently under the influence of drugs or blatantly consuming alcohol.

We also emphasise that any legislation, old or new, without the Agencies (principally the Park and Police Scotland) being invested with both the resources and a strong 'corporate will' to invigilate and actually enforce that legislation to achieve the outcome intended, will fail to deliver - thus both these aspects require to be front-and-centre whilst any new legislation is being developed.

From what we have already seen during consultation of the Park's intended byelaw changes regarding powered watercraft use on Loch Lomond, we believe that the current proposals are quite inadequate for the task at hand, compounded with resources and the will to enforce being apparently unchanged and are thus cannot be made fit-for-purpose without substantial reconsideration.

We believe that any improvement in the jetski abuse situation, (other than a simple broad ban), must incorporate, at least, the following elements:

- Licensing of jetski owners and drivers
- Demonstration of basic powered watercraft competence
- Demonstrable familiarity with Loch Lomond's topography, zoning and the legislation governing jetski use
- Minimum third-party insurance for all jetskis and drivers , demonstrated to the Park prior to renewal of registration numbers for each year
- Resources and funding (perhaps at least in part recoverable by registration fees) to operate, invigilate and enforce
- Use of webcams and bodycams to record evidence to support invigilation and enforcement of abuses

Much of the new requirements above may be dealt with using remote, web-based applications prior to users applying for any annual registration.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We will support this only if IF it means that the Park will now take action to enforce obvious serial breaches - however it is quite unclear how this outcome may be achieved.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

#### 1) Jetski Abuse and its Effects and Remediation:

In our discussions Community representatives have majored strongly on the disproportionate disruption, noise and environmental pollution and (especially) very high risk to non-powered water and waterside Park users by ill-disciplined and reckless jetski users - we believe that these hi-powered craft have no place within our National Park and that there is a strong case for considering a full prohibition of their use on a Park-wide basis (which would be necessary to prevent displacement of the problem to other lochs within the Park area).

It is simply unsafe and unsustainable, that these high-powered devices can currently be driven on Loch Lomond by anyone of any age, without demonstration of competence, ownership or identity, without even third-party insurance and quite frequently under the influence of drugs or blatantly consuming alcohol.

We also emphasise that any legislation, old or new, without the Agencies (principally the Park and Police Scotland) being invested with both the resources and a strong 'corporate will' to invigilate and actually enforce that legislation to achieve the outcome intended, will fail to deliver - thus both these aspects require to be front-and-centre whilst any new legislation is being developed.

From what we have already seen during consultation of the Park's intended byelaw changes regarding powered watercraft use on Loch Lomond, we believe that the current proposals are quite inadequate for the task at hand, compounded with resources and the will to enforce being apparently unchanged and are thus cannot be made fit-for-purpose without substantial reconsideration.

We believe that any improvement in the jetski abuse situation, (other than a simple broad ban), must incorporate, at least, the following elements:

- Licensing of jetski owners and drivers
- Demonstration of basic powered watercraft competence
- Demonstrable familiarity with Loch Lomond's topography, zoning and the legislation governing jetski use
- Minimum third-party insurance for all jetskis and drivers , demonstrated to the Park prior to renewal of registration numbers for each year
- Resources and funding (perhaps at least in part recoverable by registration fees) to operate, invigilate and enforce
- Use of webcams and bodycams to record evidence to support invigilation and enforcement of abuses

Much of the new requirements above may be dealt with using remote, web-based applications prior to users applying for any annual registration.

The above notes are not comprehensive and are dealt with in more detail within Simon's recent letter.

#### 2) The Park's Role as Planning Authority, Second Home Proliferation versus the lack of Affordable Housing for Local People :

The Park's current Planning Policy actively prohibits redevelopment and renovation of old or abandoned housing stock for permanent residence purposes and mandates that this should only be permitted for short term visitor letting purposes only.

This policy is now inappropriate, outdated, and actively damaging to our Community (and no doubt to other Communities within the Park) and recently has been the clear prime cause of young families having to leave the Luss area.

The nature and sustainability of our Community is being actively damaged by this aspect of current Park planning policy.

It needs to be changed to be fit-for-purpose for the Park's obligation to 'nurture and support' our local Community - we want that our young people should be able to stay, develop and prosper within their local area without artificial hinderance.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

It appears that the Park is aware of the problems (but not the scale of them) and we believe that the proposed solutions are weak and not fit for purpose as proposed .

Much reconsideration is required or we'll be stuck with a bad and worsening situation for the next TEN YEARS.

This is simply unacceptable.

The Parks proposals need to be much more robust to be effective, softly, softly wont work.

## Response 316

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Sailing

Fishing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The issue is speed and irresponsible behaviour. The existing byelaws cover this with 11kph within 150m of the shore. If there is a problem enforcing the existing byelaws the same problem will exist enforcing the proposed byelaws.

The creation of a further 7 zones will present an additional burden on the LLTNP to enforce the byelaws which is counter productive when they are struggling to enforce the current byelaws.



Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

We are opposed to yet more flashing buoys on the Loch to delineate the zones. Flags on shore should be sufficient.

The proposal for Luss looks horrendously complicated with many boats manoeuvring into the pier. It appears to require an additional 8 buoys which will require to be illuminated. Loch Lomond Leisure operate safety boats from the Luss shore.

PWC seem to be the fundamental target as they present dangers from speed, (random) manoeuvrability, inappropriate operator behaviour and so on but rather than ban them from these zones use of a wider set of criteria e.g. HP means less problematic powered vessels are included. The main safety issues are speed, responsible behaviour and good watch-keeping not purely engine power.

All craft should be allowed to access piers and jetties to pick up and drop off as necessary.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

It is not clear whether the marker points referred to on the map are to be flashing lights.

The described lack of knowledge of the current straight line boundary is not adequately explained. How a more complicated shaped boundary that relies on real time distance measurement will be easier to see is not explained either. Possibly clearer marking buoys.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Only a small gain (94 to 100%) but worth pursuing. Difficulty in age identification may be a barrier to enforcement. Is it expected that everyone carries ID?

There will be occasions on hot sunny days when young people want to use the boats swim platform without the restriction of a life jacket. It needs to incorporate a clause to state that this is only a requirement when the vessel is in motion.

Possible unintended consequence of creating a deterrent to family use of the Loch if kids don't want to go because they can't sunbathe or swim – could be quite boring to sit trussed up on a boat all day or have to stay below decks.

Is there any evidence to suggest that young people are more susceptible to drowning than older people?

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No evidence cited to determine existing problem therefore no way of seeing improvement. Reasonable request and easy to apply without adverse effects so worth doing.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This seems a draconian measure and won't necessarily make identification of an individual any easier.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

What is the cost of such a scheme? Will the LLTNP be seeking powers to undress individuals to force them to remove hoodies/hijabs? Even with a photo registration scheme it could still be difficult to prove identity in a court, particularly as not practical to ask individuals to carry ID with them. What a hassle if you want to allow a friend to use your boat especially if the LLTNP is shut at the time.

LLTNP are struggling to keep up with the current system with 51% of contraventions relating to the existing registration scheme. This proposal would add to the bureaucratic burden.

This proposal is aimed at making identification of individuals easier and as 44% of contraventions relate to speeding it has to be concluded that the LLTNP wish to prosecute more people for speeding.

Enforcement is only easier if users have registered, if not registered, then same ID problem as exists now. If proposal D) is easy to apply, then a similar change should more easily solve the problem with ID of offenders. Transfer liability to owners for all unidentified users not just

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No evidence cited to determine existing problem therefore no way of seeing improvement. Easy to apply without adverse effects so worth doing.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Proposal A) : Alternative : Status quo. Reason : Existing byelaws if enforced are sufficient.

Proposal B) : Alternative : Clearer buoy marking & All craft to have a 'chart/schematic' onboard with the main hazards and zones marked. NP could supply these free with registration. Reason : At the moment the marker buoys are small and often too far away to identify to irregular loch users. Visitors in particular ought to have a chart the main navigation buoys described. Schematic stickers could be used if paper charts are impractical.

Proposal C) : Alternative #1: Education and encouragement on cold water immersion including appropriate clothing & use of PFDs and rescue techniques. Reason : Plenty of training material is available in the public domain and can be highlighted in brochures or websites.

Alternative #2 : Exceptions for vessels at anchor or moored. Reason : Young people should be allowed to enjoy the loch as much as adults and sunbathing on deck & swimming close to the mother craft should be allowed. There is a difference between parentally supervised swimming and falling overboard.

Proposal E): Alternative #1 : Transfer liability of 'any' user to the owner as suggested for minors in proposal #4. Reason : If liability can be transferred from minors to owners (proposal D) then expand that to cover all ages. If users break the bye-law for not registering their ID then the bye-law is redundant.

Alternative #2 : Fixed penalty on the spot fines – if not paid then owner cannot re-register craft the following season(s) until paid. Reason : Refusal of vessel registration is the ultimate sanction and therefore deterrent and registration is tied to ownership.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

In general, yet more rules and regulations should be AVOIDED.

Education is the key but we accept there are some that can't be educated!

Many of the proposals appear to be the result of the LLTNP's inability to enforce the existing byelaws so rather than create more analyse existing failure points. This may lead to simplification or even relaxation of many current regulations. The targeting of purely safety and nuisance issues may in turn lead to increased compliance, less cost and easier monitoring.

## Response 317

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

While I have no issue with this idea in principle and with most of the zones suggested I believe the zone around Luss is too big as it leaves nowhere for boats over the suggested power limit to access the beach. There are only a couple of spaces at the pier to tie up to and without anywhere on the beach to access it effectively bans boat users from gaining access to Luss. There is no difference between a low powered vessel and a higher powered vessel acting responsibly and complying with the speed limit/no wake zones.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Happy to see this as it's always been difficult to see the current markers even in good weather.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

One of the stated aims of the park authority during this review was to increase safety so why is it only compulsory for under 16's to wear flotation devices? Adults should be leading by example and if under 16's see everyone else wearing them then they'll also be more likely to wear them. There has also been numerous examples of adults being caught out and getting into difficulty without life jackets.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Almost all of the problems experienced by loch users and residents are caused by people who have no regard for the existing bylaws, which if they were adhered to, would have prevented the problem in the first place. Making new bylaws will not change anything unless they are enforced properly by the park authority and with the assistance of the police. I've been a regular loch user for over 20 years now and very rarely do I see rangers out and about actually enforcing the bylaws. On many occasions I've seen them sit on their boat watching jet skiers speeding around the bay at Inchmoan and have not even spoken to anyone about their behaviour. Many other times I've seen jet skiers speeding and playing around right in front of the ranger office at Balloch slipway and again, not a single word was said. There's absolutely no point in having the bylaws unless they are actively enforced. People who continually flout the rules should be fined and/or banned/suspended from the loch so they see that there is consequences to their behaviour.

## Response 318

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

Q5. Organisation (if appropriate):
Retired

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> My concern is that this proposal covers Loch Lomond and not the rest of the LLTNP and if applied to only Loch Lomond then there will be a spill over to Loch Ard which is classified as a "Quiet Loch" and to other parts of the NP.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Its common sense.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
Include the rest of the NP.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

"The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

1. The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
2. 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
3. Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
4. Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
5. Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
6. Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
7. Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
8. LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 319

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>LLTNPA's approach to the byelaw review is fundamentally flawed from the outset. LLTNPA's options for enforcement are limited to prosecution through the courts, with a criminal record and fine up to level 2 being the only outcome available for breach. Instead of proposing byelaws which can realistically be enforced through the courts, LLTNPA is proposing byelaws which will never result in prosecution for several reasons, not least Human Rights law and the 'public interest' requirement for prosecution. In the case of Proposal 1 LLTNPA want to give someone a criminal record for allowing a vessel fitted with an engine above 15hp to 'travel' in an imaginary unmarked area. 'Travel' is not defined in the relevant definitions section. There are so many possible definitions of 'travel', most of which would never result in successful prosecution. For this reason alone the proposed byelaw is entirely void. If you try to define the word 'travel', or change it to something else, you run into further difficulties in drafting something which would ever result in successful prosecution.</p> <p>One of the key difficulties with such a proposal is obvious and was pointed out by the RYA in their response to LLTNPA prior to the consultation...</p> <p>Whilst attempting to place extreme restrictions on powered vessels, other types of vessel are exempt from existing 11kph speed limits and can legally do up to 90kph. Many won't be able to do 90kph but will do a lot more than 11kph. Most of these vessels which don't have to abide by existing 11kph limits are far less</p>

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

manoeuvrable with much poorer visibility than the types of vessels LLTNPA are trying to place extreme restrictions on.

This blatant and nonsensical situation suggests that LLTNPA is not trying to prevent dangerous manoeuvring or antisocial behaviour but trying to restrict specific vessel types.

The extreme views amplified by public figures such as Jackie Baillie and Simon at Luss Estates at certain loch users, rather than LLTNPA's failure to enforce sensible byelaws has encouraged law abiding and safety conscious loch users to seek legal advice on their rights. LLTNPA appears likely to create byelaws which will never result in prosecution for a multitude of reasons. Whilst safe, well-behaved loch users will continue as before, the situation created by LLTNPA will lead to complete chaos and encourage dangerous/antisocial behaviour when it becomes clear LLTNPA can't enforce their own byelaws due to their own stupidity.

LLTNPA's approach to the byelaw review is fundamentally flawed from the outset.

LLTNPA's options for enforcement are limited to prosecution through the courts, with a criminal record and fine up to level 2 being the only outcome available for breach.

Instead of proposing byelaws which can realistically be enforced through the courts, LLTNPA is proposing byelaws which will never result in prosecution for several reasons, not least Human Rights law and the 'public interest' requirement for prosecution.

In the case of Proposal 1 LLTNPA want to give someone a criminal record for another person doing something entirely without their knowledge. This simply will not result in prosecution.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

LLTNPA's approach to the byelaw review is fundamentally flawed from the outset.

LLTNPA's options for enforcement are limited to prosecution through the courts, with a criminal record and fine up to level 2 being the only outcome available for breach.

Instead of proposing byelaws which can realistically be enforced through the courts, LLTNPA is proposing byelaws which will never result in prosecution for several reasons, not least Human Rights law and the 'public interest' requirement for prosecution.

In the case of Proposal 4 LLTNPA want to give someone a criminal record for another person doing something entirely without their knowledge. This simply will not result in prosecution.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

LLTNPA's approach to the byelaw review is fundamentally flawed from the outset.

LLTNPA's options for enforcement are limited to prosecution through the courts, with a criminal record and fine up to level 2 being the only outcome available for breach.



Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Instead of proposing byelaws which can realistically be enforced through the courts, LLTNPA is proposing byelaws which will never result in prosecution for several reasons, not least Human Rights law and the 'public interest' requirement for prosecution.

In the case of Proposal 5 LLTNPA want to create a mandatory database of adults and children from anywhere in the world including photographs. The insanity of this proposal beggars belief. Other than home-office immigration records I cannot think of a database in the country which is remotely similar. Such a database may not be legal, given the nature of storing photos of children who are citizens of other countries.

Such a database will be incredibly expensive to maintain securely.

The reasons for requiring a database suggest it would need to be accessible at all times in all areas of the loch LLTNPA might need to go and it should be updated in almost real-time. This is very difficult/expensive to achieve securely.

Given that a single vessel piloted by multiple users could arrive at DMMS office for users to register, there would be an obvious impact on staffing levels required. Even if registration of users had to be done in advance the impact on number of staff required to administer such a database securely would be significant.

Given that the proposed definition of 'vessel' and power-driven vessel is so vague, even cars used to launch would be required to register any drivers who are used for launching but may not actually even go on the vessel being launched.

To propose such a database might seem sensible but when you actually consider the reality of such a system the insanity of the idea becomes clear. Likely illegal in various ways, likely impossible to implement in the required way, likely useless, likely zero impact on dangerous or anti-social behaviour, likely to result in LLTNPA being bankrupted by legal actions for data breaches, etc.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

LLTNPA's approach to the byelaw review is fundamentally flawed from the outset.

LLTNPA's options for enforcement are limited to prosecution through the courts, with a criminal record and fine up to level 2 being the only outcome available for breach.

Instead of proposing byelaws which can realistically be enforced through the courts, LLTNPA is proposing byelaws which will never result in prosecution for several reasons, not least Human Rights law and the 'public interest' requirement for prosecution.

The consultation document and proposed byelaw wording is clearly drafted by persons lacking in intelligence and knowledge, there are some errors which would be hilarious if they weren't so serious.

The proposed byelaw wording may well be implemented but the only persons at risk of prosecution will be LLTNPA and its employees for breaching data protection or human rights legislation.

There will be no prosecutions for allowing a particular vessel to 'travel' in an imaginary area.

Anti-social or dangerous behaviour will not reduce, it'll likely increase when the toothlessness of the flawed byelaws becomes clear.

The existing byelaws are not particularly flawed, other than the definition of 'drugs' which makes it illegal to pilot a vessel after paracetamol, coffee, coca cola or a cigarette; and the fact the sensible 11kph limits don't apply to vessels with less visibility and poorer manoeuvrability than the vessels which are restricted.

The failures of the existing byelaws are failures of enforcement and are exclusively failures by LLTNPA themselves.

The proposed user registration byelaw will require very significant funding increases to pay for the

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

creation of a very expensive database with significant ongoing maintenance costs and will require significant extra staff, particularly during the busiest periods. These expenses would pay for a significant improvement in enforcement of existing, more sensible byelaws. Those partaking in dangerous and anti-social behaviour will continue to do what they do because 1) they don't care about the risk of a criminal record and fine to level 2 on the scale and 2) LLTNPA will have no money to pay for staff to enforce the byelaws due to the expensive new database and 3) LLTNPA employees will be too busy trying to administer the expensive extra database at DMMS office and 4) LLTNPA employees will be too busy with Police Scotland managing the chaos and anger at DMMS caused by administration of the new database.

Apart from scrapping the insane registration scheme, applying the 11kph limit to non powered vessels, scrapping or moving the low-power zones, change the definition of vessel so it doesn't include vehicles used to launch, children's toys and remote control boats.

LLTNPA's failure to start work back from the enforcement actions available and create enforceable byelaws will result in complete chaos on Loch Lomond.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

LLTNPA's approach to the byelaw review is fundamentally flawed from the outset. LLTNPA's options for enforcement are limited to prosecution through the courts, with a criminal record and fine up to level 2 being the only outcome available for breach. Instead of proposing byelaws which can realistically be enforced through the courts, LLTNPA is proposing byelaws which will never result in prosecution for several reasons, not least Human Rights law and the 'public interest' requirement for prosecution. The consultation document and proposed byelaw wording is clearly drafted by persons lacking in intelligence and knowledge, there are some errors which would be hilarious if they weren't so serious... needing to register a car used to launch a vessel, display numbers on the car, and register the driver is quite the fuck up. The proposed byelaw wording may well be implemented but the only persons at risk of prosecution will be LLTNPA and its employees for breaching data protection or human rights legislation. There will be no prosecutions for allowing a particular vessel to 'travel' in an imaginary area. Anti-social or dangerous behaviour will not reduce, it'll likely increase when the toothlessness of the flawed byelaws becomes clear.

LLTNPA's failure to start work back from the enforcement actions available and create enforceable byelaws will result in complete chaos on Loch Lomond. There are numerous authorities around the UK which have the same challenges as LLTNPA but have had the same, sensible definitions since 1970.

## Response 320

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> As a visitor and open water swimmer of >20 years experience

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Low powered activity zones would be beneficial however I urge you to make them bigger so that serious open water swimmers can enjoy a safe swim of at least 2 km. One of the attractions of Loch Lomond to swimmers is to swim around the islands or cross between islands, so I would recommend all shorelines are low powered activity zones up to at least 100m from the shore.  What is even more important would be to have jet ski and power boat only zones, marked by buoys well away from the shores.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I cannot comment on this as have no experience of using power boats on the loch.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I agree with this proposal.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The whole usage of jet skis needs to be thoroughly regulated in an appropriate manner with all owners behaving responsibly.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A fee and permit system for all boat usage seems appropriate. A test similar to a driving test would ensure a certain skill and knowledge of navigation.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Make the low power activity zones bigger to allow swims of at least 2 km. Open water swimmers train for long distance swimming and would welcome a safe area they can use with confidence.

Define precise areas where jet skis are permitted to land,

Define precise areas that jet skis can use

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I wish to add my voice to the growing concern about the behaviour of jets skis on Loch Lomond and the inappropriate and selfish behaviour I have witnessed first-hand.

I am speaking as an open water swimmer of some 20 years' experience and have swum in Loch Lomond in 2020, 2021, and 2022. The water is ideal for swimmers being clean and non-tidal. However I have been alarmed by dangerous and reckless behaviour demonstrated by some jet ski users which has severely spoiled our visit to the Loch and questioned our desire to return.

I have always worn a coloured swimming cap and used a brightly coloured tow-float, and on the whole have kept fairly near the perimeter of the shore. However, our visibility is inevitably quite small especially in the glare of low sunlight on water.

Drivers of jet skis appear to have no regard for swimmers and come very close at alarming speeds. Because of this, myself and my friends have attempted to swim together and stay near the shore. We have also used a kayak as support vessel.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I strongly urge you to designate areas for the use of jets skis and indeed other high-speed boats such as water skiing as is practiced in other lakes I have visited e.g. Germany for the safety and indeed danger to life of all parties especially swimmers and users of non-power-driven devices. Jet ski driving, as motor bike driving needs to be regulated and licensed in an appropriate manner for the well-being of all. We do not allow motor bikes to share the pavement with pedestrians.

In addition, jet skis have an entirely negative environmental impact from both pollution by carbon fuels and noise in a National Park, an area much admired and cherished for its beauty, wildlife and tranquillity

## Response 321

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

As a resident of the National Park

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Jet skis should be banned from the entire National Park. A "Loch Lomond" ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use. Loch Ard and Loch Chon should be quiet lochs with no motors allowed.

These Park wide byelaws should include;

9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours

Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance

Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable

Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond

Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs

LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 322

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> A resident of the National Park and user of other lochs

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
<b>Other (please specify):</b> User of other lochs

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Jet skis should be banned from the entire National Park. A "Loch Lomond" ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use. Loch Ard and Loch Chon should be quiet lochs with no motors allowed.

These Park wide byelaws should include;

9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours

Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance

Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable

Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond

Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs

LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution



## Response 323

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Wake boarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As long as it is reasonable and doesn't cause issues for motorised craft

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This seems unnecessarily large area

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This is important for safety

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If they are old enough to be in charge of the vessel, they are old enough to face liability for incidents caused

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Unnecessary

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This is too vague

## Response 324

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): Open Water swimming at Loch Ard. I am concerned that what happens / is endorsed at Loch Lomond will feed down to Loch Ard and the Parks other lochs.

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> But how will this be policed / enforced ??

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> But how will this be policed / enforced ??

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> But how will this be policed / enforced ??

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Needs to be implemented for all powered vessel usage within the National Park - not just Loch Lomond.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The pertinent Loch Lomond Byelaws (i.e. 1, 3, 4 & 5) should be extended to ALL Lochs in the National Park, so that all the Lochs within the Park have protection from irresponsible motorized water craft. Why have byelaws solely on Loch Lomond ? The Park Authority is responsible for all the parks lochs - so be PROACTIVE !

## Response 325

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Think this would make the loch more peaceful for users and wildlife.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree, seems appropriate

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would improve safety and hopefully reduce serious incidents involving children.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would hopefully encourage owner of vehicle to ensure young person using it responsibly for their own and everyone's safety.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As use of vehicles becomes more popular, seems important to have a registration process.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Seems reasonable to reduce duplication of work, provided business on the loch is still regulated by other means.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

N/a

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

N/a

## Response 326

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

BUT really no point without appropriate policing and enforcement.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

But really no point without policing and enforcement

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
BUT really no point without policing and enforcement.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
BOTH, but really no point without policing and enforcement.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
BUT no point without policing and enforcement.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
BUT no point without policing and enforcement.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Until the Park properly funds policing and enforcement, which they do not at present, close the loch to all motorised craft before there is a major accident.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

You do not enforce the present ones so what guarantees are there that you will do better with these proposed changes?

## Response 327

### Your details

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Too often enjoyment and safety of others put at risk by craft such as jet skis too close to shore.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Goes without saying. Life jackets are a must for anyone on the loch.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I'd like to see jet skis banned - similar to Windermere.

## Response 328

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a visitor to the National Park

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I think it should be compulsory for all loch users to wear personal flotation devices, regardless of age, when on the water.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A young person should be held accountable as well as the owner of the vessel. Young people should be aware of their actions.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I thought this was already in force, however, it should be enforced on all vessel types including canoes and paddleboards.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I do not think the byelaws go far enough. Having witnessed the appalling behaviour of jetskis on the loch, particularly around the bathers at Luss Beach, I think there should be a ban on all jet skis on the loch.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

How do you intend to 'police' the new byelaws when you obviously do not have enough personnel at the moment?

## Response 329

### Your details

Q1. Name: (This information will only be used should we need to contact you about your submission)

Lucy Wood

Q2. Email address: (This information will only be used should we need to contact you about your submission)

lucywood227@gmail.com

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would be a good step to reduce the potential for incidents involving powered and non-powered water crafts.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 330

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Having areas where power boats including jet skis cannot be used is a great idea. I have seen too many times inexperienced and/or inconsiderate jet skiers coming and going from the Duck Bay beach in between swimming groups and paddle boarders and inevitably there will be a serious accident one day.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Anything that makes the boundary more explicit and easy to negotiate is positive.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Hopefully this may change the way rentals work and who they are allowing to rent to which will reduce risk of accident.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

# Response 331

## Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Too difficult to legally enforce .

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 332

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Identifying zones will allow users swimming or using non mpv capability equipment greater safety reassurance

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Again this would benefit those using non mpv equipment however I also believe a max speed limit of 5mph/8kph for jet ski"s would be beneficial to all Loch users

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Absolutely - not wearing a PFD is an unnecessary risk to individuals and a strain on emergency and voluntary services should the worst case scenario ensue.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree that owners need to be aware of who and how their equipment is being used. Transferring responsibility will reduce unsafe/wreckless behaviour by large groups utilising shared equipment if the actual owners/RKs know there could be penalties for others behaviour.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As above if registration required then individuals have a responsibility to act appropriately and report poor behaviour.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I'm not sure of how this works but businesses can cause nuisance whether for use on or off the loch.

Maybe giving the NP rangers the ability to report much like LA officers and police for traders without permission or the appropriate licences would reduce inappropriate business activity???

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I believe introducing a non alcohol/drug use policy to the use of jet skis would dramatically reduce the anti - social and inconsiderate use of such equipment and the wreckless behaviour towards other water users disguised as 'fun' in their view but potentially lethal to the recipient dependent of their level of water ability.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

.



## Response 333

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Jet skiing
Water skiing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 334

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Enforcement is key. More funds and investment in rangers is needed. We've witnessed ridiculous behaviour, both on and off the water, for example on Inchmoan. With the Loch being so large, there is ample room for people to hide. It would be good to see busier spots manned by rangers during peak times.

## Response 335

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing

Water skiing

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Complete waste of time

The current bylaws aren't enforced so what's the point in bringing in more

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The biggest business causing a nuisance on the loch is the Innpa.

The east side of the loch roads are completely blocked constantly by your encouragement of paddleboarders, the car parks are full and the Rangers stand and watch people abandoning vehicles everywhere. If this was any other company you would be all over them, a matter of time before someone dies because emergency services can't get through.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Enforce the current bylaws you have  
Simple

## Response 336

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Benefit safety on the Loch for people not there in fast boats. Families Gould feel safer as will plenty of adults

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Seems fair but hope it is enforced. We swim around the islands form Aldlochlay and get quite concerned re speeds at that area.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 337

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Jet skiing

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not required if rules are obeyed and properly policed. Rangers waste time in easy targets and ignore high powered offenders. Have seen this first hand numerous times this year alone

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As above

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 338

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Water skiing

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Great idea

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



## Response 339

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Safety is paramount

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 340

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Too many unregulated users of powered craft on the Loch - jet skis and powerboats show little consideration for non motorised craft - exceeding speed limits

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No one should take to the water without a buoyancy aid - crazy to do so without one - fatalities which may have been avoided sadly if a life jacket had been worn.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 341

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Education is a better prevention so as not to punish sensible Loch users

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Boundary's / buoys should be better marked and made more clear to see

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes sense.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Would be very hard to if not impossible to police. The rangers can't won't police anything north of the islands if they even make it up that far!

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 342

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 343

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As you have quoted there has been an increase use on the Loch and you are looking out for the safety of all.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

More people visiting this are I'm by other methods

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I would make it compulsory all must wear including Kayak & Paddleboarders.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Provide designated parking which can include 1 night max for campervans overnight.

## Response 344

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

[REDACTED], as a user of Loch Ard on small boats, as a [REDACTED]

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

"The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 345

### Your details

Q3. Age:

16 – 24

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 346

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We support the aims of this proposal, however the suggested limitation of 15 horsepower (11.2kw) for vessels is too high and is potentially contrary to the aims of the proposition. As an example, new electric jet-skis of up to this power threshold are already capable of speeds in excess of 30mph. As this technology evolves, the ratio of achievable speed per unit of power will increase, and thereby similarly render such a proposed limit increasingly disproportionate to that which will be possible.

In response to the specific and increasing problems of PWC, and the consequential risk to other users of the Loch, we would strongly advocate large total exclusion areas for all powered craft, regardless of their power output. This action would greatly support the outcomes you are seeking with regard to this proposal.

Furthermore, we are highly conscious of the very significant levels of irresponsible behaviour by operators of PWC at Luss, a location which accounts for 64% of all such frontline complaints. The current proposals for a low powered activity zone at Luss (page 13 of the Consultation Document) we consider to be inadequate to counter the extent and severity of irresponsible behaviour that continues to exist at the location. There is a catalogue of evidence which demonstrates that such reckless users are a considerable hazard to other persons in the water at the location.

The proposed boundary of the low power zone remains too close to shore, perhaps only 120m, and will continue to place open water swimmers and non-powered water craft users in direct conflict with PWC. Clear, significantly spaced and absolute separation of differing water users in such a popular and high user location is essential. We would suggest that the boundary of this zone should extend to at least 250m from the shore line. The LLTNP Authority currently have the opportunity to further enhance the safety of water users and we would suggest that the success of such similar boundaries already enforced by the Lake District National Park Authority represent an example worth considering.

We are aware that Luss Estates have submitted a detailed consultation submission proving details of their thoughts and concerns regarding public safety. We very much support those views if the key objectives and responsibilities of LLTNP are to be upheld. In particular, that the first aim of 'Conserve and Enhance – we conserve and enhance the natural and cultural heritage of the area' over-rides all others.

We are aware that a total ban on PWC at Loch Lomond would result in considerable disbursement of such activities in the area. Faced with this consideration we are putting forward some alternative options for consideration:

- o The Byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and certain lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no permitted motorised use
- o A strict curfew of 8pm to 9am should be introduced for all PWC, thereby ensuring a quieter period of the day for wildlife, visitors and residents
- o Jet skis owners should be obliged to have third party insurance and evidence of this must be presented to LLTNP Authority at the time of their initial registration and thereafter annually, or upon expiry of a previous policy.
- o Launching a motorised craft from private land without the land owner's permission becomes an offence and therefore legally enforceable
- o Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond. There is currently no limitation which is seen as enforceable.
- o All PWC should be excluded from entering waters that are less than 250m from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- o Staff and volunteer requirements for enforcement should be strongly considered as part of this Byelaws review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs on a random rotational basis
- o LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid safety and ensure adherence to national regulations and local Byelaws

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We are broadly supportive of this proposal and the projected outcomes.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We are broadly supportive of this proposal and the projected outcomes.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We are very supportive of this proposal and the projected outcomes.  
However, we also acknowledge the scale and complexity of delivering and enforcing such a scheme.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We are largely supportive of this proposal and the projected outcomes.

However, it is known that many owners of PWC are not consistently the users of these craft. They are loaned to family members and friends, some of whom have never operated a powered vessel on water and are under the age of 16 years.

We suggest it would be prudent for every powered craft to display a highly identifiable registration number in order that, should the current user not be identifiable, the craft can be impounded and held until a resolution for any contraventions of the Byelaws can be reached. Advance communication of such a scheme to PWC owners will be essential via the LLTNP web pages, information boards at access locations, printed leaflets at tourism locations and other outlets.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We do not support this proposal in its current form.

Unscrupulous traders and other persons are increasingly seeking to gain benefit from their activities. These persons are becoming ever more adept with their fraud and deception techniques in order to mislead and take advantage of visitors and communities across Scotland.

This proposal, to roll back security and verification processes for Permission to Trade is a retrograde step and will potentially lead to greater incidences of criminal and fraudulent activities, which as a consequence may lead to injury or harm to others. We would urge the National Park Authority to reconsider this proposal.

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Since the lifting of access restrictions following COVID, there has unfortunately been continuing negative feedback from landowners and land managers whose land holdings are within LLTNP. Those bordering Loch Lomond in particular state that their concerns for public safety and the lack of the National Park's enforcement of responsible visitor behaviour are not being adequately considered and implemented in line with the Scottish Outdoor Access Code. Similarly, such irresponsible behaviour continues to have negative impacts on wildlife and the natural environment if visitor management controls are not adequately applied.

We acknowledge that some positive progress regarding visitor management has been made during 2022, with the support of additional funding from the Scottish Government. Considerable concern remains however that such resources will be cut back, with negative implications for LLTNP. Consequently, those who live and work within the boundary and are tasked with upholding conservation and public access obligations could potentially be unable to deliver such objectives due to the pressure of visitor numbers, and irresponsible behaviour by a significant minority.

During this review of the Byelaws, the LLTNP need to fully and appropriately consider the challenges that landowners and land managers are facing as a consequence of irresponsible visitor activity, and thereby adequately support such businesses in their efforts to deliver the National Parks objectives.

## Response 347

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I would add that everyone using the loch should wear a PFD

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 348

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Agree, this provides safer zones for those swimming, canoeing etc and prevents conflict.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Agree, simplifies speed limiting areas.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Agree, improves safety. All loch users should be wearing a suitable PUF when on the water.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree, promotes proper supervision of young people using the Loch.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree, responsible Loch users will have no issue with this. How this will work in relation to vessels is not clear in the proposal. Is this just a list of registered users or are users linked to vessels?

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Agree, only affects irresponsible Loch users.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I believe that the proposals in relation to powered vessels requiring insurance and that powered vessel users should require a minimum level of competence should be reconsidered.

Use of powered vessels requires skill and can be dangerous. Undertaking a minimum level of training such as RYA power boat level 2 would improve safety and prevent accidents.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The general nuisance users of the Loch are those using jet skis operating at speed and giving little consideration to other Loch users. This should be better policed and controlled using the byelaws with reconsideration for a ban on their use.

## Response 349

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Proposals can only help reduce disturbance and assist safety from high speed vessel users.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Safety required at all times when afloat.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Those individuals breaking the byelaws should be held responsible for there actions.

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> To keep track on all boat /craft owners using the loch.



## Response 350

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): Hiking in the surrounding area

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> All jet skis should be totally banned. Noise pollution in Balloch and Balloch park is dreadful.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Should be extended across the whole Loch to reduce noise pollution.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Why not make this applicable to all age groups. Professional sailors and pier/ dock workers wear them at all times. Why not amateur sailors. If you fall overboard and sustain a head injury while doing so, your life jacket could save you; and simplify rescue.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Also make the registered keeper of the vessel liable for offences unless they identify the user at time of offence.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

We need to follow example of Lake District and ban all jet skis. They can be diverted to the river Clyde, which is large enough for them to operate offshore with minimum noise pollution. The noise from jet skis in Balloch and surrounding areas is not conducive to the environment. The use of fuel for jet skis is not conducive to net zero aims.

## Response 351

### Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): Although I don't personally take part in any of the above activities, visitors on and around the NNR will engage with many of the above. In addition, some users may combine the above activities with wildlife tourism

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Whilst the amendment of the speed restriction zone may bring users closer to some of the Loch Lomond islands, and therefore could have the potential to cause disturbance to wildlife, any protected species will continue to be covered by laws in the Wildlife and Countryside Act and measures to protect them can and will still be implemented.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

None

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

If a response has not already been received by NatureScot then we suggest this is sought to ensure there are no concerns regarding SPA/SAC features in the Loch

## Response 352

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**  
But will not be very useful

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

"The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 353

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

ban barbeques, bonfires and cooking in public places it is a nuisance and causes vermin.

## Response 354

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The use of high powered craft create water disturbance and excessive noise which reduces any enjoyment of the natural environment to other users.

I am not against powered craft per se but only those which create excessive noise and nuisance to other National Park users.

This issue became more prevalent following the ban on Windermere which just moved the nuisance to other locations but significantly to Loch Lomond.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Increasing the areas of the boundaries may spread the current concentrations of powered craft to areas less proximate to other users.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Sense of this requirement is self explanatory.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

If owners are currently negated of any responsibility for activities of users this proposal seems sensible.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This will be a useful aid in managing antisocial behaviour.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This will be a useful aid in managing antisocial behaviour.



## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The requirement for provision of navigation lighting [byelaw 3.2(1)] will be problematic for kayaks / other self propelled craft and should be modified to provision of a single white light.

## Response 355

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an owner of land on or around the Loch

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Canoeing and Kayaking

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As with many of the proposed changes, this can only be enforced through a more proactive and visible presence of the Rangers.

Clear and consistent signage at Duncan Mills Slipway, Balloch Park, Luss Pier, etc, explaining the various byelaw areas would be hugely beneficial.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Very reasonable, but there is scope for repeat offenders avoiding any real punishment.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A logical step, but this will need to be strongly enforced; the unmanned slipways are unlikely to have any means of enforcement. As a wider point, the general registration process could involve some education of the various dangers associated with the water, and clear explanation of the byelaws, in my experience, it is mostly the day/irregular visitors who cause the most trouble, and who get stuck on rocks, or lose control of their crafts.

If funds were to allow, a mobile app with which boats/craft are on the loch, what the conditions are etc, would be a huge step forward for everyone who uses the Loch. This could be extended to include a regular weekly update from Rangers with regards to any other useful information for Loch users.

Having been to the rescue of jet skiers on a handful of occasions, mostly in bad weather, and very poorly prepared, I am keen for this to come to fruition.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The inverse of this may be that places that hire out paddle boards/kayaks/pedalos etc could be required to educate and inform the people who rent them of the specific rules of the Loch, and the Low Powered Zones.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

A simple traffic light system, maybe on the National Park website and social media pages, informing people of the conditions on the Loch, would go a long way to helping those who get into trouble.

Safe swimming zones would be a consideration to take forward, the Lido in Drumkinnon Bay would be the most obvious thing to start with.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

My overriding concern is that of enforcement, it isn't that the current team are doing a poor job, they are stretched, and mostly seasonal. So, when there is a bright day in October or March, and numerous boats and jet skis appear, there is little to deter them from doing whatever they like.

Stricter monitoring of other slipways such as at Ardlui and Balloch Park would mean fewer incidents on the water.

## Response 356

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 357

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Stand up paddleboarding

Canoeing and Kayaking

Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Response 358

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> There is no protection proposed for Tarbet and my fear is that nuisance behaviour is simply displaced from locations where Low-Powered Activity Zones are introduced to other locations, including Tarbet. Therefore the situation of Tarbet residents will be made worse because they will suffer an increase in nuisance behaviour.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I have no opinion on this

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Seems common sense

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This measure will increase accountability and promote active supervision. However, this measure is only useful if it will be enforced and it is not clear that the NPA has the resources to enforce the measure, given staffing and equipment levels vs the extent of the Park.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I support this measure if it will improve accountability for dangerous or anti-social behaviour. However (as above) this measure is only useful if it will be enforced and it is not clear that the NPA has the resources to enforce the measure, given staffing and equipment levels vs the extent of the Park.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I support this measure as it is intended to free up resources to deal with nuisance behaviour.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

I note that the NPA explored the option of a ban on jet skis but has decided not to include this as a key change in the current consultation. This is disappointing given that 58% of frontline complaints recorded between April 2016 – May 2022 were linked to irresponsible PWC (jet ski) behaviour. PWC riders are a small but vocal minority of Park users and it seems that their impact on other Park users and local Park residents is an overwhelmingly negative one.

I believe an outright ban on jet skis is the only way to meaningfully achieve the five main outcomes that the Review aims to:

1. Safer enjoyment of the water for all Loch users and especially young people

I have witnessed jet skis operating at full throttle within meters of the shore in Tarbet bay where people swim and use paddleboards. On those occasions there was no enforcement and no intervention by any authority.

None of the current proposals would address or improve the above situation. It is just a matter of time before someone is seriously hurt or killed by a jet ski rider on the loch. Since the beginning of 2020, there have been at least 4 fatalities in the UK related to dangerous driving on jet skis according to the DfT.

2. Protecting the environment

A) CO2 pollution

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Jet skis consume between 2 and 25 gallons of petrol per hour at wide open throttle (source jetdrift.com). So for a typical hour long “blast” up and down the loch in a jet ski with a fuel capacity of 18 gallons at 60% capacity that’s over 10,000g of CO2 emitted per individual pleasure ride. These figures do not reconcile very well with the NPA’s strong stance on net-zero and its claims to “put emissions reduction at the heart of its decision-making”.

#### B) Noise pollution

Quoting Nonoise.org on noise pollution from jet skis:

##### “Variable Noise is More Disturbing: Robinson’s Formula

Researchers of humans’ perception of noise have long observed that varying noise is generally more disturbing than a steady noise — even when the steady noise is louder (contains more sound power) than the loudest of the varying noises. The reason, in a nutshell, is that varying noise demands the hearer’s continuous attention; it can’t be “tuned out.”

In 1970, British acoustician Douglas Robinson gave a precise analysis of this phenomenon with the empirically derived relationship  $LNP = LEQ + 2.56 \times \text{Sigma}$ . Here LNP is the Noise Pollution Level, or “effective” noise level — that is, the level at which a constant noise would be as annoying as the varying noise in question). LEQ is the mean noise power intensity converted to dBA; and Sigma is the standard deviation of the noise intensity in decibels. (All noise levels are as experienced by the beachgoer.)

Through Robinson’s Formula, we can quantify the extent to which jet skis’ intermittent whirring and whumping noise profile is more annoying than other watercraft’s more constant sounds. This is no small matter, as the examples here of a jet ski operating 160 feet from a beachgoer on a secluded beach show.

In Fig. 5,  $LEQ = 73.9$  dBA (this is the mean noise power intensity, converted into dBA, when the instantaneous noise intensity is 67.9 dBA four-fifths of the time, and 80.0 dBA for the remaining one-fifth), and the standard deviation is 4.8 dBA. “Robinson’s Formula” then yields  $LNP = 73.9 + 2.56 \times 4.8$ , or  $LNP = 86.3$  dBA. In other words, a jet ski that continually leaves and then smacks against the water from 160 feet away will raise a 45 dBA background noise level to more than 86 dBA — a stunning 41 dBA impact.

By comparison, in Fig. 3, the same jet ski would have raised the 45 dBA background noise level by just 20 dBA, to 64.9 dBA, if it had remained in the water the entire time. The difference in impacts is only partly due to the higher emission level when the jet ski is out of the water; the fluctuation in the noise level is as influential, if not more so.

To a considerable extent, then, the power of jet skis to disturb is rooted in people’s sensitivity to varying noise signals. Jet ski manufacturers claim that their vehicles are no more disturbing than ordinary motorboats, but Robinson’s Formula, a fundamental result in psychoacoustics, clearly reveals the falsity of this claim. Perhaps even more importantly, it gives researchers the ability to quantify the actual noise impacts and costs.”

#### 3. Increased participation in healthy recreation by making space for all users and types of activities

As a local resident I would like to take up paddlesports in and around the Tarbet bay however the presence of jet skis is off-putting from a safety and nuisance perspective. Having ridden one, there is something about jet skis that brings out the “inner yob” in folk and I don’t want to be around them when in the water and vulnerable to these powerful machines. I know other people who feel the same way and sadly Loch Lomond has a reputation for nuisance jet skis that must be having a deterrent effect on the adoption of sustainable water activities.

#### 4. Minimising incidents of anti-social behaviour and disturbance to communities and Loch users

Jet skis are inherently noisy (see quote in 2B above). They emit a strong, constantly varying, bass note that carries over a large geographical area and is impossible to mentally “tune-out”. This creates stress which is harmful to human health.

The wide-area noise and nuisance caused is, in my view, out of proportion to any benefit that might be



Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

derived by the local economy from jet-ski operation.

In the Tarbet area, this is especially bothersome because jet ski riders congregate in groups and "showboat".

None of the current proposals would address or improve the above situation, and in the case of Tarbet, the proposals may actually exacerbate the problem by displacing nuisance jet ski riders from Luss and elsewhere to Tarbet, negatively affecting Tarbet's residents and visitors.

5. Appropriate and effective management and enforcement through clear and understandable rules

In the case of jet ski riders, I would think that a reasonable proportion are casual users who rent or borrow the craft and therefore probably have a poor understanding of the rules, "codes of conduct" and water safety in general. There will also be a proportion of regular users/owners who simply ignore the rules.

It has been commented in the press by others (ref Helenburgh Advertiser June 13th 2022) that the NPA is unable to police PWC in Loch Lomond and I would entirely agree with this view. I have tried calling the NPA on two occasions to report nuisance behaviour around Tarbet and on both occasions the numbers listed rang out or went to voicemail. If there is no reliable way to contact the NPA to report an ongoing situation, then not only is the incident not logged (thus suppressing the number of complaints recorded for statistical purposes) but potentially hazardous situations are permitted to continue unchecked.

If the NPA is unable to enforce the rules it sets then those rules are not fit for purpose. The only way to stop anti-social and dangerous activity by PWC users on the loch is to ban the use of them completely. A ban is clear, understandable and - most importantly – it is enforceable.

## Response 359

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an owner of land on or around the Loch

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> As a club we operate safety boats to support our weekend racing activities. Safety boats are crewed by Club members on a rota basis. Will the records kept by the Club of who is crewing the safety boats on any

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

given weekend be sufficient to satisfy the requirements for this register? The majority of safety boat crews are dinghy owners who do not own a power driven vessel and would therefore not be registering under normal circumstances. If all safety boat crews will be required to register this will mean a significant administrative burden on the Club and could adversely affect Club activities by restricting the available safety crews.

I understand that the main reason for the register is for enforcement purposes and to help identify persons who may give false information. The Club holds records of all safety boat users and when the boats are in use.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

none

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

none

## Response 360

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
On behalf of a national recreation group or loch user group

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> We're very pleased to see the introduction of these low power zones.  Many of our members have real challenges with powered craft movin too quickly near them so this is a great thing for us.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The islands are a popular venue for our members so like above we would be very pleased to see the boundary increase.

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> we agree with this however we would like to see this be extended to all water users. this would make the loch even safer

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We feel this can only be a good thing but doesn't directly affect our members

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We feel this can only be a good thing but doesn't directly affect our members

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

As long as this change only affects those who are trading on the loch shores and not commercial operators taking access

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

It would be great to see PFDs as standard on the loch. PFDs holding CE or UKCA marks would be or request.

# Response 361

## Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Yes for the speed boats however the Jet skis are a separate issue and should not be allowed on Loch Lomond..they are fast and the majority of the riders of the jet ski do not will not adhere to any laws at present never mind a change to the byelaws. Only a few weeks ago a jet ski went under a boat very close to dry land, if it were within the markers or going the correct speed this accident would have been avoided. Time after time I have witnessed parents run out if the water with their children due to the reckless riders of these jet skis. No one seems to be able to police these issues Jet skis should be banned before more deaths occur on the loch.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

In would suggest anyone on the loch be it business or a person on the loch for the day, if they are a nuisance be dealt with the same

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

JET SKIS SHOULD BE BANNED FROM LOCH LOMOND

putting the byelaws in place is all good but you need to police them day and night any time day or night.

They are fast, dangerous and at 8pm when they are still buzzing around close to shore line they are very noisy.

Loch lomond is beautiful you don't need to be hauling your children out of the way of reckless jet ski riders who just Don't give a damn about the rules, or children in the water

Unless the national park can police this type of reckless endangerment jet skis should be prohibited from being on Loch Lomond.

## Response 362

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> I do not believe this necessary

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
No

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes



Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

No

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 363

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

Sailing

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Well I agree in principle I'm very worried swimmers will be restricted to these potentially small zones.

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

In the creation of these zones I hope the committee remembers that long distance swimming is a big reason people come to visit the Loch.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Vastly support safer and accountable use of power vessels.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 364

### Your details

Q3. Age:
45 – 54

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an owner of land on or around the Loch

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The principle of promoting zones where non-motorised recreation on the loch can be more safely undertaken is agreed with. It is not clear why an engine size restriction is proposed instead of a further reduction in the speed limit in these zones ? If intent on applying a powered craft limit, have you considered leaving an access corridor for the slipway at Millarochy bay, as has been done at Luss pier? LLTNP should be aware that the terms of its lease from Montrose Estates for managing the foreshore at Millarochy Bay require boat launching to be allowed form the slipway, even though we are aware that this is at present suspended. The proposal to include Manse Bay together with Millarochy Bay makes sense as leaving out Manse Bay could lead to displaced activity from Balmaha and Millarochy Bays and both bays are adjacent to the Millenium Trail which is a very accessible and popular lochside walk prized for its peace.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> No real comment - seems sensible

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No evidence is presented as to why this age group deserve special treatment, or how the age of an individual will be determined leading to difficulty in enforcement  
Public awareness and education on this matter may be a more effective route to achieving the desired outcome of a safer loch

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Seems sensible to encourage owners to ensure responsible use of their powercraft at all times

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

What we wish to see and we think the public wish to see is a far greater enforcement of existing byelaws on the Loch, from dawn to dusk every day of the year across all of the Loch. Without high visibility and practical enforcement of the current byelaws, introducing another one, especially one with a large cost and GDPR implications will be a waste of time. The funding that would be needed to introduce all this IT equipment and systems now and ongoing would be far better spent on an actual enforcement presence

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The question of what constitutes nuisance may be subjective and how can this be proved? One person's recreation (e.g. jet ski hire) may be another person's nuisance (e.g. fishing boat hire). It is considered necessary that there is some authority, here LLTNPA, which controls all commercial activity on the loch. Further, lock-down gave us all an indication of what can happen when the loch is flooded with users. It may be that individual businesses on their own can operate without difficulty but operating all together could cause problems - someone needs to have oversight

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The byelaws are silent on the question of noise. There should be noise limits on all powered craft. Visitors across the park, from lochside visitors to high hill walkers, are subjected to noise pollution throughout the season. Other parts of Scotland have introduced noise reduction measures (e.g. <https://www.rivertaysports.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Jet-ski-code-of-conduct.pdf>) and the Loch Lomond byelaw review is a tailor made opportunity for the LLTNPA to do something about this

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

ongoing pollution in our National Park. Absence of any measures to deal with this scourge in the current proposals is in our view a glaring omission.

A ban on jet skis should be considered

The byelaws fail to introduce the requirement for power craft to seek landowners permission to access the loch. This simple measure would considerably aid enforcement of powercraft accessing the loch legally by making this a criminal rather than a civil offence. This would render this offence enforceable by landowners and give it more priority for enforcement by relevant agencies

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Insufficient enforcement of byelaws is the single over-arching problem. LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 365

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Stand up paddleboarding

Wake boarding

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Make all rules explicit and avoid words such as often or should. Suggest using mandatory or must. Low powered zones to be clearly marked, understood and monitored with rangers and police.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes zone clearer to understand.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Should be enforced at dmms. Not excuse not to wear pfd.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Are the offences enforceable by law?

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Make adherence to byelaws mandatory.

Need to consider how data held and processing requirements under gdpr.

Practical implications to be considered: what if I want to let friend drive boat for 5 minutes. Surely they don't need to be registered.

Needs to be enforceable.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Increased launch sites to reduce pressures on current sites.

Enforcement.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Better to spend resources enforcing byelaws rather than creating new unenforceable ones.

Suggest consistency in byelaws, e.g. names of vessels, and make sure they all tie together.

Is Rya able to review once byelaws complete?

## Response 366

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Sailing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

There are already too many restrictions on boats using Loch Lomond.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Pointless as current restrictions are barely enforced and not at all after working hours which is well known.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not a matter for LLTPA.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Covered by existing national legislation.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Incredibly abuse of power unprecedented anywhere in a democratic country.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Byelaw should not exist as not the business of LLTPA.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Scrap them all and LLTPA as not fit for purpose and a waste of large sums of public money. As a user of the Loch since long before the establishment of LLTPA it has made no positive difference to the experience and a number of negative ones. The presence of "rangers" in paramilitary type uniforms on overpowered RIBs staring at loch users through powerful binoculars is oppressive and inappropriate.

A byelaw forbidding the proliferation of "No..." signs which are visibly ignored and not enforced would make a positive difference.



## Response 367

### Your details

Q3. Age:
55 – 64

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): Metal detecting

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

  

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

There should be a complete ban of jet skis as they disturb the wildlife in, on the loch, the surrounding countryside, the noise pollution is horrendous. I have witnessed many times when on the surrounding country side while metal detecting, the noise of these machines can be heard for miles away.

## Response 368

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

I would support a ban on pwc's on the loch on the grounds of safety, to protect the natural habitat and to reduce antisocial behaviour.

## Response 369

### Your details

Q3. Age:
25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
<b>Other (please specify):</b> I am responding in multiple capacities - the form will only allow me to select one. Specifically 1, 4, 6 and 8.

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Open water swimming
Stand up paddleboarding
Wake boarding
Canoeing and Kayaking
Sailing
Fishing
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
No
<b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> These areas are already covered by an 11kph speed restricted zone. The problem is enforcing these zones (and possibly educating people as to where they are). These problems will still exist if the zones are introduced and will put a further burden on the already overstretched patrol boat.  The zones will presumably have to be marked by lit marker buoys which will add to light pollution on the loch.  The creation of the zone at Luss looks complicated and will force powered vessels into a narrow channel as they come in and out of Luss Pier causing congestion.  The proposal allows fishing boats with an engine of less than 15HP to enter the proposed zones however many fishing boats have larger engines and would therefore be excluded from the zones. The issue is speed and irresponsible behaviour by the vessels owner, not the horse power of the craft.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I have no issue with this proposal. The speed restricted area to the south of Inchtavannoch and Inchmoan was unnecessarily large and reducing it to within 150m of the islands will make it easier to identify.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I would prefer the National Park to focus more on education about the benefits of wearing buoyancy aids rather than enforcement.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This proposal sounds difficult to enforce and expensive. Identification on the water may still be problematic.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Not sure to the extent of the problem but would seem to make sense to focus on problem businesses.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Proposal A : Leave as is. I believe the existing byelaws are adequate if properly enforced.  
Proposal C : Leave as is. Recently the National Park has been pushing water safety which is great and this education should continue. This will help loch users to make informed decisions.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

In general I am opposed to the introduction of more rules and regulations. I believe the current byelaws would be sufficient if properly enforced. Education and engagement by the National Park is by far the best option as enforcement, while occasionally necessary for those who can't be educated, may alienate loch users and only decrease respect for the National Park and its Rangers.

## Response 370

### Your details

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an owner of land on or around the Loch

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming  
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

AND NOT JUST ON LOCH LOMOND BUT A REVIEW OF ALL LOCHS

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

THE PARK AUTHORITY HAS STATED AIMS OF PROTECTING AND CONSERVING THE WHOLE PARK WHICH WILL CONTINUE TO BE TRAMPLED ON BY MERE FIDDLING WITH JET SKI USE ON LOCH LOMOND WHILE FAILING TO ADEQUATELY ENFORCE AND POLICE EXISTING OR PROPOSED RULES. THIS PROCESS ALSO COMPLETELY IGNORES THE EFFECT THAT THIS HAS ON THE OTHER LOCHS IN THE PARK WHICH WILL INEVITABLY RECEIVE DISPLACED ACTIVITY AS A RESULT OF ANY APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM OF JET SKIS WHICH FOCUSSES ON LOCH LOMOND ALONE.

THE USE OF JET SKIS DOES NOT SAFELY INTERACT WITH ANY OTHER USE OF ANY LOCH AND IF THE PARK AUTHORITY DOES NOT GET A GRIP OF THE ISSUE AS A WHOLE IT IS ONLY A MATTER OF TIME BEFORE THERE IS A FATAL ACCIDENT. THAT WOULD BE A TRAGEDY AND IT WOULD BE TO THE PARK AUTHORITY'S SHAME IF ITS FAILURE TO REGULATE AND POLICE THE SITUATION ON EVERY LOCH IN THE PARK WAS A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR IN ANY WAY.

I THEREFORE URGE THE PARK AUTHORITY TO OPEN THE OTHER EYE AND ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF JET SKIS ON A PARK WIDE BASIS.  
FAILING A COMPLETE BAN I URGE THE PARK AUTHORITY TO DESIGNATE THE PARK AS 'SKI FREE' WITH THE EXCEPTION OF VERY RESTRICTED PARTS OF LOCHS LOMOND AND EARN ALONE AND TO MAKE THE LAUNCHING OF A JET SKI WITHOUT PERMISSION AN OFFENCE. WITHIN THE DESIGNATED SKIING AREAS ON LOCHS LOMOND AND EARN I URGE THE PARK AUTHORITY TO IMPOSE SKIING HOURS AND SPEED LIMITS, TO MAKE INSURANCE OBLIGATORY AND HEAVILY REGULATE JET SKI NUMBERS.

LASTLY THE PARK AUTHORITY MUST PROVIDE ADEQUATE RESOURCES AND THE NECESSARY POWERS TO EFFECTIVELY ENFORCE BOTH THE 'SKI FREE' LOCHS AND THE SKIING AREAS OF LOMOND AND EARN.

# Response 371

## Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Jet skiing  
Sailing  
Windsurfing  
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

An exemption should be made for vessels entering the zones in emergency or in case of gear failure or adverse weather conditions (force majeure). This exemption would also apply to search and rescue craft including those supporting events.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

One of the anticipated outcomes would be "Better management and enforcement". However the proposed change would be difficult to identify by the skipper of a vessel under way on the water and difficult to enforce. We prefer the existing line but with possibly larger marker buoys and clear marks on the point north of Rossdhu House and on Ellenderroch. That way a boater can identify the line as a transit on two or more of the marks. Note that in low water conditions, there is a risk of a dinghy grounding in the passage between Inchgalbraith and Inchmoan.

We would welcome an increase in the number of marker buoys at the north end of Millarochy Bay where they are presently widely spaced and easily missed.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

While the aim of achieving 100% PFD wearing by young people afloat is sound and one which we would support, this is more likely to be achieved by the [REDACTED] favoured approach of "Education, not Regulation". The present figure of 94% is encouraging. The measure as proposed has moved on from the original proposal to apply only to young people on powered craft but the revised proposal would still appear to fail on LL&TNP's own criteria of Practicability, Enforcement and Evidence based. [REDACTED], in his letter under reference stated the case cogently:

"Current figures from the WAter Incident Database (WAID) Scotland 2021 Summary identify men aged 60



Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

– 69 as the highest risk group for drowning in Scotland. The same information shows that being aged over 30 carries significantly greater risk of drowning and this is consistently the case over many years. Our expertise in the design and delivery of the [REDACTED] syllabus for sailing and windsurfing activity, power boat training and safety boat training leads us to the opinion that young people aboard a powered craft are less likely to accidentally enter the water than a young person of the same age taking part in sailing activity, and considerably less likely to enter the water than a young person of the same age taking part in stand up paddleboarding (SUPing).

Notwithstanding the above observation, it is our experience that age is no indicator of the likelihood of accidentally entering the water in the situations described above and this proposal is not proportionate for the targeted age range.

The same information from the WAter Incident Database (WAID) Scotland 2021 Summary demonstrates that there are no recorded drowning fatalities, for any age, during motor boating activity on any inland water in Scotland in 2021 or 2020. A review of the Marine Accident Investigation Branch reports from 2010 to date, also demonstrate there are no motorboating related drownings in Scotland in that time frame. Consequently there is no evidence to support the implied need in this proposal and no evidence to support the proposition that this would make young people any safer.

The proposal to extend the compulsory wearing of pfds to all under 16s, on any vessels, which would presumably include inflatable canoes and small toy dinghies as well as paddleboards, is likely to prove very difficult to enforce widely and may be unworkable and unenforceable. Since we know of no evidence of higher risk for under 16s across a wide range of water-based activities, we do not support this proposal and we recommend the "Education not regulation" approach as a better way to increase awareness of risk and encouragement of safer practices on the water.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The Consultation Document mentions the rise in false information being given by users in cases where current Byelaws are infringed and the figure of 69% of users not being the registered owner when stopped for infringements of the Byelaws. Page 8 of the Consultation Document shows that "failure to register" comes third in the register of Byelaw contraventions and makes up 13% of the total. There is a risk that the proposal will fail on LL&TNP's own criteria of Practicability and Enforcement. This may well also be the case with the Proposed new Byelaw 2.7 False Information. Given that there are a number of individuals who do not baulk at either not registering their vessels or providing false information, it is likely that the new Byelaws on a User Registration Scheme and on the provision of False Information will be ignored by some and prove hard to enforce, particularly during busy periods.

[REDACTED] recognises that this is a serious issue that needs to be addressed. We welcome the proposal that an identification card does not need to be carried and indeed that a registered user has to be on board but not necessarily at the helm. The [REDACTED] is in general opposed to measures such as this that can affect responsible users of the water more than those who fail to register their vessel or who provide false information considering that the chance of being caught is low. However, we do recognise that Loch Lomond may be a special case. Nevertheless we feel that consideration should be given to exempting low powered vessels from the requirement and to enrolling automatically people registering or re-registering their boat using the data currently collected. Consideration will also need to be given as to how the proposal will affect the hiring of powered boats.

We recognise the hazards caused by swimmers in open water and the dangers they can pose to both themselves and to vessels and their crew. Given that many open water swimmers are not members of a club or formal group, there is no central means of issuing advice. If not appropriate to be covered by the revised Byelaws, we would recommend that the LL&TNP display prominently at launch sites and beach

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

areas, strong advice for swimmers to use high visibility swim-floats when in open water. Such notices could also contain warnings and advice on cold water shock and hypothermia.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

As [REDACTED] made clear in that letter [REDACTED] fully supports the proposed outcomes for the consultation and looks forward to working with the National Park Authority to realise these.

The fourth of the "Key Issues to Address" (p6) is given as "The risk of fatalities due to cold water shock". We recognise the risks posed by cold water shock. However, as [REDACTED] mentioned, we see little in the proposals that address this risk and we continue to suggest that this needs further consideration.

On page 5 of the consultation document there is a figure of 119% increase in "recoded" (recorded?) Byelaw contraventions during the period 2019-2021, 44% of which related to speeding in the 11kph areas. There are no corresponding figures for the sanctions imposed, warnings or summons, resulting from these contraventions. Given that one of the other key issues to address is "irresponsible use or antisocial behaviour by some Personal Water Craft users" and one of the outcomes is "appropriate and effective management and enforcement through clear and understandable rules", it would be useful to have a baseline for successful sanctions imposed on those contravening the existing Byelaws as a means of measuring the efficacy of the proposed revised Byelaws. In other words, we wish to be assured that the issue, which is an important one, is best addressed by changing the rules rather than by changing the enforcement strategy.

The draft revised Byelaws contain a change to the definition of "vessel" (p28, 1.3.(29)). The new definition is very broad and could cover small model craft, including radio controlled models.

## Response 372

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Other (please specify): Steamship cruising operation on the loch

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes <b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Makes sense to have the boundary a set distance from the shore. That is more understandable to users and perhaps more enforceable.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Perhaps some difficulty in determining 'nuisance' as perception of that can vary. eg. A large group watching a 'clan battle' on a quiet island could be considered a nuisance or not depending on why you went to the island in the first place.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

See concerns raised in attached document and map. These can be worked round we're sure when required.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

No

## Response 373

### Your details

Q3. Age:

45 – 54

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As a National Park resident

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

All people should wear them regardless of age.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

"The optimal position would be a total ban on jet skis across LLTNP, as a 'Loch Lomond' ban will simply displace activity elsewhere.

Failing this, the following should be added to the current proposals:

- The byelaw should be extended to all LLTNP lochs and some lochs should also be designated "quiet" lochs, with no motorised use
- 9pm to 9am curfew on jet skis, returning tranquillity for residents outside of these hours
- Jet skis owners MUST have Third Party insurance
- Launching a motorised craft without the land owner's permission becomes a criminal (not civil) offence and therefore legally enforceable
- Jet ski numbers should be heavily restricted on Loch Lomond
- Ban jet skis from Luss, Aldochlay and Bandy Bays, as the risk of a fatal accident is significant
- Staff requirements for enforcement should be detailed in the review. Current levels of jet ski usage require a permanent presence of two patrol boats on Loch Lomond from dawn to dusk between April to October. An additional boat should be available to cover the other LLTNP lochs
- LLTNP Rangers should wear bodycams, and permanent webcams should be positioned in key problem areas to aid enforcement and prosecution

## Response 374

Q3. Age:

55 – 64

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Canoeing and Kayaking  
Fishing

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I believe the decision for under 16s to wear PFDs should still lie with associated adults. I endorse the wearing of PFDs but don't think the non-wearing of such should be an offence.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 375

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

A very experienced Loch user of 25years plus of Motor Cruising and a holder of RYA certificate and who always had Insurance.

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

**Other (please specify):**

Motor boat cruising until very recently

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

These are unnecessary and unacceptable as they restrict the rights of navigation of all powered craft. Whilst Safety for all Loch users is paramount this could equally be achieved by regulating the speed limit in the proposed designated areas. From many years experience of these proposed areas they are areas where it is common practise for powered vessels to travel at very slow speeds anyway. Also, in creating such a Byelaw it is essential that these areas are supervised by Park Rangers, otherwise it is pointless to create them.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Completely unnecessary. However, more yellow 11kph buoyage markers would make the visibility of the zone so much clearer.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The term 'open deck' requires clarification eg out on the bow or side decks of a cruiser as opposed to being in the cockpit.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This should be in line with the age at which one can gain a DRIVING LICENSE or license for any other powered vehicle on the road.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Powered vessels are already required to register with LLTNPA prior to using the Loch.

However, it is high time that ALL vessels should be required to be similarly registered and carry registration numbers/marks as do powered vessels. This particularly applies to YACHTS. Yachts are capable of travelling at great speed and now especially those using HYDROFOILS.

Yachts ARE powered vessels; they use WIND POWER!!

Not including ALL vessels to be registered is DISCRIMINATION !!

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Unsure about this. There are businesses which cause nuisance on the loch and its surroundings. In particular, these nuisances are often caused not the proprietors themselves but the customers who hire eg. jet skis, canoes, paddleboards or indeed speed boats. these customers often have very little experience of the 'vessel' or awareness of speed restrictions or other loch byelaws.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

**THIRD PARTY INSURANCE** :- I firmly believe that ALL VESSELS capable of travelling at speed should be required to be insured. This includes yachts, rowing sculls and all vessels with engines.

Yachts are capable of moving at great speed, especially those with hydrofoils. Their stopping power is limited in an emergency as is their all round visibility/awareness of other loch users. This also applies to rowing sculls. From experience, they can also achieve great speed and the crew have their backs to the direction of travel! The only near miss we ever had in 25years + on the loch was from a 2man rowing scull who had not looked before moving after being at rest.

**MAXIMUM SPEED LIMIT** :- should be reduced to 30 mph. this would reduce the wash from powered vessels, including jetskiis. Exemptions should be in place for LLRB and NPA Water Rangers in the course of their duties.



Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

OPEN WATER SWIMMERS :- Introduction of a BYELAW to make the wearing of high viz caps and swim floats mandatory.

JET SKI NOISE :- Not sure what kind of byelaw could be put in place to drastically reduce this nuisance but something must be done. The incessant noise from these vessels totally ruins the peace and tranquillity of the loch for other loch users and residents/visitors on the shore.  
NPA Water Rangers should be more proactive to enforce Byelaw 3.5(3) under 'causing a nuisance'.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

LONG OVERDUE. Glad to see some action being taken at last.

## Response 376

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Information provided in consultation document does NOT justify such restriction.

Using a 15hp motor is NOT a suitable metric to determine such a restriction.

No issues with low speed within such areas, but prohibition is not acceptable.

There are currently many restrictions on access, restricting ability to support local businesses.

Further restrictions of this nature WILL result in further reduction (in all forms of support). For example I can see no reason to drive to a local venue (which I can no longer access by boat) when I can drive in a different direction (to outside the park boundary) to give support to a business which is more helpful.

To paraphrase an old business saying:

"There is no point in doing something we'll when it should not be done at all"

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No significant issue

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Dynamic risk assessment

While I agree that PFDs should be worn it is NOT acceptable to make this compulsory. Your data shows a greater than 90% compliance, for young people. Further education is required. Compulsion is not - dependant on the type of craft and/or the action being undertaken the PFD may increase risk rather than reduce it. The decision should remain with the operator.

(Wearing a seatbelt in a car reduces the risk of injury when an accident occurs; but does not increase the risk of the accident in the first place)

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No significant issues

The proposal is however a little unclear in that it appears to penalise young people operating craft below 5hp (which they should be permitted to do) AND to allow young people to operate craft above 5hp (which current bylaws do not permit). It therefore appears to be a change purely to be seen to make a change rather than for any significant benefit.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

No, no, and yet again NO.

Registration of the craft is sufficient.  
Registration of individual users is not an acceptable addition.

If you can't adequately police the current requirement then it is not acceptable to try to (quite probably illegally) extend those requirements.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Your proposal is unclear.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Happy with the (apparent) intention but unclear of practicality.

Retain ability to handle businesses causing disruption. But accept evidence that the business is appropriately registered and licenced by external authority (removing the need for duplication)

## Consultation questions

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

It does not appear reasonable to base proposed changes on what are, by any standards, abnormal time periods.

If you want to review at 10 year intervals then provide data to justify changes based on either the full prior 10 year period (or at least the most recent 50% of that period) rather than a shorter (unrepresentative) period of time.

## Response 377

### Your details

Q3. Age:

25 – 34

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming  
Stand up paddleboarding  
Jet skiing  
Fishing  
Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

1.) Low or no power zones at areas of interest such as Luss, Rowardennan would prohibit motorboat users from accessing facilities such as lodge on the loch, luss village and the Rowardennan hotel. This would also have an economic impact to the associated businesses.

2.) It is very much widespread public opinion within the water using community that the focus should be on further enforcement of the existing by-laws rather than the creation of new ones; much of the dissatisfaction around hot spots such as Luss relates to anti-social behaviour by a minority which would not be possible if the existing by-laws were enforced. For example stationing additional patrol boats at Luss on

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

warmer days etc. Please enforce the current by-laws upon the minority rather than prohibiting loch access areas for all motorised craft

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Enforce the current by-laws

## Equalities

## Response 378

### Your details

Q3. Age:

35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

On behalf of a partner organisation or public body

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

None of the above

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

██████████ are keen to see safe water areas expanded to reflect the diversity of water use and to create safe zones around those uses.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

██████████  
Police Service of Scotland believe this will enhance the safety of other water uses and wildlife around the island.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

██████████ would like to see this expanded to all users of waterborne activity irrespective of age to enhance and promote the safety of water purpose activities.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

██████████ and supportive of this proposal and suggest that the responsibility for naming the owner of the vessel be written into the byelaw, making refusal to name or give false details an offence too.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

██████████ are supportive of this proposal as it enhances the knowledge base of water power craft users and increases the ability to hold registered keepers to account.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

██████████ support this proposal.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

In relation to the six areas covered, ██████████ would like to see these proposals rolled out to all lochs and water-based activity areas within the National Park rather than just confining it Loch Lomond. There are other lochs which experience similar problems.

██████████ propose on-the-spot-fines for anti-social behaviour, erection of a tent without permission, cutting of wood and burning wood out with a fire-pit causing or likely to cause damage to the ground. Consideration could be given to these being issued by both Rangers of the park and Police. We believe that this would act as a deterrent to those offences and promote and attitude change to use of the park by visitors.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

None at this stage.

## Response 379

### Your details

Q3. Age:
65+

  

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As a National Park resident

  

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Canoeing and Kayaking Other (please specify): Walking and Wildlife watching

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
Yes <b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> The best solution would be a total ban of jet skis everywhere in the park. The associated noise and anti social behaviour issues do not just affect L.Lomond.

  

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes <b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> See comment above

  

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)
Yes <b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> This should apply to all vessels, including canoes, kayaks, etc.

  

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)
Yes <b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b> Adults must be held responsible. The jet skis are brought to the area by someone who is driving, i.e. an adult.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

This would be irrelevant if a total ban were to be introduced

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Although any business unsuited to the quiet enjoyment of the majority of residents and visitors should not be permitted.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

The bylaws should apply to all lochs within the Park and some - particularly smaller ones - should be designated "quiet" with no motorised use at all.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

Jet skis and other fast motor boats are totally inappropriate in a national park. Their use by a minority spoil the quiet enjoyment of the area by the majority.

Little regard is being given to the danger and disturbance to wildlife who depend on the water and banks of the lochs.

Illegal launching should be a criminal matter so that perpetrators can be dealt with by the police and not left to local residents who have been subjected to abuse when challenging these people.



## Response 380

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

**Other (please specify):**

Independent conservation charity covering the National Park area

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

**Other (please specify):**

Our members take part in a range of activities and have an interest in promoting responsible public enjoyment of the loch

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We strongly support the introduction of low powered activity zones in the areas identified but would suggest that consideration is also given to the inclusion of some in other busy areas such as Aldochlay and Bandy Bays as exclusion zones particularly for jet skis which are a source of serious safety problems and where there are conflicts with other water users in popular bays.

At Luss Pier we would suggest there is relaxation of the area covered by the exclusion zone to enable commercial passenger boats sufficient space to access and egress the piers. Commercial passenger operators advise us that it would be difficult for them to get in and out of the piers safely if the exclusion zone for them is so tightly drawn.

Effective enforcement is a key issue and we consider there is no point in introducing these exclusions zoned unless they are patrolled more frequently and the rangers and police have more powers to take action in appropriate circumstances when there are breaches of the byelaws and irresponsible behaviour.

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We are not convinced that the boundary should be realigned as this allows powered craft to come nearer the islands and shoreline at high speeds with noise related issues. We consider it would be preferable to mark the existing boundary more effectively with coloured bouys. We would like to ensure the peace and tranquility of the islands is a priority and the contraction of the lower speed zone in this area is contrary to this.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

A qualified yes but we would like this particular proposal to be extended cover adults as well using certain types of powered and non-powered craft such as jet skis, power boats, canoes and the growing number paddleboards . We don't accept the suggestion put forward in the consultation document that all adults are capable of making a decision on whether to wear a personal floating device or not. There is plenty evidence to suggest this is not the case and increasing water safety for all users of these craft and floating equipment should be paramount irrespective of age.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We agree with the rationale put forward in the consultation document for introducing this measure.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We agree with the rationale put forward in the consultation document for introducing this measure.

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

We agree with the rationale put forward in the consultation document for introducing this measure and particularly for passenger boat operators who are stringently controlled by the Maritime & Coastguard Agency regulations and annual inspections.

## Consultation questions

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

Yes- we do as we don't think the byelaws go far enough and a much bolder approach is required to ensure all users of the loch , local residents and visitors on the lochside can enjoy the special qualities of Loch Lomond safely and with less noise intrusion from some of the categories of powered craft. We strongly consider it is time to take action to reduce noise and carbon emissions generated by power craft and it should not be another 10 years before action is taken given the climate and nature emergencies which are now being widely publicised and acknowledged. The Park Authority should be leading the way and the byelaws review provides an opportunity to take more positive and direct action.

Our specific suggestions for additional byelaws are as follows:

1. Noise Emissions-In an effort to maximise the enjoyment of the loch for all users on the loch and those living or visiting the hinterland of the loch a specific byelaw should be introduced to ensure powercraft emitting a defined level of decibels should be fitted with silencers or appropriate kit to reduce noise levels. Such equipment is now fairly advanced and inexpensive and this should be explored further so that a specific byelaw can be introduced as part of the final submission to the Scottish Government. This would help transform the Loch Lomond experience for visitors and local

Q13. Do you have any alternatives or proposed changes to the byelaws that have not already been captured in this review? Please provide an outline of these and your reason for them.

residents. At present some of the noises from jet skis and other high powered craft can be heard clearly on hilltops around the loch and along the busy West Highland Way which is not desirable and spoils the wild and quiet enjoyment qualities many members of the public are seeking.

2. Carbon Emissions-There is an opportunity to greatly reduce carbon emissions from powered craft using Loch Lomond and to contribute positively to carbon emission reduction targets in the Loch Lomond area. A specific byelaw should be introduced to cover fuel types that can be used by existing power or new power craft on Loch Lomond. There are more environmentally fuels available such as HVO (hydrogen vegetable oil) which are suitable for a number of vessels and is being successfully introduced elsewhere in the National Park. It should be possible to set carbon threshold caps linked to fuel advances which are not costly. We appreciate this will require further consideration to define more clearly for byelaw purposes but we consider the NPA should be grasping the nettle on this and not wait for a further 10 years when the next byelaw review will take place.

3. Third Party Insurance- Owners of power craft, including jet skis should have third party insurance and show evidence of this when they are registering vessels to use the loch.

4. Strengthened Powers for Rangers and Police-We strongly support measures which will strengthen the powers of rangers and police patrolling the loch and the busy areas where there are conflicts between different types of users. The current arrangements which involve reporting serious cases of irresponsible behaviour to the Procurator Fiscal is unsatisfactory and over bureaucratic resulting in very few prosecutions and we therefore support introducing of fixed penalty fines where appropriate. We also consider there should be provision for banning orders of variable duration for repeat offenders relating to irresponsible behaviour use of specific types of craft. If this cannot be covered adequately by enhanced byelaw provisions then steps should be taken to lobby for specific legislation to enable this to happen. It is important those patrolling the loch have sufficient powers at their disposal to clamp down on irresponsible behaviour as part of a concerted effort to improve water safety for everyone.

Q14. Do you have any other comments on the review of the byelaws?

The staff who undertook the review of the byelaws are to be complimented for the lengths they have gone to consult specific user groups and others before preparing a series of proposals to strengthen the byelaws. The supporting documentation is also very detailed and clear.

The emerging proposals have much to commend them but as mentioned earlier they do not go far enough and a bolder approach is required to strengthen water safety and the enforcement powers of the rangers and police so that they can do their jobs more effectively and efficiently. We would also like to see some specific additional byelaws covering noise and carbon emissions and this should not be delayed until the next review in 10 years time. We cannot afford to wait a further decade to tackle the climate and nature emergencies and we owe it to the many millions of Loch Lomond visitors and the many local residents on the lochside to ensure the special qualities of the loch are safeguarded while also addressing the safety and loch user behaviour issues through education and more effective enforcement of much strengthened byelaws.

We appreciate considerable resources are allocated by the Park Authority to managing the existing boat registration scheme and ranger patrols on land and water but additional resources require to be allocated to step up patrols in particular hotspots such as Luss Bay. We believe there is a strong case for the introduction of a 'baywatch scheme' here during holiday periods and at weekends between April and October. We also appreciate considerable efforts are being made to educate loch users but we consider more steps should be taken on the enforcement front to deal with irresponsible behaviour which comprises the safety and enjoyment of the loch by others. The introduction of spot fines and banning orders for persistent offenders should be part of the byelaws and enforcement tools available.

# Response 381

## Your details

Q3. Age:
35 – 44

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?
As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)
Stand up paddleboarding Water skiing Wake boarding Canoeing and Kayaking Motor boat cruising

## Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)
<p>No</p> <p><b>Please add a comment to explain your answer</b></p> <p>The statistics being used for trying to push through these byelaw changes are focusing on a 2 year period where there were travel restrictions in the UK which created a huge influx of visitors to holiday in the area. People who were not normal loch users purchased boats, jet skis, paddle boards and camper vans as they could not go abroad for their summer holidays. These same people were less aware of the byelaws or the etiquette of using/sharing waterways with all loch users which obviously massively increased contraventions. I saw the massive increase in Loch use with my own eyes and I have also witnessed how quiet it has been this year so I do not believe these changes are necessary at all.</p> <p>I feel that the Park authority should look to enforce the current byelaws which would remove the need for activity zones which are all within 150m from the shore line. Patrols of key areas on good weather summer days could alleviate the need for further reaching, unenforceable byelaws which erode the freedom of access for the general public. You cannot make the loch more inclusive by banning certain types of vessel from certain areas. This only punishes the law abiding and considerate users from access that has always been available for the public. Police should deal with the people causing serious issues, I do feel for the Rangers who have to approach these people on the sunniest of days. Educate loch users on safe practice as I see swimmers in the middle of open water with a black wetsuit and no marker float, paddle boarders and inflatable kayaks full of kit but no buoyancy aids, fishing boats cutting across the bow of motor boats as they assume to be the stand on vessel in any situation. I don't think the same people who don't care for the current rules will all of a sudden become considerate loch users due to a new byelaw. The only people who suffer are the law abiding majority.</p> <p>What would happen to moorings in these areas, would there be exemptions?</p> <p>I do feel there is an agenda behind all of this with incremental steps towards banning motorised craft on Loch Lomond.</p>

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)
Yes

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I agree that line is hard for most to recognise and is actually needlessly far out from the zone it is protecting.

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes but it is not the park authorities duty to act the nanny. There are no such rules for use of leisure vessels at sea which can be far more dangerous than Loch Lomond.

I do actually agree kids should be required to wear PFDs, however, It is ultimately the parents duty and decision to assess the risk in situations.

Young kids sitting at anchor in your mothers arms is different to walking around on deck while making way.

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Yes ultimately it should be the owner responsible for the vessel.

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

I also believe insurance and a minimum level of training for motorised craft should be considered to make the loch safer for all users

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

## Response 382

### Your details

Q3. Age:

65+

Q4. In what capacity you are responding to this survey?

As an individual loch user

Q6. What activities do you take part in on Loch Lomond? (Tick all that apply)

Open water swimming  
Sailing  
Motor boat cruising

### Consultation questions

Q7. Do you agree with the following six key changes being proposed as part of this review? Proposal 1: Introduce Low-Powered Activity Zones (Set out on pages 7-17 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Have seen outrage dangerous practice from jet skis among people swimming and paddling

Q8. Proposal 2: Realignment of the current 11kph boundary to the south of the island of Inchmoan (Set out on pages 18-19 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Makes it simpler /more obvious than existing

Q9. Proposal 3: Compulsory wearing of Personal Flotation Devices for young people (under 16) on all vessels when on an open deck (Set out on page 20 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Is yet one more restriction and could be inconvenient for children coming and going out of cabin boat but safety should come first

Q10. Proposal 4: Transfer liability for offences committed by young persons in sole charge of powered vessels to the Owner or Registered Owner of the vessel (Set out on page 21 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

The 'buck' should stop somewhere

Q11. Proposal 5: Introduce a Loch Lomond User Registration Scheme (Set out on page 22 of the Consultation Document)

Yes

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Afraid the conduct of a very few makes this necessary

Q12. Proposal 6: Amend the existing Permission to Trade byelaw to solely deal with business practices causing nuisance on the Loch and its surroundings (Set out on page 23 of the Consultation Document)

No

**Please add a comment to explain your answer**

Feel that anyone making money should be registered to ensure some kind of quality control